REPORTER'S RECORD 74145 1 2 VOLUME 48 of 65 VOLUMES TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. F00-02424-NM 3 IN THE DISTRICT COURT THE STATE OF TEXAS 4 DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS VS. 5 194TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT JEDIDIAH ISAAC MURPHY 6 7 FII ED IN TRIAL ON THE MERITS BY GOURTOF CRIMINAL APPEALS 8 \*\*\*\*\*\* 5 2001 9 DEC Troy C. Bennett, Jr., Clerk APPEARANCES: 10 HONORABLE BILL HILL, Criminal District Attorney 11 Crowley Criminal Courts Building Dallas, Dallas County, Texas 12 214-653-3600 Phone: MR. GREG DAVIS, A.D.A., SBOT # 05493550 13 BY: MS. MARY MILLER, A.D.A., SBOT # 21453200 14 FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS; 15 MS. JANE LITTLE, Attorney at Law, SBOT # 12424210 MR. MICHAEL BYCK, Attorney at Law, SBOT # 03549500 MS. JENNIFER BALIDO, Attorney at Law, SBOT # 10474880 16 Dallas County Public Defender's Office Phone: 214-653-9400 17 FOR THE DEFENDANT. 18 \*\*\*\*\* 19 On the 5th day of June, 2001, the following 2.0 proceedings came on to be heard in the above-entitled and 21 numbered cause before the Honorable F. Harold Entz, Jr., 22 Judge presiding, held in Dallas, Dallas County, Texas: 23 Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, computer 24

DARLINE W. LABAR, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF

25

assisted transcription.

ORIGINAL

Case 3:	10-cv-00163-N Document 42-10	Filed 05/05	5/10 Page	e 2 of 515	PageID 656	59 <sub>1</sub>
1		INDEX VOL	JUME 48			
2	June 5th, 2001				PAGE	VOL.
3	Proceedings				2	48
4	Arguments on Voluntarin	ess of St	atement.	• • • • • • •	. 71	48
5	Reporter's Certificate.				267	48
6						
7	CHRONO	LOGICAL W	VITNESS I	NDEX		
8	D. T. S.	IRECT	CROS	S	VD	VOL.
9	GARY ROSE 1	4				48
10	OZELLE WILCOXSON 2	1	35			48
11	JASON BONHAM 4	5				48
12	JEDIDIAH ISAAC MURPHY 5	2, 70	57,	70		48
13	GARY ROSE 7	7, 121, 1	24 99,	123, 126		48
14	MATT MYERS 13	0, 254	204,	261	196	48
15						
16	ALPHA	BETICAL W	VITNESS I	NDEX		
17	D	IRECT	CROS	SS	VD	VOL.
18	JASON BONHAM 4	5				48
19	JEDIDIAH ISAAC MURPHY 5	2, 70	57,	70		48
20	MATT MYERS 13	0, 254	204,	261	196	48
21	GARY ROSE 1	4				48
22	GARY ROSE 7	7, 121, 1	L24 99,	123, 126		48
23	OZELLE WILCOXSON 2	1	35			48
24						
25						

## Case 3:10-cv-00163-N Document 42-10 Filed 05/05/10 Page 3 of 515 Page 1 € 5₹02

1		EXHIBIT	INDEX		
2	STATE'S	OF	FERED	ADMITTED	VOL.
3	27	Map of Edgewood	122	122	48
4	28	Crime Scene Photo	88	88	48
5	29	Crime Scene Photo	88	88	48
6	30	Crime Scene Photo	88	88	48
7	35	Magistrate Warnings	26	27	48
8	36	Magistrate Warnings	26	27	48
9	38	Vacuum Hose	190	190	48
10	39	Heater Hose	190	190	48
11	40	Blue Towel	190	190	48
12	41	Miranda Warning Sheet	162	167	48
13	42	Photo of Defendant	196	198	48
14	43	Photo of Defendant	196	198	48
15	44	Photo of Defendant	196	198	48
16	45	Photo of Defendant	196	198	48
17	46	Photo of Defendant	196	198	48
18	47	Voluntary Statement	180	180	48
19	48	Miranda Warning Sheet	257	257	48
20	50	Miranda 10-11-00	259	260	48
21	51	Miranda 10-13-00	260	261	48
22	52	Receipt Cole Mountain	201	201	48
23	53	Receipt Cowboys	201	201	48
24					
25					

## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Let the record -- let the record reflect this hearing is being conducted in open court, outside the presence and hearing of the impaneled jury. The defendant is present in court during the course of this hearing.

Mr. Davis, I think you have a matter that you want to put on the record.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir, I did.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. This is pursuant to the Court's rulings yesterday. When I came into the office this morning, I found these xeroxed copies of reports. Now, these are reports from Van Zandt County Sheriff's Office. I believe all of these reports have previously been tendered to defense counsel. I don't see anything new, but out of an abundance of caution, I'm going to at this time tender the copies that were on my desk this morning to defense counsel.

In addition --

THE COURT: Does defense acknowledge receipt of the reports?

MS. BALIDO: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. DAVIS: In addition, I found some copies of reports from the Dallas County Commercial Auto Theft Task Force. This would deal with an extraneous offense for which

the defendant is presently on probation here in Dallas

County. And again, looking at the reports, I believe all of
these have previously been tendered to defense counsel, but
out of abundance of caution again, I'll tender those to
defense counsel at this time.

MR. BYCK: We so acknowledge.

MR. DAVIS: Thirdly, I've just been given what appear to be notes from Judge Ozelle Wilcoxson who will testify this morning with regards to the -- to the arraignment of the defendant. This would appear to be the actual magistrate's warnings, as well as what appear to be certain typed -- typed notes regarding her scheduling and the times in which she did certain -- certain things in this case. I don't see anything exculpatory or mitigating in them, but again, I've just received those this morning so I'll tender those to defense counsel at this time.

MR. BYCK: So acknowledged.

THE COURT: Defense has a matter that they wish to bring to the Court's attention in the State's presence I understand?

MR. BYCK: Yes, Your Honor. We, having received the information yesterday of a search and seizure of the defendant's written notes, memoranda, and documents in the Dallas County Jail approximately three weeks ago, we would respectfully request a hearing before the Court in

which we would seek to determine either the legality of that 1 search and seizure and if it was an illegal search and 2 3 seizure, the amount of information that has been dispersed, where it has been dispersed, who had the information, and if 4 that information led to other sources, facts, or information 5 being developed, all of which would be in violation of the 6 Defendant's Fourth Amendment rights and under the United 7 States Constitution and the applicable provision of the Texas 8 Constitution. I think it's Article 1, Section 10. And we 9 respectfully ask for a hearing. We would submit to the Court 10 that the people involved in the hearing are essentially in 11 house. They would be either district attorneys, sheriffs 12 13 officers, or sheriffs themselves, jail personnel.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: How quickly do you need this hearing? Here's my -- here's my thinking. Friday is going to be a down day. We could either do it Friday or otherwise we'd have to do it very early some morning or late some afternoon.

MS. BALIDO: Well, what the situation -- what our concern is, Judge, is that there will be evidence placed before the jury, but for the fact that the legal search and seizure issue will, you know -- they would not have that information. And if that information's spread out or some investigation was done based on the information gleaned from the illegal search and seizure by the State, including notes

1	by the defendant to his lawyers, then the danger the peril
2	is that it spreads all through the case and the case in chief
3	by the State, so we'd like it as soon as possible.
4	THE COURT: May we begin it tomorrow morning
5	at 8:30 and not have the jury come in until 10:00?
6	MR. DAVIS: That's fine. May I ask that the
7	letters be provided to the Court for in camera inspection
8	prior to the hearing so we can at least the Court at that
9	time will be advised as to whatever may have been seized by
10	the Dallas County Sheriff's Office.
11	MR. BYCK: Your Honor, we did receive we
12	did receive a sealed package from the Dallas County Sheriff's
13	Office. We have not opened that package.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	MS. BALIDO: I'm sending the intern up to go
16	get it, Judge.
17	MR. BYCK: And we would ask the District
18	Attorney if he has any other
19	MR. DAVIS: So if I understand, this motion is
20	being made without the benefit of defense counsel actually
21	looking at the material to see if there's anything material
22	that may have been seized.
23	MS. BALIDO: Judge, this motion is being made
24	based on the statements made by the District Attorney
25	yesterday, that he saw those materials, that he did see that

1 those materials were letters that were written to --2 MR. DAVIS: No, I'm sorry. That is not my 3 statement. 4 MS. LITTLE: He said conceivably could have 5 been, but had not been --6 MR. DAVIS: My understanding was -- let me 7 make this very clear, that that was not a letter that had been written to defense counsel. 8 9 MS. BALIDO: That's --10 THE COURT: The Court will accept the 11 invitation to see the documents in camera and make a 12 determination. 13 MS. BALIDO: That's all for you. 14 MR. BYCK: And we would inquire of the 15 District Attorney, Your Honor, whether there were any other 16 documents that he retained or whether he made -- whether he 17 has a file with a complete set of copies of the documents 18 that were subsequently re-returned to the Dallas County 19 Sheriff's Office. 20 MR. DAVIS: No. Those were the only documents that were viewed, so that -- and as I've stated, my 21 22 recollection was that two of those letters were from family

members to the defendant so there would be absolutely no

letter. It was in the form of notes. They were not

privilege at all there. The other was not in the form of a

23

24

1	addressed to anyone. So what
2	THE COURT: In the interest of everybody's
3	time, if I may take a look in camera and determine the nature
4	of
5	MR. BYCK: They're on their way down. We
6	thank the Court.
7	MS. BALIDO: Judge, we additionally have three
8	other issues we'd like to take up. First, this morning we
9	filed with the Court and served on the State's attorneys a
10	motion for mistrial pursuant to the decision of the United
11	States Supreme Court yesterday in what we'll call Penry II.
12	The United States Supreme Court reversed and remanded the
13	case of the State of Texas versus Johnny Paul Penry
14	THE COURT: Have you have you read the
15	opinion and
16	MS. BALIDO: I have, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: The instructions that this jury
18	will be given are totally different from Penry II, so how
19	is this germane
20	MS. BALIDO: Judge
21	THE COURT: to the issue before this jury?
22	MS. BALIDO: Judge, we will submit that it is
23	germane because if you read the text, it is true that Penry's
24	instructions were different than the instructions that are
25	going to be given to this jury. However

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Totally different.

MS. BALIDO: Totally different. However, and the Court does say that the Texas sentencing statute as it is now that this jury will consider is more clear than the instructions given under Penry II. However, Judge, if you read the text of the opinion, it says basically that -- in explaining Penry I in relation to the consideration of mitigating circumstances by capital sentencing juries, the State clears up what Penry I said and did not say. And what Penry -- and this is the Supreme Court, Justice O'Connor writing the opinion, that Penry I did not hold that the mere mention of mitigating circumstances to a capital sentencing jury satisfies the 8th Amendment. Nor does it stand for the proposition that it's constitutionally sufficient to inform the jury that it may, quote, consider, that's a quote inside the language, mitigating circumstances in deciding the appropriate sentence. Rather, the key under Penry I is that the jury is able to consider and give mitigating effect to, that's the emphasis added by the Supreme Court, a defendant's mitigating evidence and imposing sentence.

What our contention is, is during jury selection in this case, attempts were made by the defense to inquire as to the potential jurors whether or not they could, quote, give mitigating effect to certain evidence. And those attempts to bring forth that response from those potential jurors was

thwarted by an objection from the State and the sustaining of 1 that objection by the State by this Court. 2 I disagree with that. THE COURT: 3 MS. BALIDO: Well, Judge --4 THE COURT: And the record will speak for 5 itself. 6 MS. BALIDO: The record will speak for itself. 7 THE COURT: I totally disagree. 8 Judge, what the Court allowed us MS. BALIDO: 9 to do is determine whether or not they would consider 10 mitigating evidence, but --11 THE COURT: And I on my own, Ms. Balido, asked 12 each prospective juror, whether they were challenged for 13 cause or not, if they could give effect to mitigating 1.4 evidence, if presented, and address Special Issue Number 2 15 accordingly. 16 MS. BALIDO: Judge --17 THE COURT: That's what I recall the record of 18 months of jury selection to reflect. 19 MS. BALIDO: Judge, the record will be clear 20 on what was said and what was not said. If you remember that 21 there were times that we attempted to get into mitigating 22 evidence to determine whether or not they would give 23 mitigating effect to certain evidence. And besides --24

everyone said that they could consider it. But when we

attempted to go further to see if they could actually give mitigating effect to that, you denied us the ability to do that. And we attempted to go through there -- I cited two cases, one was the Maldonado case, a 1999 case, and one was another case that was a 1993 case that I believe that you said was somebody that wasn't on the Court of Appeals -- on the Court of Criminal Appeals anymore and that you weren't going to rely upon that opinion. And the defendant asserts that we were denied the ability to go as far as Penry II seems to say that we are able to in questioning these jurors as to whether or not they can give mitigating effect to the evidence presented in the sentencing phase if we get that far. And that's the basis of our motion for a mistrial at this point.

THE COURT: Defense request for a mistrial is denied.

MS. BALIDO: Secondly, Judge, it seems from the way we kind of ended up yesterday in relationship to my questions outside the presence of the jury to Deputy Rose of the Van Zandt County Police Department, that I have not been making myself clear as to what I'm trying to suppress in regard to the oral confessions. I'm basing my -- my Motion to Suppress as to the oral statements on the case of Moon versus State, 607, 569. Basically I think it's kind of the -- the thinking of everyone in the courtroom that

because Mr. Murphy made an oral statement that was 1 therefore -- that was later proved to be true by the finding 2 of Ms. Cunningham's body, under 38.22(C), that means that 3 oral statement comes in. But what the Moon case says and 4 what I'm trying to develop through Deputy Rose's testimony 5 and the testimony of later on Jason Bonham of the Edgewood 6 Police Department is that Mr. Murphy was not Mirandized 7 properly and did not voluntarily waive his rights under 8 Miranda versus Arizona when he made these statements. And I 9 think the record -- at least at this point the way that the 10 record has been developed is that he did not voluntarily 11 waive those rights. There was no statement by the defendant 12 that he understood those rights and he read those. And under 13 Moon versus State --14 THE COURT: And there was no statement that he 15

didn't understand them.

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. BALIDO: That's true and --

THE COURT: He's not a rookie in the criminal justice system.

MS. BALIDO: Well, Judge, I understand that, but what my concern is, is that these statements will get out in front of the jury before there is a trial court finding that those were either voluntarily waived or not. And under the Moon case it says that is an issue not for the jury as under 38.22 when we're talking about written statements, but

is an issue for the trial court to determine as for two things. Number one, due process requirements of voluntariness and the requirements, number two, of Miranda are first met. And that's what I'm trying to get to by trying to get the jury out of the room, develop these things --

THE COURT: Well, let's -- how much more proffer do you want, Ms. Balido? Do you want more proffer this morning before the jury comes in?

MS. BALIDO: I'd like to ask a couple of questions.

THE COURT: Let's get going. And from now on, tomorrow morning everybody be here at 8:30. This is the type of abuse that jurors must suffer throughout this country about which they get so frustrated, so we're going to be in here tomorrow morning 8:30, everybody in their chair whether we do nothing or have a hearing.

Bring in the officer.

MR. DAVIS: He's getting him.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, I can just -- there's just one -- one more thing that I'd like to put on the record and just a clarification matter that we don't have to have a hearing about or anything like that. Under 24.03 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, it states that both parties can rely upon the subpoenas of the other party. And I'm not concerned

2.1

about us relying upon the subpoenas of the other party or the State relying upon our subpoenas. What my concern is, is that the District Attorneys Office has an agreement with various police agencies that they do not have to subpoena witnesses to come down here to testify, that they can just call either a routing officer or whatever. And we would like to be rely on the fact that the people that they have not subpoenaed, but have an agreement with the police agency that if they call their officers, they'll be down here, that we would like to be able to rely upon that agreement as well, or if we need to go out and subpoena all these other witnesses that the State is not going to call to testify.

THE COURT: Mr. Davis, care to respond?

MR. DAVIS: Well, you know, the agreements that we have with various police agencies do not guarantee that if I issue a routing slip this morning, that officer is going to appear today. I mean, some of these agencies require certain time periods. There are a lot of -- lot of officers that may be on this witness list that I have no intentions of routing, for instance. I'll say right now I've only routed two Garland police officers for instance. We don't have any arrangements with the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department or Wills Point PD or Terrell PD or Edgewood PD. We simply contact those departments, issue subpoenas, if necessary, so --

1	THE COURT: Ms. Balido, what I'm hearing from
2	Mr. Davis, if you want to guarantee their presence, I think
3	it's incumbent upon the defense to issue a subpoena for those
4	individuals that you reasonably anticipate will be needed.
5	MR. BYCK: May I tender this for the record,
6	Your Honor?
7	Your Honor, may the record reflect I'm tendering to
8	the Court a sealed involve styled attempted suicide, and we
9	would have it marked as defendant's whatever the court
10	reporter says.
11	THE COURT: The Court acknowledges receipt,
12	and I will review it before the day is up.
13	Ms. Balido, you may continue with the proffer.
14	GARY ROSE
15	was called as a witness by the Defendant and, after having
16	been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
17	Direct Examination
18	By Ms. Balido:
19	Q. And are you the same Gary Rose that testified
20	yesterday in the trial of this case?
21	A. Yes, ma'am.
22	Q. Detective Rose, I'm going to direct your attention
23	back to when you said that you had entered the bedroom of Ora
24	Mae Milton's house and took the defendant into custody.
25	Okay?

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, you said that you took the defendant into custody upon immediately walking into the room; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. And he was therefore not free to leave?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And you actually placed him under arrest; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
    - Q. And then you recited the warnings that you gave him?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. I want to -- and then you told us yesterday that he did not have any reaction to the warnings that you gave him?
- 16 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Did he ever tell you that he understood the warnings that you gave him?
    - A. I don't remember.
  - Q. Okay. Are you saying it didn't happen, or you don't remember?
  - A. I don't remember.
    - Q. Okay. Did he ever ask you any questions regarding those warnings?
    - A. No, ma'am.

- Q. Did he ever say that he understood his warnings and that he wanted to give up his rights and make a statement to you?
- A. I don't recall him -- I don't remember him saying he understood his warnings, and he never made the statement that he wanted to give a statement to me, no.
- Q. Okay. And then after you talked to him on this, did you ask him questions regarding this offense?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Did he have any reaction at all to -- in response to these warnings?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. The things that he told you regarding the offense, was that in response to questions that you asked him?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. And not just things that he blurted out once he got arrested?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And what did you ask him about the offense?
  - A. I asked him where Bertie Cunningham was.
    - Q. And what was his response?
- A. His response was he said, "it was an accident, I didn't mean to shoot her." And then I asked him where -- I asked him if she was dead. And he said, yes. And I asked

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And what did he say?
- A. He initially -- he told me that he didn't know where the body was, that somebody had put it in the trunk of the car and carried it off somewhere in the Dallas area.
  - Q. That he put it in the trunk of the car and what --
- A. Someone else other than him had put it -- put the body in the trunk of the car and dumped it in the Dallas area somewhere. He didn't know where it was.
- Q. Were there any other statements besides those statements that he made to you in regard to this offense?
  - A. No.
- Q. How long were you in there with Mr. -- with Mr. Murphy?
  - A. Approximately 5, 6 minutes. It wasn't long.
- Q. And was -- did anybody else in your presence give him any Miranda warnings?
- A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. And you were the first person to see him that night?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. I guess the first person -- first law enforcement officer to see him after he was awake; is that correct?
- A. After he was awake -- well, I was the first one in the room. And of course, I had other officers behind me, but I was the first one in the room. And then we were all there

together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay.
- A. So --
- Q. And who was the other officers that were there?
- A. I believe it was Sergeant Rick Goldey, Corporal Joey Branch. I think Jason Bonham was in the room, of the Edgewood Police Department. And I can't remember who else was in the room.
  - Q. And -- and what was the last one?
- A. I believe it was -- I believe Jason Bonham of the Edgewood Police Department was in the room. I'm not for certain. And I don't know who else was in the room.
- Q. Do you carry a copy of either the Miranda warnings or a 38.22 card with you?
  - A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. So this is just all done from your own memory?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And at any time did Mr. Murphy waive his warnings and say he did not want any of his -- he did not want a lawyer or anything else and he just wanted to make a statement?
  - A. No, ma'am, he did not say that.
- Q. And he didn't say that he wanted his Miranda warnings. He just didn't say anything?
  - A. He didn't say anything.

1 opportunity to have him come down and testify for the Court. 2 I think that that can be done within the hour. And based on 3 the conversation that we had this morning on reliance of the 4 State's subpoena or the State's witness list and the ruling 5 of the Court, we are not prepared and have not served Officer Goldey or Joey Branch and would like an opportunity to do so. 6 7 THE COURT: Once again, classic example of the frustration that jurors have with regard to courts. And I am 8 9 prepared after this trial is over to explain to the jury just 10 exactly what's happened. Will not do so during the trial for obvious reasons, but I want both sides to understand my 11 impatience with the way that this jury is being treated, and 12 13 I think it is very, very unprofessional. Counsel has known for months and months what this was all about, and 14 15 now to have these good 13 citizens have their time wasted by gamesmanship is I find unacceptable. 16 17 Call a recess and get them down here. 18 MS. LITTLE: Your Honor, can I use this phone 19 to call upstairs? 20 THE COURT: You may. 21 (Recess taken.) 22 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 23 (Jury returned to courtroom.) 24 THE COURT: Let the record reflect the jury is 25 returning to the courtroom at this time.

1 Jurors may be seated. 2 Mr. Murphy, counsel, visitors in the gallery, you may be seated. 3 4 Good morning. 5 THE WITNESS: How are you? 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Invite you to have a 8 seat, please. 9 Counsel may continue. 10 OZELLE WILCOXSON 11 was called as a witness by the State and, after having been 12 first duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 Direct Examination By Ms. Miller: 14 15 Q. Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and 16 then spell your last name for the court reporter? 17 My name is Ozelle Wilcoxson, O-z-e-l-l-e and then 18 W-i-l-c-o-x-s-o-n. 19 Q. Ms. Wilcoxson, how are you employed? 20 Α. I serve as a Justice of the Peace for Van Zandt 21 County. 22 Ο. Can you tell the jury what area Van Zandt County 23 encompasses? 24 I serve Precinct 3 which is the Wills Point area and Α. 25 the rural area of Edgewood to Highway 80 and then all the way

- to Interstate 20 and then to Lake Tawakoni.
  - Q. How long have you held that position?
  - A. For 15 years plus a few months.
- Q. Were you originally appointed to that position and then have been reelected?
- A. I was appointed for an unexpired time of an ill and dying JP, and then I have been elected since, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Can you please tell this jury what some of your duties and responsibilities as a JP out there are?
- A. Well, your Justice of the Peace Court is a people's court, and we deal with Class C misdemeanors, mostly with the trooper citations and your Van Zandt officers. We do not deal with any police officers within the cities. We have civil courts, and we also in our rural areas where there's no medical examiner, we serve as the coroners of our county and we also serve as magistrates.
  - Q. Can you explain to the jury what a magistrate is?
- A. A magistrate is just simply a Justice of the Peace or municipal court who will be called on to read the Miranda rights to someone who is accused of a crime.
- Q. I want to direct your attention back to October 6th of 2000, last year, and were you JP at that time, also?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you receive a call at approximately 3:36 a.m. from the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department?

- It was shortly before 4 o'clock a.m. that morning. 1 2 Okay. And can you tell the jury the nature of that Q. dispatch or call? 3 I was called to go on an inquest in the area of the 4 Α. 5 City of Edgewood. And what again is an inquest for the jury? 6 0. 7 An inquest is where we go out to the scene where a body has been found and we go out and a JP is not called 8 until there is an actual death. And we are to --10 MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'm going to object to the relevance of this testimony at this point based on the 11 proffer made by the State in regard to what she's going into 12 13 now. THE COURT: Sustained. 14 15 MS. BALIDO: I'd ask that the jury be 16 instructed not to --THE COURT: The jury will disregard the last 17 portion of the witness's testimony and consider it for no 18 19 purpose. 20 Q. (By Ms. Miller) You were called out originally for 21 an inquest; is that correct? 22 Α. That's correct.
  - Q. Did you meet a sheriff's deputy at the Dairy Queen there in Edgewood?
    - A. I did.

24

- Q. Okay. When you got to Edgewood, did you -- to the Dairy Queen in Edgewood, did the nature of your call or dispatch change?
- A. I was then told that I would be arraigning a suspect.
- Q. And when you found out that you were going to have to arraign a suspect, did you have any actual warnings or the magistrate paperwork with you at that point?
  - A. No, I did not.
  - Q. So what did you have to do?
- A. I went to Canton to the Van Zandt County Justice
  Center where we have those type papers on file there and to
  get an arraignment sheet.
- Q. Okay. Now, at the point that you found out that you were going to have to arraign someone, did you know that person's name at that point?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. Did you know whether or not he was being arrested on a -- outstanding Garland warrant?
  - A. I don't believe that I did at that point.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you went to Canton to get your -the magistrate warnings, did you then go back to the Edgewood
  Police Department in order to actually perform the
  arraignment?
  - A. Well, I actually had not gone to the police

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

department prior to that, but when I got the proper paperwork, I was -- I was taken to the Edgewood Police Department.

- And when you got to the Edgewood Police Department, 0. did you come in contact with Detective Matt Myers from the Garland Police Department and Deputy Gary Rose from the Van Zandt Sheriff's Department, along with a person -- an arrested person or suspect that you later came to know as Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?
- I believe when I arrived, I was there with the --Α. the sheriff's deputy that brought me there and then they came in shortly thereafter.
- Okay. When you say "they," was that Detective Myers, Deputy Rose, and a person that was identified as Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?
  - Α. That's correct.
- Okay. Now, when you arraign a defendant, can you 0. explain to the jury a little bit about what process that you go through?
  - Can I look at --Α.
  - You bet. You have some notes there with you? 0.
- I do. I have the arraignment sheets on two charges Α. that I arraigned a person identified as Jedidiah Isaac When I -- when I arraign, I usually introduce Murphy. This is my policy. I explain to them what I am myself.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'd just ask to look at

also her notes that are the originals of such documents

1

2 before we --3 THE COURT: Request granted. 4 (Counsel inspects notes.) 5 MS. BALIDO: Judge, no objection to State's 35 6 and 36. 7 Oh, I'm sorry. 8 THE COURT: They are admitted. 9 (State's Exhibit No. 35 and 36 admitted) 10 Ο. (By Ms. Miller) Judge Wilcoxson, according to your 11 notes or do you recall, did you arraign the defendant on a 12 credit card abuse first? 13 Α. Yes, ma'am, I did. 14 Ο. Okay. And as far as State's Exhibit Number 35, it 15 sets out the different warnings. Can you just read the 16 warnings that you read to Jedidiah Isaac Murphy? 17 Α. I read the offense. "You are charged with the offense of credit card abuse, Warrant Number RL30225, Garland 18 Police Department, Garland, Texas. An affidavit charging you 19 20 with this offense has not been filed in this court. You have 21 a right to have a lawyer and have your lawyer present prior 22 to and during any interview and questioning by peace officers 23 or attorneys representing the State. If you are too poor to 24 afford a lawyer, you have the right to request the 25 appointment of a lawyer to be present prior to and during any such interview and questioning. You may have reasonable time and opportunity to consult your lawyer if you desire. You have the right to remain silent. You are not required to make a statement, and any statement you make can and may be used against you in court. You have the right to stop any interview or questioning at any time. And with felonies you have the right to have an examining trial."

- Q. Now, Judge Wilcoxson, I believe that you had stated earlier that after each one of those warnings -- and they are numbered; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. After each warning, did you ask the defendant whether he understood that particular warning?
  - A. That is my policy, and I believe that I did.
- Q. Okay. And, Judge Wilcoxson, do you see the person that you came to know as Jedidiah Isaac Murphy here in the courtroom?
  - A. I feel that I do.
- Q. Can you point him out, describe what he's wearing today?
- A. He's the young man over with the business suit and tie on.
  - Q. What color tie is he wearing?
- A. It's a dark tie.
  - MS. MILLER: Okay. Your Honor, we'd ask the

- Q. (By Ms. Miller) Now, did the defendant tell you that he -- or acknowledge to you that he understood each and every one of the warnings after you read them to him?
- A. He was very quiet, but, yes, he did, either with a nod or a yes.
- Q. Okay. And did you have the defendant initial each and every one of the warnings, showing that he understood them?
  - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Okay. And did he sign his name down at the bottom acknowledging that you read them, read him the warnings, and that he understood them?
  - A. He signed as the person warned.
- Q. Okay. Now, on State's Exhibit Number 35 and 36, there is a line or a signature "Gary Rose," under witnesses. Is that Deputy Gary Rose of the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Also there is -- under "remarks" it says "known address 1718 Barclay, Richardson, Texas." And it says 09-01-75 WM. Is that a date of birth 9-1 of 1975?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. And WM meaning white male?

1 A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Drivers license unknown?
- A. Unknown by me at that time.
- Q. As far as the address goes, do you have the person arraigned, in this particular case the defendant, Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, acknowledge that that is in fact his address?
- A. That is my policy, and I have no reason to believe that it was not done.
- Q. Now, do you recall whether or not he's the one that actually gave you that address or whether you obtained it from someone else?
  - A. I do not recall.
- Q. Okay. But as far as your policy is, you at least get them to verify that that is the particular address?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, on State's Exhibit Number 36, that is a magistrate warning for the offense of murder; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Are the -- is State's Exhibit Number 36 almost identical to State's Exhibit Number 35, other than what the charge is and the bail amount?
  - A. Except for the offense and the bail amount.
- Q. Okay. And once again, is this arraignment done separate and apart from the credit card abuse arraignment?

- Q. So you read the warnings that you already read to this jury two times to the defendant; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you also stop and ask the defendant after each warning whether or not he understood them as you were arraigning him the second time for the murder charge?
- A. That's my policy, and I have no reason to believe that I did not.
- Q. And on State's Exhibit Number 36, does it also show that he initialed each and every one of those warnings showing that he understood those?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did he also on State's Exhibit Number 36 sign as the person that was in fact warned?
  - A. He did.
- Q. Now, Judge Wilcoxson, you said that you have been the Justice of the Peace for a little over 15 years. In your 15 years as a JP and arraigning different people, have you had the occasion to come in contact with intoxicated persons?
  - A. I have.
- Q. And when you came in contact with the defendant, did he appear to be intoxicated at the time that you were giving him the warnings?
  - A. I'm no expert, but I did not believe that he was

- Q. Okay. If someone is intoxicated when you go to magistrate or arraign them, do you go ahead and proceed with the arraignment or do you stop at that point and wait for them to sober up?
- A. As a norm, as magistrates in Van Zandt County, we do most of our magistrating at the Van Zandt County jail. And most of the time the defendants are not brought to us until the next morning or afternoon.
- Q. If he had been intoxicated, would you have continued the arraignment or would you have postponed it until you felt that he was sober enough to proceed with the arraignment?
- A. I would not have arraigned this young man if he -- if I had felt he was intoxicated.
- Q. Okay. Judge Wilcoxson, when you were magistrating the defendant, you said that he appeared to understand each and every one of his warnings and he either acknowledged it by saying yes or by nodding; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you said that he did not appear to be intoxicated. Did you smell any odor of an alcoholic beverage on the defendant when you arraigned him?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Can you tell this jury how close in proximity the defendant was to you during the arraignment?

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Judge Wilcoxson, when the defendant was brought

before you for the arraignments, you read him his Miranda
warnings. Do you -- or did you know whether or not he had
been given any Miranda warnings prior to his arrival at the

MS. BALIDO: Judge, we'd object to that first as hearsay, and, secondly, based on our objection that is, I guess, pending before the Court at this time.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled on both counts at this point.

- Q. (By Ms. Miller) Did you know whether he had been given his Miranda warnings prior to arriving before you?
  - A. I do not recall that being discussed in my presence.
- Q. Regardless of whether the defendant had been given Miranda warnings prior to arriving before you at the Edgewood Police Department, would you go ahead and give him the same warnings that are shown in State's 35 and 36, regardless of how many times he had been Mirandized prior to his appearance before you?
  - A. That's correct, I would.

Edgewood Police Department?

Q. Okay. So if he had been given his Miranda warnings ten times prior to coming before you, you would still give him the same warnings that you gave him as evidenced in State's 35 and 36?

MS. BALIDO: Judge, that assumes facts not in evidence at this time.

1 THE COURT: Overruled. 2 You may answer, Judge. 3 Α. Yes, I would. 4 (By Ms. Miller) Judge Wilcoxson, thank you very Q. 5 much. MS. MILLER: And we'll pass the witness. 6 7 Judge, as stated previously, her notes have already been 8 tendered to the defense. 9 Cross-Examination 10 By Ms. Balido: 11 Judge Wilcoxson, my name is Jennifer Balido, and I Ο. 12 represent Jedidiah Murphy in this case. And I'm going to ask 13 you some questions about the warnings that you gave him in this case. 14 15 Α. Okav. 16 Q. Let me ask you -- State's Exhibit Number 35 is 17 actually the credit card abuse warnings; is that correct? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 Q. Okay. And that actually has a credit card abuse 20 warrant number on it? 21 Α. That's correct. 22 Q. Okay. Now, State's Exhibit Number 36 is the 23 warnings that you gave for a murder case; is that correct?

Q. It just says murder, it doesn't say capital murder?

24

25

Α.

That's correct.

1 A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. Are there any additional warnings that you give a capital -- well, first, let me ask, have you ever given any warnings to someone that was charged with capital murder?
- A. Over the past 15 years probably one or two, and I could not remember when.
- Q. Okay. Is there anything special that you do when you're arraigning somebody on capital murder charges in relationship to the fact that the State can seek the death penalty?
  - A. No, I read these Miranda rights.
- Q. Okay. Did you actually have the credit card abuse warrant in your hand when you arraigned him on the credit card case?
  - A. I do not recall that, no.
- Q. And I assume that you did not have any sort of warrant or affidavit for arrest warrant regarding the murder case?
  - A. Not at that time.
- Q. And actually he was being arraigned for murder, not investigation of murder or not investigation of capital murder?
- A. He was being arraigned for the charge, with the offense of murder.

- Q. Now, you said that -- that you did not have any arraignment papers with you at the time?
  - A. No, I did not.

- Q. Okay. Did you have a Penal Code with you at the time?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. Did you -- is it commonly your practice or not your practice to read out of or to refer to the Penal Code when you're arraigning somebody on the charges that they may be held on?
  - A. There are occasions that I do.
- Q. Okay. And on what occasions were those?
- A. Those would be when I would be in a setting where I had the books available with me.
- Q. But they don't have -- you didn't -- they don't have any books like that at the Edgewood Police Department?
- A. If they were, they were locked up. I did not have the availability of them, no.
- Q. Okay. But if those books had been available, then do you think it would have been your practice to go ahead and read out what the actual charge, the Penal Code -- is it 19.01, capital murder or murder would be?
- A. That is not a norm that I would do because I don't handle these kind of cases that much in Van Zandt County.
  - Q. Okay. In effect, this was kind of an out of the

- A. Well, to begin with, I was called out to do an inquest. And then I was asked to magistrate a defendant.
- Q. And you said that it's usually the practice of the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department to bring people down in the morning so you usually don't see intoxicated people because they've usually slept it off?
- A. Well, that's not always the case. I've had occasions when intoxicated people would come before me in the morning and I would not arraign them because I felt like that they were not able to understand all that I would be saying to them at that time.
- Q. Okay. So even after they've been sitting in the jail for a little while, they still haven't slept it off enough to fully understand their warnings?
- A. I'm not an expert, but there are occasions that I feel uncomfortable with arraigning them and I do not do it.
- Q. Let me ask you a little bit about these warnings that you read to Mr. Murphy. It says basically in the first warning that you're charged with the offense of -- in one of them, credit card abuse, and the other one murder; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. But it does not set out what the punishment range is for those offenses, either credit card abuse or murder?

- •

- A. No, it does not.
- Q. Additionally, you put down that an affidavit charging you with this offense has not been filed with the court and that's in both cases?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So you didn't know any -- you did not have an affidavit in front of you stating the facts of this offense?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. Warning Number 2 is you have the right to hire a lawyer and have your lawyer represent (sic) prior to and during any interview and questioning by peace officers or attorneys representing the State; is that correct?
- A. Have your lawyer present prior to and during any interview.
- Q. Oh, I'm sorry. It kind of sounded kind weird when I said it.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. I'm sorry. If you are too poor to hire -- I'm sorry. If you are too poor to afford a lawyer, you have the right to request the appointment of a lawyer to be present prior to and during any such interview and questioning and you may have reasonable time and opportunity to consult your lawyer if you desire.
  - Is that basically what Number 2 says?
  - A. That's correct.

Murphy whether or not he was indigent?

- A. No, I did not. I asked him if he understood these rights.
- Q. Okay. And so I'm assuming then that you also didn't ever ask him if he wanted a lawyer?
- A. No, I did not. I just asked him if he understood these rights.
- Q. And is that done -- do you go through each one individually and check it off and then have him -- have him initial it, or is it one of those things that you read it all, you check them all off, and then hand them to him?
- A. My policy is, and I have no reason to believe that I did not do this, normally I will read the rights one at a time and then I will say, do you understand these rights as read. And then when I get completely through, that's when they initial that they've been read their rights and that they -- and -- as read, and then they get a copy of this.
- Q. Okay. I guess what I'm asking is, do you go through and say Number 1, and read Number 1, and then say do you understand that right as read?
  - A. As a policy, I do.
  - Q. Okay.

A. And I have no reason to believe that I did not.

- Case 3:10-cv-00163-N Document 42-10 Filed 05/05/10 Page 43 of 515 Page 12 661 21 But the initials don't come to the very end when Ο. he's signing it --That's correct. Α. -- and then also initial them? Ο. Α. That's correct. I want to talk to you a little bit about -- about Ο. his demeanor that day. You said when you were talking about -- when he was responding to you in the -- when you were explaining his warrants -- warnings to him, that he was very quiet? Α. He was quiet.
  - Okay. So am I assuming that he wasn't laughing or Q. joyful in any way?
    - He was just quiet. Α.
  - Okay. Do you remember him smiling or taking things Q. lightly?
  - No, I do not. Α.
  - You also described him as being sleepy. What --Q. what indicated to you that you thought that he kind of looked sleepy?
    - Α. I think I said he appeared sleepy.
  - Uh-huh. Q.
    - Just a kid, like he had just been woke up. Α.
  - Okay. 24 Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 Α. Perhaps.

- Ο. Okay. But he was calm. That was your testimony?
- Α. He was quiet.
- Okay. Did -- now, the time on both of these sheets, Q. you said that you did these separately and apart from each other; is that correct?
- I did, but they were together, and I used the same time for the arraignment procedures.
- Ο. That's exactly what I'm asking. So -- so that's an approximate time although one may be at 5:02 and one may be at 5:01?
  - Α. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Did Mr. Murphy ever make any sort of request from you that he was hungry or he was tired or needed to go to the bathroom or anything like that?
  - No, he did not. A.
- Let me also ask you a little bit -- now, you talked to Ms. Miller about that you recognize the smell of alcohol, but I don't ever think that she ever asked you the question: Did he actually smell like alcohol?
  - Α. No, he did not.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Q. Did he ever smell like marijuana? 2 I'm not an expert, but I don't think so. 3 smelled marijuana smell on people before, and I did not smell that odor. 4 5 0. Okay. Did you smell that, as you described it, the 6 stale smell sometimes that comes off of people from having 7 alcohol --Α. 8 No. 9 -- on or about their person? 10 Α. No, I did not. 11 Okay. About how long total do you think that you Q. 12 spent with Mr. Murphy? 13 Α. Just a brief period of time because as soon as we 14 got through with the magistrate procedures there at the 15 Edgewood Police Department, I was then taken on out to the 16 inquest site. 17 Ο. So do you have kind of a ball park? 18 Α. Probably no more than -- I don't know, maybe 10 19 minutes, 15 at the most. 20 MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'll pass the witness. 21 MS. MILLER: The State has no further 22 questions, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: May this witness be excused, 24 subject to recall, should such be necessary?

MS. BALIDO: No objection.

- 2
- Q. -- 5th, the year 2000?
- 3
- A. If that's the night we're in question in here, no, ma'am, I was not.

4

Q. Okay. So you were off duty, but you were still a member of the police department?

6 7

A. Yes, ma'am.

Yes.

8

9

Q. I don't want to get into basically what all came about. I just want to focus specifically at this point on when you actually went into the house.

10

A. Okay.

Milton?

12

Q. Okay. Were you part of the --

13

THE COURT: This is the house of Ora Mae

14

15

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

16

MS. BALIDO: Thank you, Judge.

17

18

Q. (By Ms. Balido) And were you part of the arrest team that initially or first walked into Ora Mae Milton's house to arrest Jim Murphy?

19

20

A. No, ma'am.

21

Q. Okay. You were part of the perimeter team or the back door team sort of?

2223

A. Yes, ma'am.

24

25

Q. Were you part of the group of officers that walked into the bedroom where Jim Murphy was located, that first

- 1 group of officers?
  - A. No, ma'am.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

- Q. Okay. Where were you when that occurred, if you know?
  - A. I was on the outside of the house.
- Q. Okay. And when was it that you actually walked into the house or into the bedroom?
- A. Me and some other officers were standing out front -- out front of Ora Mae's house. Chief Deputy at the time Gary Rose came out and some other officers were with him, said that he couldn't get a whole lot -- whole lot of communication going on with Jim and asked me if I went to school with him and I said, yes. He asked me if I could go talk to him.
- Q. And so you -- that was when you decided to go inside the house?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And you actually walked into the bedroom where Jim was located?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And were you the only person in the room with Jim at the time, or were there other officers there?
- A. At the time when we actually got down to talking about it?
- 25 O. Yes.

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25

- A. There was another officer there -- at first I walked in by myself and another officer came in a couple of minutes later.
  - Q. Did you ever Mirandize Jim Murphy that night?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever hear anybody read him his rights?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. So before you -- before you started asking him questions and you went in there with the intent to ask him questions about -- about the credit cards and also about what other officers had told you in regard to the suspicion of his -- his involvement in the disappearance of Ms. Cunningham?
- A. The disappearance of Ms. Cunningham was my primary reason for talking to him.
- Q. Okay. And before you asked him questions, you did not Mirandize him?
  - A. I did not.
  - Q. And you did not give him his 38.22 warnings?
  - A. I did not give him any warnings.
- Q. Okay. Did he ever tell you that he had been given his warnings and he wished to waive those warnings or wished to give up his rights under Miranda and talk to you about it?
  - A. He did not.

1 Okay. So you just basically talked to him about Q. 2 what had happened without any sort of talk about warnings at 3 all? 4 Α. Yes, ma'am. 5 Q. Okay. 6 THE COURT: Were you in uniform or plain 7 clothes at the time? 8 THE WITNESS: I was in an off duty uniform, generic. I was working an off duty security job in Dallas. 9 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 0. (By Ms. Balido) But it wasn't your Edgewood uniform? 12 13 Α. No, ma'am. 14 Ο. So were you sent in there by Gary Rose? 15 Α. I wasn't sent. 16 Q. Okay. But you volunteered to go in there --17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. -- and ask him questions? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Because you thought the defendant would talk to you Q. 21 because y'all were friends? 22 Α. I felt comfortable. 23 Q. Okay. 24 THE COURT: What was the nature of your past 25 relationship with the defendant? You said you went to school

1	together?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, we were
3	THE COURT: What grades?
4	THE WITNESS: Seven through high school. 7th
5	grade through high school.
6	THE COURT: Middle school or junior high all
7	the way through high school?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: Graduated same class?
10	THE WITNESS: No, sir, I didn't graduate. I
11	dropped out in the 10th grade and he went on, I believe, to
12	graduate.
13	THE WITNESS: All right.
14	MS. BALIDO: Judge, we'll pass the witness.
15	MR. DAVIS: I've got no questions for the
16	purpose of this hearing.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down,
18	sir.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DAVIS: The State's ready to call Deputy
21	Gary Rose.
22	MS. BALIDO: Judge, for the purposes of this
23	hearing, we would call for the limited purposes of this
24	hearing Jedidiah Murphy.
25	THE COURT: Would you rise, please, raise your

Case 3:10 cv-00163-N Document 42-10 Filed 05/05/10 Page 53 of 515 Page D 6620 Page 51

## JEDIDIAH ISAAC MURPHY

2

the defendant, was called as a witness in his own behalf and, after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

## Direct Examination

4

5

By Ms. Balido:

6

Q. Can you please state your name for the record?

7

A. Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.

8

Q. And, Mr. Murphy, you are the man that stands accused of capital murder in this case?

9

10

A. Yes, ma'am.

11

Q. I want to direct your attention to the early morning hours of October the 5th, the year 2000. You were -- you

13

12

were inside Ora Mae Milton's house at that time, the early

14

morning hours; is that correct?

15

A. Yes, ma'am.

16 17

Q. And can you tell us what's the -- well, before the police came into the room, were you asleep or were you awake?

18

A. Asleep.

19

Q. Okay. And when you say asleep, how long do you think you had been asleep?

20 21

A. A couple of hours.

22

Q. Okay. And was it a deep sleep, a light sleep, or can you recall?

2324

A. It was a passed out sleep.

25

Q. Okay. You say it's a passed out sleep because what

- 1 had you been doing prior --2 We had been drinking all night. 3 Q. Okay. Smoking marijuana. We were both pretty hammered. 4 Α. 5 Q. 6 7 Yes, ma'am. A. 8 0.
  - And when you say you were both pretty hammered, that was referring to Treshod Tarrant?
  - Okay. And so I don't want to really focus on I want to talk about you and your mental state at Treshod. the time.

You had been drinking all day; is that correct?

Α. Yes, ma'am.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 0. Would you state that you were intoxicated at the time that you went to sleep?
  - Α. Yes, ma'am.
- Had you been ingesting marijuana prior to going to 0. sleep?
- Α. Yes, ma'am.
- What is the first thing you recall about the police Ο. coming in that morning?
- Them flipping me over. I was laying on my stomach, A. and they flipped me -- no, I was laying on my back, and they flipped me over on my stomach to put the handcuffs on me.
- Okay. Do you remember them saying that -- do you Q. remember anybody saying that you were under arrest?

- 1 Α. No, ma'am. 2 Do you remember anybody saying that you had any 3 rights under the law at all? 4 Α. No, ma'am. 5 Q. You just remember people coming in, flipping over, б and then putting the handcuffs on you? 7 Α. Yes, ma'am. 8 What is the first thing you remember being said to Ο. 9 you? 10 Well, they sit me up, and I was laying on my 11 They sit me up on the edge of the bed. Deputy Rose 12 asked me where she was. 13 Q. Okay. Where is she? 14 Α. Where is she? 15 Q. Okay. At any point did Deputy Rose read you any 16 Miranda warnings? 17 Α. Absolutely not. 18 Q. That you recall? 19 Α. No, ma'am. 20 Okay. Were you in such a -- well, did you ever tell Q. 21 Deputy Rose that you understood any warnings? 22 Α. No, ma'am. 23 Q. Or you understood what your rights were?
  - Q. Did you ever tell Deputy Rose that you wanted to

25

Α.

No, ma'am.

1	waive your rights and make statements regarding the location
2	of Ms. Cunningham or anything that had to do with Ms.
3	Cunningham?
4	A. No, ma'am.
5	(Defense counsel confer with one another.)
6	Q. (By Ms. Balido) At some point did Deputy Rose leave
7	the room?
8	A. Yes, ma'am.
9	Q. Okay. And Jason Bonham came in; is that correct?
10	A. Yes, ma'am.
11	Q. Okay. And did he ever read you any rights?
12	A. No, ma'am.
13	Q. Did you ever tell him that you wanted to waive your
14	rights under the law and and make a statement of any kind:
15	A. No, ma'am.
16	Q. Okay. Did the other man that came in with Jason
17	read you any rights?
18	A. No, ma'am.
19	Q. Any anyone in the bedroom, any officer that may
20	have come into the bedroom, ever read you any rights
21	regarding you had the right to remain silent and your Mirand
22	warnings?
23	A. No, ma'am.
24	Q. And you never told any of those officers that you
25	wanted to give up those rights?

- Q. Okay. Do you remember anything about asking any questions to the officers about requesting to get dressed or go to the bathroom or anything like that?
  - A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. I was already dressed.
- Q. Okay. How long was -- if you can estimate, do you know how long Deputy Rose was in the room with you before Jason came in?
- A. Not very long at all, 5 minutes, 3 minutes. Just long enough to ask me where she was and he went out and got Jason and it kind of startled me because I ain't seen him in a long time.
  - Q. Seeing Jason startled you some?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Can you tell me in your mind, Jim, when were you first kind of aware of what was going on around you that morning? I mean, you know, you were flipped over and you -- and handcuffed and that kind of deal. Did it take you awhile to clear your head, or what was the situation?
- A. I couldn't -- I don't know -- I don't know really what it was. I didn't really come to until I started talking to Jason.
  - Q. Okay.

- A. I was sleepy and full of alcohol, so --
- Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I wasn't very conscious.
- Q. Okay. And so is it fair to say that you weren't thinking very clearly at that point?
  - A. Not at all.
- Q. Okay. Were you thinking clearly enough at that point to understand the ramifications of what you were doing?
  - A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. But --
- A. I remember when Detective Rose came in there, but -- and I remember what he said, but as far as me comprehending everything that was going on around me, I didn't do that.
  - Q. Okay.
- MS. BALIDO: I'll pass the witness at this time, Judge.

## Cross-Examination

18 || By Mr. Davis:

- Q. You seemed to remember how long you had been asleep that morning, correct?
  - A. Roughly two hours, I believe.
  - Q. So you remember that?
    - A. Somewhat, yes, sir.
- Q. You remember the position that you were asleep when the officers came into the room, right?

- A. Where -- as to where my head was?
- Q. No, sir, the fact that you were sleeping on your back. You remember that today, don't you?
  - A. I remember because they flipped me over.
- Q. And you remember being flipped over on your stomach, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. You remember being handcuffed, right?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You don't have any -- any problem remembering this morning that they told you that you were under arrest. You remember that, don't you?
  - A. Do what, now?
- Q. You remember one of those officers that morning telling you that you were under arrest?
- A. Later on in the morning, yes, I did. I took that as a given when the handcuffs hit me.
- Q. So you understood the impact of having those handcuffs put on your wrist, didn't you?
  - A. Why sure.
  - Q. Is that a yes?
  - A. Why sure, yes, sir.
- Q. You seem to have a very clear memory that they did not read you any Miranda warning. You sure about that?
  - A. I know exactly the first thing that came out of his

- So as you sit here this morning, you now remember that you said something about the trunk of the car?

23

24

25

Well, that and listening to his testimony. I put Α. two and two together.

- Q. So that jogged whatever memory that you had, right?
  - A. A little bit, yes, it did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What else do you remember Deputy Rose saying to you?
- A. I don't really remember him saying much else to me.
- Q. Did he say anything else to you?
- A. I can't answer that definitely, no.
- Q. Well, does that mean that you don't remember or that it's possible he may have said or that he said -- wait until I finish the question. Or that he said something, but that you just can't remember exactly what it was?
- A. There were quite a few people in the room, so I couldn't tell exactly who was saying what at the time.
- Q. So as Deputy Rose is initially up there with you, you remember that other people were in the room with him, right?
- A. They were all over me. I couldn't help but remember that.
- Q. And you remember, don't you, that they were law enforcement officers; is that correct?
  - A. Well, yes, sir.
  - Q. Were they also talking?
  - A. I believe so.
  - Q. You remember that?
- A. Well, yes, sir.
  - Q. And all of this is happening before Jason Bonham

- 1 | ever came in the room, right?
- A. I didn't realize he wasn't in the room. I didn't know that.
  - Q. Well, this is all before Jason Bonham actually started talking with you, right?
    - A. It is, yes, sir.
  - Q. So that as I recall when he asked you where is she, you said something about the trunk. You understood the question that was being asked of you, didn't you?
  - A. When he said where is Ms. Cunningham?
  - Q. Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- 12 A. Yeah, I knew what he was asking.
  - Q. You didn't have any problems? There was no miscommunication. You understood what he was asking of you, correct?
    - A. Well, I was a little in and out of it. I was intoxicated, so I really couldn't comprehend all that was going on around me.
      - Q. You responded --
    - A. I did the best I could.
- 21 Q. You responded to that question, didn't you?
  - A. I did respond to that question.
- 23 \ Q. And you gave them a location, didn't you?
  - A. To the best of my ability, I believe I did.
- Q. How much marijuana did you smoke that day?

- A. Between -- I don't even know how many people there were. There were probably four or five of us. We smoked probably three or four joints.
  - Q. Each person?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

- A. No, no, they just passed them around.
- Q. Who were they?
- A. I don't know any one of Shod's friends. I don't know their names. I didn't know them. I knew Shod. These were Shod's friends.
  - Q. Where did you smoke the pot?
- A. At the apartment. One of them had an apartment. We were at his apartment.
  - Q. Do you remember that?
  - A. His girlfriend.
  - Q. You remember that?
- A. When we were at his apartment?
- 17 || Q. Yes, sir.
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So you -- that actually had occurred before you were ever awakened by Deputy Rose, didn't it?
  - A. Yeah.
    - Q. You have a memory of that today, don't you?
  - A. Yeah, I was still drinking.
  - Q. You remember the number of joints that y'all passed around when you were smoking pot?

1 A. Because Shod was rolling the marijuana right beside 2 me. What time did that occur? 3 Ο. 4 Α. Now, that's something I couldn't tell you. 5 Q. Sometime in the evening? 6 Α. Late evening. 7 Q. Is that after you ate in Terrell? I didn't eat anything. 8 Α. 9 0. Well, is that after the two of you went to the Cole 10 Mountain restaurant, let me rephrase it that way? 11 Α. Yes, it is. Yes, it is. 12 Q. Is that the only marijuana that you smoked that day? 13 Α. Yes, it is. 14 Q. You said you were drinking all day. What's the 15 first time that you had anything to drink on October the 5th? 16 Α. As far as -- well, I drank -- I started drinking --17 you want to know what time I started drinking? 18 0. That's my question. 19 Α. October 5th was the day I was arrested, was it not? 20 Q. No, sir, October 6th actually about 3:00 in the 21 morning. 22 A. Well, October 4th and the 5th -- I started drinking 23 on the 4th at my sister Tonya's house, drank everything she 24 had in the bar and a bottle of champagne.

Let's just stop right there. What all did she have

25

Ο.

- Page 64
- 1 | in the bar that you drank then on the 4th?
  - A. Tequila.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. How much?
  - A. There was about that much in the bottle, so I --
- Q. Holding up your fingers, what about an inch and a half --
- A. About an inch and a half.
- Q. So you drank Tequila. What else did you drink at Tonya's house on the 4th?
- A. She had some Gilbey's gin.
- 11 Q. How much of that did you drink?
- 12 | A. About the same amount. About the same amount.
- Q. What else did you drink?
- A. Champagne.
- 15 Q. How much?
- 16 | A. A whole bottle.
- Q. All right. What time did that occur on October the 4th?
- 19 A. Sir, I can't tell you an exact time. I couldn't --
- Q. Morning, afternoon, or night?
- 21 A. Just before noon. Right at noon.
- Q. When is the next time that you had anything to drink?
- 24 A. When I went to Bleachers.
- Q. What did you have to drink?

1 Two Jagermeisters. I thought it was more, but he Α. 2 said two. 3 0. You thought it was more. How much did you think --4 Α. I thought it was more than two shots. 5 Q. How much did you think it was? More like four. Α. 6 7 You now recall that it was two? Q. 8 Α. Well, I'm going with what he said. ુ 9 0. Two shots of Jagermeister at Bleachers. What time 10 did that occur? 11 Α. I don't have that answer either. I don't know. 12 Afternoon? 0. 13 Afternoon, I guess that would be about right. Α. 14 0. What's the next time that you had anything to drink 15 on the 4th? When I went and bought some beer at the Racetrac or 16 Α. 17 past George Bush Highway. I think it was a Racetrac. When did that occur? 18 Ο. 19 Α. I don't know the time. It was after I had the car. 20 Q. After you had Ms. Cunningham's car? 21 Α. Yes, sir. Ryan Hammonds or Zach Mamot or Ashley Johnson with 22 Q.

you by that time, or had you not met them yet?

I think they all three were with me.

What did you buy at the Racetrac?

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

- 1 Α. Some beer. 2 Ο. How much? 3 Α. I don't know if it was a 6 or 12-pack. I can't 4 remember that either. 5 Ο. When did you consume it? 6 Α. Well, I was consuming it at the time. I was just 7 drinking it as I was going. 8 Q. How much of it did you drink? 9 Α. Every bit of it. 10 0. Sir? 11 A. Every bit of it. 12 While these kids were with you? Q. 13 Α. Not -- I didn't drink all of it while they were with 14 me, but I did consume it all. 15 0. How much did you drink while the kids were with you? 16 Α. Probably about five. 17 Q. When did you finish it off then? 18 Α. Later that evening. 19 Q. Like when? 20 Α. Just -- just continued to drink after I dropped them 21 all off. 22 Q. Did you consume it before you got to Shawn Cruz's 23 house?
  - A. Yes, I did.

25

Q. Did you have anything -- well, did you purchase any

- other alcoholic beverage before you got to Shawn Cruz's house that night?
  - A. I cannot remember.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

22

- Q. Did you -- all right. After you left Shawn Cruz's, when is the next time you purchased any alcoholic beverages?
- A. I don't remember if it was that night or the next day.
  - Q. What did you purchase next?
  - A. Oh, it would have been beer.
  - Q. How are you sure of that?
- A. Because that's -- I got to where I quit drinking liquor so much, but just every now and then because of my stomach. I got a bad problem with an ulcer.
- Q. Where do you remember buying beer next on the 5th now?
- 16 | A. A.P.'s. A.P.'s.
- 17 | Q. Where is that?
  - A. Terrell, Texas.
- 19 Q. Where is that located in Terrell?
- 20 A. Off -- it's off 20. I don't know. It's one of the 21 exits off 20. I can't remember what road it's on.
  - Q. When did you buy that?
  - A. I don't have -- I don't remember the time either.
- Q. Well, was that before you got down to Shod's house to visit with him?

1 A. Yes, sir, it was.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. How much did you buy at A.P.'s?
- A. I believe it was an 18-pack.
- Q. How much of it did you consume before you got down to Shod's house?
  - A. Probably about 14. I had a few of them left.
  - Q. When you got to Shod's?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you purchase any other alcoholic beverages before you got to Shod's besides the 18-pack?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Once you got to Shod's, how much more alcoholic beverage did you -- did you purchase on the 5th?
- A. We went and got the two 18-packs and that bottle of Cognac Hennessy.
- Q. And prior to the time that Deputy Rose came into your room that morning, how much of the two 18-packs had you consumed?
- A. Oh, I couldn't even imagine. I have no idea. No idea. Not even a clue.
  - Q. So you got no clue as to how many of those?
- A. None at all.
  - Q. The Hennessy, how much of that did you drink?
- A. We were just passing it around. I don't know exactly how much. I could say it was mine, so I would say

shot wise probably 15 shots.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

18

- Q. Now, that Hennessy, that's liquor that you tried to stay away from --
  - A. It is -- yes, it is.
  - Q. Because of your stomach, right?
- A. Yes, it is. Exactly.
- Q. Did you have anymore alcoholic beverage once you got back to Shod's house before you went to bed?
  - A. Back from smoking marijuana and drinking?
- Q. Right. The last time that you actually got back to
  Ms. Milton's house?
- 12 | A. I don't --
  - Q. Did you have anything there -- she let -- she let people drink in her house?
  - A. No way. Huh-uh.
- 16 Q. Back on the 4th, did you smoke any pot that day?
- 17 A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Did you take any sort of illegal controlled substance? I'm talking cocaine, heroin, speed, anything?
- 20 | A. No, sir.
- 21 | Q. How about on the 5th? Anything besides marijuana?
- 22 | A. No, sir.
- 23 MR. DAVIS: I pass the witness, Your Honor.
- MS. BALIDO: Judge, I just have a couple of
- 25 | more questions.

## Redirect Examination 1 2 By Ms. Balido: 3 Along with the alcohol that you drank at Tonya's 0. 4 house, were there any kind of prescription medications that 5 you took over there as well? 6 No, not that I remember. Okay. So you don't remember taking any? 7 Q. No, I don't believe I did. 8 Α. 9 Q. Either that morning before you left to go to 10 Bleachers or the morning that you returned later on? I don't believe I did. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. 13 MS. BALIDO: That's it, Judge. 14 Recross-Examination 15 By Mr. Davis: 16 Q. The Tequila, bottle of Tequila, did you finish that off? 17 18 Α. The Tequila? 19 Ο. At Tonya's? 20 Α. I believe I did. 21 Did you leave the bottle there? Q. 22 Yes, I did. Α. 23 How about the Gilbey's -- Gilbey's gin? Did you Q. 24 finish that bottle off? 25 I believe I left it there, also.

24

25

defendant has ever indicated that he didn't want to talk to

law enforcement. In fact, the testimony will be before this

jury that he did in fact voluntarily tell Officer Bonham

where the body was without any coercion or threats or promises. Again, I would point out that the defendant's testimony and contradictions there where he wants you to believe he didn't come to and didn't understand anything that was happening inside that room until Deputy Gary Rose got there when in fact he's able to remember in great detail where he was, what he was doing, positions that he was sleeping, how long he had been sleeping. And so I would simply say that his testimony on that matter is simply not credible.

THE COURT: Defense may conclude.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, we would agree with most of what the State said as to what the testimony was, and that's exactly the point. The state of the testimony is that Gary Rose said that he gave the warnings, however he never said that there was any indication from the defendant that he understood those warnings and that he wished to waive those warnings. There is just know indication at all. There is nothing in the record that said that he did understand the warnings. There's nothing in the record that he understood the warnings and that he waived those warnings. There's just no indication at all in the record that the defendant did understand his Miranda warnings and therefore waived those Miranda warnings and decided to make oral statements to any one of the police officers he made oral statements to.

We would state that under 38.22, subsection A, no oral statement is admissible unless, number one, it was recorded; or, number two, that the -- their rights were given and waived; number three, that the recorder was capable of making such a recording; and, number four, all voices were I.D.ed. There is no recording in this case, and so we would say that it's on the burden of State to prove that the oral statement should be admissible. They can't do that through Moon -- based on the holding of Moon versus State without proving that the due process requirements of voluntariness and the requirements of Miranda were first met. And we would say that on the facts of this case and the record as developed through cross-examination of the defendant and the testimony of the officers that they have not met their burden.

So basically what we would say, Judge, is based on 38.22 and the protections that are set out in subsection A of that section, that the statements be suppressed and that all evidence taken from those statements or brought in by -- or gained by the police, based on that statement, should be suppressed.

Additionally, we would say that the State has not shown that subsection C should apply in this case of 38.22, and therefore there has been no proof to this Court that those written statements should be brought in there, brought

into court as evidence. And we would say that under Moon versus State, under 38.22 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure, over Article 1, Section 10, 13, and 19 of the Texas Constitution and under the 5th, 6th, 8th, and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution and the United States holding in -- in Miranda versus Arizona, in addition to the holdings in Jackson v. Denno, 378 U.S. 670 -- 368 -- and most recently in Dickerson v. U.S. which is a U.S. Supreme Court holding upholding Miranda on June 26th, the year 2000, that court number -- the U.S. Court Number is 99-5525 -- that these oral statements were not made after due process was served and after Miranda was given and therefore should be suppressed and not entered into evidence against the defendant for any purpose.

THE COURT: The Court --

MS. BALIDO: I'm sorry, Judge. We would like findings of facts and conclusions of law stated into the record or in written form to be included in the record of this case.

THE COURT: Based upon the hearing conducted in open court, outside the presence and hearing of the jury, with regard to whether or not the Miranda warnings were given by Deputy Gary Rose of the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department and the issue of whether or not the mental condition of the accused, Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, was such if

given, he comprehended the import of the warnings, the Court makes the following determinations: Find as a matter of law that the deputy did in fact upon entering the bedroom which Mr. Chad Tarrant (sic) and the defendant, Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, were sleeping, that Deputy Rose did in fact give the defendant the Miranda warnings as is required by Miranda versus Arizona, 86 Supreme Court, page 1602. I further find the testimony of the defendant as relates to his ingestion prior to having received the warnings with regard to the consumption of ethyl alcohol and cannabis was not such that his mind was so altered that he did not and could not understand the importance and significance of the warnings being given to him by Deputy Rose.

I find therefore as a matter of law due process, contrary to the contentions of the defense, have been followed with regard to the matters and the evidence supplied by Jedidiah Isaac Murphy subsequent to the warnings will be admitted before the jury should the State's strategy so ask those questions of the jury.

The record further reflect the defense has a continuing objection to this and will not need to make additional objections in front of the jury should this line or testimony be offered once the jury has returned to the court.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, in that regard we would

1	also like to request at this point that the that the Court
2	include in its jury charge to the jury an instruction
3	regarding this portion of the statements of the defendant.
4	THE COURT: As is required by the Code of
5	Criminal Procedure, that request will be granted.
6	MS. BALIDO: Nothing further, Judge.
7	THE COURT: Stand in recess for lunch. The
8	jury is eating. I understand those of you that ordered
9	lunch, it's here as well. We will off the record for
10	scheduling purposes.
11	(Recess of proceedings.)
12	THE BAILIFF: All rise.
13	THE COURT: Let the record reflect the jury is
14	returning to the courtroom at this time.
15	(Jury returned to courtroom.)
16	THE COURT: Jurors may be seated.
17	Mr. Murphy, counsel, visitors in the gallery, you
18	may be seated.
19	Counsel may continue.
20	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
21	
22	
23	(No omissions.)
24	
25	

## GARY ROSE

2

was called as a witness by the State and, after having been

3

first duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

## Direct Examination

5

By Mr. Davis:

6

Q. Just for the record, you're the same Deputy Gary

7

Rose who was testifying yesterday afternoon when we concluded

8

testimony; is that right?

9

A. Yes, sir.

10

Q. All right. Deputy Rose, I believe that at the time

11

that we concluded yesterday you had told us that you had gone

12

inside the home of Ora Mae Milton and had actually gone into

13

a bedroom where Shod Tarrant and the defendant, Jedidiah

14

Murphy, were sleeping; is that right?

15

A. Yes, sir.

16

Q. And I believe the last question I asked you at the time was: Were you the first person who had any actual

17

contact with the defendant?

18 19

A. Yes, sir.

20

Q. And you were in fact the first person; is that

21

right?

A. Yes, sir.

2223

Q. Now, can you tell the members of the jury what

24

happened when you went over to the bed where the defendant

25

was? First of all, was he still sleeping?

A. Yes, sir, he was asleep.

2

Q. All right. So what did you do at that point?

3

A. At that point we woke him up and restrained him, placed him in handcuffs, and set him up in the bed.

5

4

Q. Now, Shod Tarrant, was he still in his own bed?

6

A. Yes, sir.

7

Q. And had you given any instructions to the officers what they should do with Shod?

8

A. No, sir, not at that point.

10

Q. All right. So you handcuffed the defendant, you sat him up in bed, and then what is the next thing that you did?

11 12

A. I read him his Miranda rights.

13

14

15

Q. Now, when you say that you gave him his Miranda warnings or his Miranda rights, are these warnings and rights that you've given on several different occasions during your career?

16

A. Yes, sir.

18

17

Q. When you give the rights, do you have a little three by five card or a small business card that have those written where you read the warnings to him, or do you give the

20 21

19

warnings by memory?

22

A. If I was at the office, I would have the card, but I didn't have a card with me, so I did it by memory.

2324

25

Q. All right. Would you please now turn to the jury and tell them the warnings that you gave to the defendant

that morning on October the 6th?

- A. You have the right to remain silent and not make any statement. Any statement you make can and will be used against you at your trial. Any statement you make can and will be used as evidence against you in court. You have the right to have an attorney present to advise you prior to and during any questioning. If you are unable to employ a lawyer, you have the right to have one appointed to advise you prior to and during any questioning. You have the right to terminate any interview or questioning at any time.
- Q. All right. Now, while you were giving these rights or warnings to the defendant, was he looking at you?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. Did he appear to be listening to you?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- . Q. All right. When you concluded giving him his warnings, did he tell you that he didn't understand the warnings or that he wanted you to leave or that he wasn't going to talk to you?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Did he interrupt you while you were giving him his warnings?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Was there anything that led you to believe that he did not understand his warnings or that he wanted to somehow

- invoke the rights to an attorney or to terminate the interview?
  - A. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How close to the defendant were you at that time, Deputy?
- A. I was probably within a foot, foot and a half in front of him.
- Q. And I believe you told us he was looking -- his eyes were open?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did he appear to be intoxicated during the time that you were talking with him?
- 13 | A. No, sir.
  - Q. Did he appear to be under the influence of any drugs?
    - A. Not that I could tell.
    - Q. Did he evidence any sign whatsoever of any mental illness or mental impairment during the time that you were talking with him there in the bedroom?
      - A. No, sir.
  - Q. Did you believe at that time that he had understood his Miranda rights?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Now, without going into the contents of the conversation, did you begin to have a conversation with the

- Without making a judgment about whether his responses were truthful or not truthful, did his responses appear to be appropriate to what you had said to him?
- Q. How long did you stay with the defendant in the bedroom?
  - A. I would say approximately 5 or 6 minutes.
  - Q. Uh-huh. During that time other -- other law enforcement officers there with you?
    - Α. Yes, sir.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And to back it up, when you went into the bedroom, you had your gun drawn, didn't you?
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- Is that normal procedure if you're going in on this Ο. type of an arrest?

1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 Q. Is that done for -- for what purpose? Your safety? 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 0. So you stayed in there 4 or 5 minutes. Did the 5 defendant leave the bedroom with you, or did he remain in 6 there? 7 Α. He remained in the bedroom. 8 Q. And where, if anywhere, did you go at that point? 9 Α. At that point I went outside the front. 10 0. All right. Where did you go to? 11 Α. To where the car was. 12 0. Would this be the silver Honda that you had seen out 13 front? 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 0. And what did you do when you got to the Honda? 16 Α. I opened the trunk of the car. 17 Q. Had you seen anything on the outside that led you to 18 open the trunk? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 0. What was that? 21 Α. Blood. 22 Q. Blood? 23 Α. (Witness nods head.) 24 Ο. Where on the -- where on the car exactly did you see

25

this blood?

- A. On the rear bumper.
- Q. So you opened up the trunk; is that right?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. And tell the members of the jury what was the appearance of the inside portion of the trunk when you popped the latch?
- A. There was numerous items, looked like clothing scattered throughout the back end.
- Q. All right. And what about smell? Anything unusual there?
  - A. Very pungent odor.
- Q. Have you had occasion in the past to smell items that were bloody, perhaps had been left out in a heated condition or perhaps out in the elements?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, the smell that you had coming from that trunk, was that consistent with that sort of smell that you had experienced before?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Did you find a body inside the trunk?
- 21 A. No, sir.
  - Q. Are you familiar with an Officer Jason Bonham?
- 23 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Who is that -- who is Jason Bonham?
- 25 A. He was employed by the Edgewood Police Department at

1 that time. 2 Was Jason Bonham there at the location? 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 Q. And while you were outside with the Honda, was he 5 out there with you or was he somewhere else? 6 Α. He was somewhere else. 7 Ο. While you were outside, did you see Jason Bonham? 8 Α. At one point, yes, sir. 9 Q. All right. Tell me about that. How did you come in contact with Jason Bonham? 10 11 After I had closed the trunk of the car, I was 12 walking back towards the house. Jason met me outside --13 outside the front of the house. 14 Q. Did y'all have a conversation? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 Q. What was the nature of that conversation? 17 MS. BALIDO: Objection, hearsay. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. 19 Q. (By Mr. Davis) You can go ahead and tell us. 20 Α. Jason had told me that --21 MS. BALIDO: Objection, hearsay. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 Α. Jason Bonham had told me that he had talked to 24 Murphy, and Murphy had told him where the body was. 25 MS. BALIDO: Judge, we're going to object

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Sir, I'm sorry, I couldn't hear your complete answer. Will you tell me again what Jason Bonham told you?
- A. Jason had told me he had spoken with Murphy, and that Mr. Murphy had told him --

MS. BALIDO: Judge, we're going to object to this as double hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Now, would you please tell me again?
  - A. Jason had told me he had spoken with Mr. Murphy, and

A. Yes, sir.

west side?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. How far away from Ms. Milton's house did you and the other two officers go then?

that's say on the north side of town, a little bit on the

- A. I want to say approximately two to three miles.
- Q. Okay. And again, what's the name of the creek that y'all went to?
- A. It's known as Livingston is the way I've always known it.
- Q. Just to kind of -- if you would describe that location for the members of the jury. If we were to drive out there along with you, what would we see? Is this an area where there are a lot of houses, a lot of people living, a developed area, or what sort of area was this?
  - A. There's not a lot of people live out there. It's

- truly and accurately depict the area that you're just testifying about there on that county road by Livingston Creek?
  - A. Yes, sir.

24

1	THE COURT: You may.
2	MR. DAVIS: If you'll stand down here with
3	me
4	THE COURT: Defense may posture themselves in
5	a manner that they may better observe the demonstration by
6	the witness and the prosecutor.
7	Q. (By Mr. Davis) Deputy, we're now looking at State's
8	Exhibit 28. And as we look at this, can you just explain to
9	the members of the jury what they're now looking at?
10	A. This is the road called Livingston Road. It's a Var
11	Zandt County road. It's north of Edgewood.
12	Q. What direction are we looking there?
13	A. North.
14	Q. Is this the portion of the county road that is not
15	paved?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Again, this location would be about how far out of
18	town from Edgewood?
19	A. Approximately one mile.
20	Q. And you're out there about what time
21	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just heard
22	"approximately." I didn't hear the end of the answer.
23	THE WITNESS: One. Approximately one mile.
24	Q. (By Mr. Davis) And approximately what time are you
25	and the other two officers out there?

you see anything unusual in the creek when you looked down

there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. The body was about down there.
- Q. And you've indicated a spot. Have I now placed my finger in that spot?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. You say that you saw a body down in this location?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Describe what you saw at that point?
- A. The body was wrapped in what appeared to me to be maybe a green duffle bag and maybe some towels or blankets and then -- half of the body.
  - Q. Go ahead and have your seat again.

(Witness retakes the stand.)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) And these photographs, we see that there's some water in that creek. When you looked in the creek that night and saw the body, was there water in that creek?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Approximately how deep would you say the water was?
- A. I would say probably for the most part maybe one to two foot deep.
- Q. Now, the body, did I understand you to say that it appeared to be wrapped, at least partially?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Could you tell whether this was a male or a female?
  - A. At that point I couldn't tell.
- Q. Could you tell whether the individual was white or black?
- A. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

18

21

22

- Q. You just saw that it was a body; is that right?
- A. Right.
  - Q. When you saw that, what did you do next then?
- 9 A. I contacted the Garland Police Department.
- 10 Q. And how did you do that?
  - A. By cell phone.
    - Q. And did you in fact talk with some officers from the Garland Police Department?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And why did you call them at that point?
- A. To let them know that we have possibly another crime scene.
  - Q. And did you ask them anything at that point?
- A. I just asked them if they wanted me just to hold both scenes.
  - Q. And did they ask you then to preserve both scenes until they got there?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, did you stay out there at the creek or did you go to another location then?

- A. I went to another location.
- Q. Where did you go to?
  - A. I went back to the Dairy Queen in Edgewood.
- Q. Did the other two officers go with you, or did they remain there at the scene?
  - A. They remained at that scene.
  - Q. And what was the purpose of leaving them behind?
- A. To make sure no one bothered the scene, it was preserved.
- Q. Now, at some point did Garland officers arrive there at the Dairy Queen in Edgewood?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

23

- Q. Do you remember the names of any of the officers who met with you that morning?
  - A. I remember Matt Myer.
    - Q. Detective Matt Myers?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And did y'all have a short discussion there at the Dairy Queen?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. And then did you go somewhere with Detective Myers?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Tell the members of the jury where the two of y'all went initially.
- A. We went back to the creek.

1 Q. And did that give Detective Myers an opportunity to view the crime scene and the body? 2 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 Q. How long do you think that you and Detective Myers 5 remained there at the scene? 6 Α. Maybe 5 or 10 minutes. 7 Q. Where did the two of you go next? 8 Α. We went back to Ms. Milton's residence in Edgewood. 9 Q. All right. And once you got there, what did you do? 10 Α. I just basically turned everything over to him and 11 the Garland Police Department. 12 0. Okay. So I guess at that time that -- it basically become their case; is that right? 13 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 Later did you actually release the crime scene there Q. 16 at Livingston Creek to the Garland Police Department? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Q. Did you also release the scene at Ms. Milton's house 19 to the Garland Police Department? 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 Q. What was done with the silver Honda that you saw 22 outside? 23 Α. It was taken by the Garland Police Department. 24 Q. After you got to Ms. Milton's house, was the

25

defendant still there?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q. Did you have any further conversations with him?
- 3 | A. No, sir.
  - Q. Were you present when any other police officers had conversations with him?
    - A. No, sir.
  - Q. At some point was the defendant transported to the Edgewood Police Department?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Did you go to the Edgewood Police Department with him?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. All right. Were you actually the transporting officer, or did you simply meet him over there at the police department?
    - A. I just met them over there.
  - Q. What was the purpose of taking him to the police department?
    - A. To make sure they arrived at the police department.
- 20 Q. And did Judge Ozelle Wilcoxson finally arrive there?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Were you present when the arraignment was done?
- 23 | A. Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q. Have you witnessed arraignments in the past?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. Did you -- again, did you witness the arraignment done by Judge Wilcoxson? 2 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 Q. Was there anything unusual about this arraignment in 5 comparison to the other arraignments --6 MS. BALIDO: Objection, bolstering. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 Q. (By Mr. Davis) -- in comparison to the other 9 arraignments that you had witnessed? 10 Α. No, sir. 11 Q. How would you describe the defendant's behavior and 12 his demeanor during the time that the Judge was arraigning 13 him? 14 Α. The same, calm. He stood there, didn't say 15 anything. Didn't seem upset. 16 Q. Did Judge Wilcoxson actually read his Miranda 17 rights? 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 Q. And were you asked to sign as a witness on the 20 magistrate's warning sheets? Do you recall that? 21 Α. I think I was. I can't really remember. 22 Q. How long did that arraignment take as you recall? 23 Probably -- maybe 15 minutes. Α. 24 Q. You said that the defendant was quiet. Was he

25

crying?

- Α. I don't remember him crying. 1 Was he saying anything that in your mind led you to 2 0. believe that it might be inappropriate? 3 4 Α. No, sir. Did he appear to understand the warnings that the 5 Ο. Judge had given to him? 6 A. Yes, sir. 7 Following the arraignment then, did you do anything 8 more with the defendant? 9
  - A. No, sir.

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Who took actual custody of the defendant at that time then?
  - A. I don't remember which Garland officer did.
  - Q. One of the Garland officers?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And was it your understanding he was then transported to the City of Garland?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. I want to go back for just a moment when you were at that creek and you saw the body. Did you see any -- any type of wildlife in that creek?
  - A. There was a turtle.
  - Q. Describe to the members of the jury, what did that turtle look like?
    - A. It was a very large typical turtle that's found in

that area in the water.

Was it close to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Was it close to the body?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How close to the body was this turtle?
- A. At one -- at one point it was very close, probably just inches that I seen it.
  - Q. Was anything done with the turtle at that time?
  - A. Not that I -- not that I'm aware of.
  - Q. Did you ever go back to the crime scene?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. When did you do that?
- 12 A. Probably -- are you talking about the one at the 13 creek?
  - Q. Yes, sir, at the creek?
  - A. I returned there probably around 6 or 7 o'clock in the morning.
    - Q. Do you know whether or not Garland police officers went to that creek, to that crime scene?
      - A. Yes, sir.
      - Q. Were you present when they were there?
      - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. But again, when they -- when they got there, was it your understanding that they were in charge of the crime scene as far as evidence collection, documentation of evidence, recovery of the body? Was that your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I know there was Sergeant Goodson, Ronnie Goodson of Α. the Sheriff's Department; Corporal Joey Branch; James DeCoux; Officer Kenney from the Wills Point Police Department; Jason Bonham of the Edgewood Police Department. There was Officer

- Q. Was there one of y'all's civilian jailors with y'all, too, a guy by the name of Heath somebody?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Okay. And he's not really a police officer or anything, he was just kind of along for the ride; is that correct?
  - A. He was riding with a deputy that night, yes, ma'am.
- Q. All right. And basically the reason everybody was meeting up over there at the Dairy Queen was because the car had been spotted over at Ms. Milton's house; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. And so who was in charge of how things were going to happen once y'all started to move on Ms. Milton's house?
  - A. I was.
- Q. Okay. And is it true or is it not true that there were -- there was a perimeter team that kind of was going around the back of the house and then there was also the arrest team?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. And who was part of the arrest team?
- A. I was, Corporal Branch was, Sergeant Rick Goldey was. Those three I can remember off the top of my head. I

- can't remember who else was in the house with us.
- Q. Okay. Do you not remember testifying previously that also Jason Bonham was one of the first people inside the house?
- A. I don't remember saying that he was one of the first ones inside the house.
- Q. Okay. So -- so if you said that previously, you don't remember saying that?
  - A. No, I didn't think that's what I said.
- Q. Okay. So basically you walked up to Ms. Milton's house; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

18

20

22

24

- 13 | Q. And you knew it was Ms. Milton's house?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. And you knew that -- that that's where Shod or Treshod Tarrant lived; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. You had been out there before?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Had you been out there for business or pleasure?
- 21 A. Business.
  - Q. Okay. And you recognized Ms. Milton, correct?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And you told her pretty much it was Gary Rose of the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department; is that correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And she knew who you were?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Did you tell her that you had a warrant for Jim Murphy's arrest?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Or did you -- and that's what your police report says, or did you tell her that you couldn't tell her what it was all about, but you just needed to speak to Jim?
  - A. No, I told her I had a warrant for his arrest.
- Q. All right. And when you entered the house, did you -- were the lights on or off in the house?
  - A. Best I remember they was all off.
    - Q. Okay.
    - A. It was dark, so --
- Q. And did you turn on any lights as you entered the house?
- A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. So how were you getting about? Did y'all have flashlights?
    - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Did you have a flashlight in one hand and your gun in the other hand?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. All right. Who was the first person in -- in the

door?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

- A. I was.
- Q. And who were the second and third and fourth people in the door?
  - A. I couldn't tell you.
  - Q. Okay. And what happened once you got inside?
    - A. Once I got inside the house --
- 8 Q. Right.
  - A. -- or the bedroom?
  - Q. Right inside the house?
- 11 | A. Inside the house?
- 12 | Q. Uh-huh.
  - A. I went straight towards -- I asked Ms. Milton where he was in the house and she indicated back to the east of the house and I started down the small hallway.
  - Q. And you entered the bedroom where -- where you believed Jim to be; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q. Okay. And what was the first thing you did?
- A. I walked straight up to the bed.
  - Q. All right. Did you say you're under arrest?
  - A. I think I called him by name.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Is the first thing I said.
- Q. Okay. Did you call him Jedidiah Isaac Murphy or did

1 | you call him Jim Murphy?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I probably called him Jim. I don't remember which one I used.
  - Q. Okay. Did you know him as Jim?
  - A. I've heard him by both names.
  - Q. Okay. At that time you had?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And so you -- y'all went into the room, you grabbed him, flipped him over, and handcuffed his hands behind his back?
- 11 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And who helped you do that?
  - A. I believe it was Joey Branch was in the room to help me. I believe Rick Goldey helped. I mean, I'm looking down. I'm not looking to see whose hands are whose, so --
    - Q. Okay.
  - A. -- I'm just speculating here.
  - Q. And who -- and so were you speculating when you testified previously that also Officer Bonham was in the room?
  - A. Okay. I don't think I testified that he was in the room when we arrested him. I think I testified he was in the room when I read him his Miranda rights.
    - Q. Okay. So let's talk about that for a little bit.
      - A. Okay.

- 1 2
- Q. You said that you told the D.A. that you were -- that you had actually read Mr. Murphy his Miranda rights?
- 3
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4
- Q. Okay. And you looked at the jury and you said exactly what those Miranda rights were; is that correct?
- 5 6
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7
- Q. Okay. And -- and Mr. Davis asked you, were -- was he looking at you, and you said yes. And that's the truth; is that correct?
- 9

8

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11
- Q. And that he asked you if he seemed to be listening, and you said yes; is that correct?
- 12 13
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14
- Q. And then Mr. Davis asked you if he ever tried to interrupt you, and you said no?
- 16

15

- A. That's correct.
- 17
- Q. Okay. And then he asked you if there was anything that led you to believe that he did not understand his warnings, and you said no.
- 19

18

- A. Correct.
- 21

20

- Q. Is there anything that led you to believe that he did understand his warnings?
- 22

23

- A. He didn't ask any questions about them, didn't make any expressions like he didn't understand.
- 24

25

Q. Did you say do you understand your warnings?

- 1 Α. I don't remember if I asked him or not. 2 Did you go through there and did you say you have a 3 right to remain silent, do you understand that? 4 Α. No. 5 Did you say, you have the right, you know, to have a lawyer appointed for you and before you make any statement, 6 7 do you understand that? 8 Α. No, ma'am. 9 0. You say that if you can't afford a lawyer, one will 10 be appointed for you, do you understand that? 11 Α. No. 12 0. Did you say, you can terminate this interview at any 13 time, Jim, do you understand that? 14 A. No, ma'am. 15 0. Did he ever say to you, I understand all that and I 16 want to make a statement? 17 Α. No. 18 Did he ever make any indication to you at all that Q. 19 he did understand his warnings? 20 A. He didn't verbally say he understood them, no. 21 Q. And you never asked him? 22 Α. No, ma'am. I cannot remember if I asked him or not.
  - Q. Okay. It says in your police report that you read

And it's not in your police report?

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Correct.

- Jedidiah Murphy his Miranda rights, but you really didn't read them, you just kind of recited them; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But it doesn't say anything in your report about him understanding and waiving his rights, does it?
  - A. No, ma'am, it doesn't.
- Q. When you walked into the room, was Mr. Murphy asleep on the bed?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Was it a situation that he looked up and looked at you before you got to the bed?
- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. So he was dead asleep on the bed before you laid your hands on him?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. So is it safe to assume that you got him up from sleep?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
    - Q. Was there anything in the room that led you to believe that he had been drinking?
      - A. Not that I recall.
    - Q. Okay. There wasn't a smell of an alcoholic beverage in there?
      - A. I didn't smell anything.
      - Q. Okay. There wasn't a smell on or about his person

- or his clothes that smelled like marijuana, was there?
  - A. Not that I recall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Now, at this point is Treshod inside the room or outside the room?
  - A. He was inside the room.
- Q. Okay. And did Treshod smell like he had been drinking?
  - A. I didn't get that close to him.
- Q. Okay. When you walked in the room, were you -- did you smell on anybody's clothes the smell of any marijuana?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Did Mr. Murphy have his glasses on or his glasses off?
  - A. I believe they were off.
    - Q. Because he was sleeping?
      - A. Right.
  - Q. Now, Mr. Davis asked you if you thought he was intoxicated, and you said no; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. And he asked if he was on drugs, and you said not that you could tell; is that correct?
      - A. That's correct.
  - Q. I'm going to ask you some questions a little bit about your training as a police officer. There's a test that is easily done called the horizontal gaze nystagmus test.

Are you trained in that test?

A. No, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. You've never -- you've never -- is it your understanding that that's one of the indications -- that is one of the tests that is an indicator of whether or not there's alcohol in somebody's system?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And you can also do something that's called the hor -- I mean, the vertical gaze nystagmus test to determine if there's any drugs in somebody's system; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. But you're not trained in that, are you?
- 13 | A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. And you didn't perform this -- this test on him?
  - A. No, ma'am.
    - Q. Okay. Did you do any sort of tests to determine whether or not he was under the influence of any drugs or any alcohol at the time that you busted into the bedroom?
      - A. No, ma'am.
    - Q. Did you ever ask anybody that might have been trained in some of these intoxication tests to come forward?
      - A. No, ma'am.
    - Q. As soon as you got finished reading his rights to him and after he had no response, you asked him some

questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. How long were you with the defendant?
- A. Maybe 5 or 6 minutes.
- Q. Okay. And then you left and went outside the bedroom; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And what did you do once you were outside the bedroom?
- A. I went out to where the vehicle was, the Honda, and opened the trunk.
  - Q. And how did do you that? How did you get the keys?
  - A. The keys were in the living room.
  - Q. So you grabbed the keys and went out there. Wasn't there some discussion or some kind of -- and when I say discussion, some sort of discussion with some other officers as to where the keys were? Did you get the keys from somebody else?
  - A. No, ma'am.
    - Q. Okay. You didn't get the keys from Jason Bonham?
    - A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. Or any other member of the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Or the Edgewood Police Department?

A. No, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q. And there were some people from Terrell out there eventually, weren't there?
  - A. I never seen anybody from Terrell out there.
  - Q. Okay. So you got the keys and went out to the car?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Let me ask you a question and go back a little bit while you're sitting outside the Dairy Queen. You're sitting outside the Dairy Queen and at some point somebody makes the decision that y'all are going to go to the house; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And you call in to the Garland Police Department and let them -- and let them know what's going on?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. Who did you talk to?
    - A. I believe it was Commander Lay.
- Q. Okay. Commander Lay of the Garland Police Department?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. And isn't it true that Commander Lay told you not to attempt to arrest Jedidiah Murphy until they got there?
    - A. No, ma'am.
    - Q. So it's your testimony that he said go ahead and

arrest him?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Go ahead and bust in there and recover the stolen vehicle?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And they were on their way?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Was there anything that -- let me ask you it this way. After y'all got out there to the house, was there anything besides your concern for the case and the type of case you were dealing with, anything that happened out at the house that caused you to kind of jump the gun and go in sooner than expected?
  - A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. The house was quiet?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
    - THE COURT: Anything further?
- MS. BALIDO: No, Judge, I still have some more questions. I'm sorry.
- Q. (By Ms. Balido) You opened up the trunk, so you placed your hands on the -- on the keys to actually open up the trunk; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And did you place your hands on the trunk as well?
    - A. No, ma'am.

- Q. Just -- just on the keys and opened it up?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Did you touch in -- anything inside the trunk?
- A. No, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Did you ever tell Jason Bonham -- well, let me ask you a question. Jason Bonham of the Edgewood Police Department was standing outside the house at that point, is that correct, or was he inside the house?
- A. He was inside the house -- I -- now, let me rephrase it. I don't know -- I didn't know at that point where he was.
  - Q. Okay. And it's not a big house out there, is it?
- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. So let me just try to get this straight. You come out of the bedroom --
- A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. -- at some point? And where is Jason at that point?
  - A. At that point I'm not sure where he is, because I'm concentrating on going out and checking the car.
  - Q. Did you say anything to Jason about going in and talking to the defendant?
    - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. You never said go in there and -- you never said
  he's lying to me, go in there and see what you can do with
  him?

1 A. No, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Or see if you can find out anything?
- A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. So it's your testimony that the first time that you talked to Jason was after he spoke with the defendant?
    - A. Yes, ma'am.

MS. BALIDO: May I approach the witness.

THE COURT: You may.

Q. (By Ms. Balido) I'm directing your attention back to State's Exhibits Number 28, 29, 30, and 31, and ask if those are the pictures that you have talked about being in the area of what's called Livingston or Livingston Hill; is that correct?

- A. 28, 29, 30 --
- Q. I'm sorry.
- A. I don't know about 31.
- Q. I'm sorry. 28, 29 and 30; is that correct?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. And you said this was kind of a rural area?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. Are there farmhouses around here?
- A. There are houses, but they're scattered way far.
  - Q. Okay. And from where these pictures are taken, isn't it true that at the point where these pictures are taken that there is actually a farmhouse about 500 yards away

from where these were taken or is that not true?

- A. The closest house that I can recall is going to be back south of this location.
  - Q. Okay. And about how close is it going to be?
- A. It's probably going to be at least -- I want to guess about half a mile.
- Q. Okay. So would that be about 500 yards? I don't know anything about math, so I don't know.
  - A. I can't remember how long a mile is in yards.
- Q. Okay. And there -- out there along the road there is -- along that road next to Livingston Hill where you were talking about, there's a telephone pole with some lines going to and from the houses out there; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. So after you get finished talking to -- well, after you get finished talking to the defendant and after you get finished going out and opening up the car and shutting it back and telling everybody not to touch it, you went out to Livingston Hill; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And at that time the Garland Police Department was not at the house on Lamar?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Then y'all went out to Livingston Hill and you came back and still the Garland Police Department is not at Lamar?

- 1 A. That's correct.
  - Q. You're talking to them on the cell phone?
  - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. So basically you're the person that's in charge of the scene and what has gone on in the investigation up to that point?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Is this the first capital murder that you've ever worked?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And is -- is Mr. Murphy the first capital murder defendant that you've ever read rights to?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. So you went back to the Dairy Queen at Edgewood and that's where you met up with all the Garland police is at the Dairy Queen?
    - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And you had no further conversations with Mr.

  Murphy; is that correct?
- 20 | A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. Okay. You took Commander Lay -- according to your report, you took Commander Lay and the other officers to Lamar Street; is that right?
  - A. We first went to Livingston Creek first.
  - Q. Oh, that's right. And then -- and then you took

them -- and then you took them back over to the house on Lamar?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And then you went to the Edgewood Police Department?
- A. Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And how did you go there? In what vehicle?
- A. I went in my -- my vehicle.
  - Q. Okay. And then how was Mr. Murphy transported?
  - A. By Garland.
- Q. Okay. And who was in the car with Mr. Murphy at that time?
- A. I believe -- if I remember correctly, Mr. Matt Myer was, Detective Myer, and I don't know who the other officer was.
- Q. Okay. And did they both sit in the front seat or did one of them sit in the back seat with Mr. Murphy?
- A. That I couldn't tell you.
- Q. Did you ride along behind them while you were driving along or --
- A. No, I was in front.
  - Q. In the front. Leading them -- leading them to --
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. -- the police department? Okay.
- And then you testified that you were there when the defendant was arraigned; is that correct?

1 A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. How would you describe him when he was arraigned?
- A. Very quiet, stood there. As far as I can remember, he didn't say anything.
- Q. Okay. You don't remember him saying anything, anything at all?
  - A. Not that I can remember.
- Q. Okay. So you don't remember him saying, yes, he understood to each one of his rights as they were read to him?
  - A. Not that I remember.
- 12 | Q. Okay.

MS. BALIDO: May I approach the witness.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Ms. Balido) Deputy Rose, I'm showing you what has been introduced into evidence as State's Exhibit Number 36 and State's Exhibit Number 35, and I ask if you recognize those documents?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And those are the two arraignment sheets that were used by the JP out there to read Mr. Murphy his rights; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. And your name is listed as a witness; is that correct?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. But you weren't the only witness, were you?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. Those two officers from Garland were also there; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. But you're the only one that actually signed as a witness, is that also correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And is that to say that you were there during the entire time that Mr. Murphy was read his rights?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Would you describe him as sleepy at that time?
  - A. I really couldn't say whether he sleepy or not.
- Q. Okay. Was he more or less sleepy than he was when you woke him up in bed that morning?
- A. He just stood there. As far as I remember, didn't say anything. That's about the best I can describe him.
- Q. Okay. So would you say that he was pretty much the same as he was when you first arrested him?
  - A. Probably so.
    - Q. You said he was calm, correct?
- 24 A. Right.
  - Q. Could you describe him as flat?

- A. What do you mean by flat?
- Q. Like no emotion?
- A. Yeah, I mean, he -- probably so because he wasn't saying anything, no expressions that I could see on his face, just there.
  - Q. Okay. He certainly wasn't happy?
  - A. Probably not.
- Q. Okay. He wasn't doing anything inappropriate to make you think that he wasn't -- that he was making fun of the situation or anything like that?
  - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

- Q. Up until the point, or I guess after the Garland Police Department officers arrived, they were in charge of the investigation; is that correct?
  - A. After they arrived?
- Q. Yes.
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And up until that point you were the lead person on this investigation; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And it was your responsibility to make sure that everything was done by the book, so to speak; is that also correct?
    - A. Yes, ma'am.
- MS. BALIDO: I don't have any more questions.

1 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. 2 Redirect Examination 3 By Mr. Davis: 4 Deputy Rose, let me just ask you: When you got to the home of Ms. Milton, did you know the location of Ms. 5 6 Cunningham's body? 7 Α. No, sir. 8 After you had talked with the defendant, did you 9 know the location of the body? 10 Α. No, sir. 11 Did you know the location of Ms. Cunningham's body 12 before Jason Bonham --13 MS. BALIDO: Objection, leading. 14 0. (By Mr. Davis) -- told you what --15 THE COURT: Overruled. 16 Q. (By Mr. Davis) -- the defendant had told -- told 17 him? 18 I'm sorry. Could you say it again? 19 Q. Did you know the location of Ms. Cunningham's body 20 before Jason Bonham came up and told you what the defendant 21 had told him? 22 Α. No, sir. 23 Q. As you're going out there to the creek, who was 24 actually given the directions about where y'all were going to

25

be going to?

1 Α. Jason Bonham. 2 MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: You may. 4 (By Mr. Davis) Deputy Rose, if you will, look at State's Exhibit Number 27. Do you recognize that to be a map 5 of Edgewood, Texas, sir? 6 7 Yes, sir. Α. 8 Ο. Does it show the location of Ms. Milton's home, as 9 well as the location where you and the other officers found 10 the body of Ms. Cunningham? Α. 11 Yes, sir. 12 MR. DAVIS: At this time we'll offer State's Exhibit Number 27. 13 14 (State's Exhibit No. 27 offered) 15 MR. BYCK: No objection, 27. 16 THE COURT: Admitted. 17 (State's Exhibit No. 27 admitted) Q. (By Mr. Davis) Deputy, if you would, just place an 18 19 X for the location of Ms. Milton's house and then write 20 "Milton" by that if you don't mind. 21 Α. (Witness so indicates.) 22 Now, if you would, please put an X where you found 23 the body of Ms. Cunningham and then put "Cunningham" beside 24 that, please.

(Witness so indicates.)

25

Α.

1 MR. DAVIS: May I publish, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 (Exhibit published to jury.) 4 MR. DAVIS: No further questions, Your Honor. 5 MS. BALIDO: May I approach the witness. 6 THE COURT: You may. 7 Recross-Examination 8 By Ms. Balido: 9 State's Exhibit Number 27, the exhibit you just 10 spoke about with Mr. Davis, is the entirety of that location 11 in Van Zandt County, Texas? 12 Α. Yes, ma'am. 13 Is any of that in State's Exhibit Number 27 in 14 Dallas County, Texas? 15 Α. No, ma'am. 16 Now, Mr. Davis also asked you about a bunch of 17 questions about what you knew before you spoke to Jason 18 Bonham and what you knew after you spoke to Jason Bonham. 19 You remember that? 20 Α. Yes, ma'am. 21 Before you talked to Jason Bonham, did you tell any 22 member of the television press that it was an accident, that 23 Mrs. Cunningham was killed by accident? Before I spoke to Jason Bonham? 24 A.

25

0.

Yes.

1 A. No, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. After you spoke to Jason Bonham, did you tell any member of the television press that it was an accident, the killing of Bertie Cunningham?
- A. No, I believe I might have said that he had stated it was an accident.

MS. BALIDO: Pass the witness.

## Further Direct Examination

By Mr. Davis:

- Q. Okay. Let's get into conversation that you had with the defendant now. And when you asked the defendant where Ms. Cunningham's body was, did he give you a location? Was that his first response to you?
- A. He didn't give me --

MS. BALIDO: Judge, I object to the content of -- I asked my question very specifically about -- based on just like the State did and I don't believe that we've opened it up or waived the objection that we have to the -- any oral statements by the defendant.

THE COURT: Defense objection is overruled. The State may proceed.

MR. DAVIS: Okay.

Q. (By Mr. Davis) First of all, what is the first -- what is the first thing that you said to the defendant after you gave him his Miranda warnings?

- A. I asked him where Bertie Cunningham was.
- Q. And what response, if any, did the defendant give to you, Deputy?
- A. He lowered his head, and he said it was an accident, I didn't mean to shoot her.
- Q. All right. And did you say anything next to the defendant?
  - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What did you say to him?
- A. I asked him if she was dead.
- Q. What did he say to you?
- A. He said, yes.
- 13 Q. Did you ask him anything else?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. What did you say to him?
  - A. I asked him where her body was.
    - Q. And did he respond to that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. Tell the members of the jury what the defendant said to you when you asked him the second time where her body was?
    - A. He said he didn't know, that somebody else had put her in the trunk of the car and carried her and dumped her up in the Dallas area.
    - Q. And is that the last bit of the conversation that you had before you stopped talking with him and got the car

keys and went out there to the Honda?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. DAVIS: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.

## Further Cross-Examination

By Ms. Balido:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Detective Rose, let me ask you a question: The first question you asked him was where is she; is that correct?
  - A. I asked him where Bertie Cunningham was.
- Q. Is it true or is it not true that that was the first thing that you said to him, not that he was under arrest and not that he -- and not his Miranda warnings?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. You didn't just bust in there and ask where is she, where is she?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And your opinion at that point Treshod Tarrant was still in the room?
- A. At that point I don't know if Shod was still in the room or if they had already moved him to the living room.
- Q. Okay. So he was in the room at some point, and then he was out of the room; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And what was Mr. Murphy's physical response to your question of where Ms. Cunningham was?

- A. His physical response?
  - Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

21

23

24

- A. He lowered his head.
- Q. Okay. And that's when he stated that it was an accident?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And that the gun went off?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And you asked him if he was -- if she was dead; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And he said that she was?
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And then you asked him about the location of the body, is that also correct?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And what was his response to you?
- A. That someone else had put her in the trunk and had dumped her body in the Dallas area, he didn't know where it was.
  - Q. Okay. Did he mention who that someone else was?
- 22 A. No, ma'am.
  - Q. Did he ever say that he had help from somebody else that was located inside the house?
    - A. No, ma'am.

- Q. Did he ever indicate to you that it was Treshod Tarrant that helped him move the body?
  - A. No, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. He just said somebody else?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Did you ask him for that somebody else's name?
- A. I don't remember if I did or if I didn't.
- Q. So that was the totality of what Mr. Murphy told you before you went outside and looked in the car?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
    - Q. Why did you go out and look in the car?
- A. Because other officers that came in and told me that there was blood on the bumper and that it was a strong smell coming out of the car.
- Q. Okay. So -- so now these other officers are coming into it, too?
- A. Right.
- Q. And you went out and you checked to see if she was in the car and she wasn't in there?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So at least the part about her not being in the trunk, being someplace else is the truth; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, she was not in the trunk.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you. Was Jason Bonham on duty at the time?

1	A. I believe he was off that night.
. 2	Q. Okay. But he had a uniform on. Do you remember
3	that?
4	A. I don't remember what he was wearing.
5	Q. Okay. Do you know that he has an off duty job as a
6	security person?
7	A. No, I didn't know that.
8	Q. Okay. Did you send Jason Bonham in there to talk to
9	the defendant?
10	A. No, ma'am.
11	MS. BALIDO: Pass the witness.
12	MR. DAVIS: No further questions.
13	THE COURT: You may step down.
14	MS. BALIDO: Judge, we'd ask that this witness
15	not be excused and stay in the courthouse.
16	THE COURT: Sheriff, let's take a stretch
17	break for the jury and a rest break for the court reporter.
18	15 minutes.
19	THE BAILIFF: All rise.
20	(Jury recessed from courtroom.)
21	THE COURT: Record reflect this hearing is
22	being conducted in open court, outside the jury's presence.
23	At the request of the defense, I have gone over the
24	notes of Grand Prairie Police Department
25	MS. BALIDO: Garland.

1 THE COURT: -- the Garland Police Department, 2 Officer Matt Myers, page by page. A thick notebook was tendered to me. I have gone over every single page of that 3 4 notebook and wish to state on the record now, I am unaware of 5 anything of a Brady, Bagley, Kyles, Strickler nature to which the defense is entitled. 6 7 Sheriff, may be have the jury, please. 8 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: Let the record reflect the jury is 10 returning to the courtroom at this time. 11 (Jury returned to courtroom.) 12 THE COURT: Members of the jury, you may be seated. 13 14 Mr. Murphy, counsel, visitors in the gallery, you 15 may be seated. 16 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. 17 MATT MYERS 18 was called as a witness by the State and, after having been 19 first duly sworn, testified as follows: 20 Direct Examination 21 By Mr. Davis: 22 Sir, would you please tell us your full name? Q. 23 Α. My name is Matt Myers. 24 Q. How are you employed? 25 Α. I'm a detective with the Garland Police Department.

- Q. How long have you been employed by the Garland Police Department?
  - A. I've just started my 24th year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What is your present assignment?
- A. My present assignment is I'm a detective with the Crimes Against Persons Unit.
  - Q. How long have you been in that particular unit?
- A. I've been in the Crimes Against Persons Unit since -- for about three years. I've been a detective since 1998 -- I'm sorry, since 1988, excuse me.
- Q. As a member of the Crimes Against Persons Unit, can you tell the members of the jury what type of cases that you've worked on?
- A. Well, we investigate some different cases, robberies. We also investigate homicides and assaults.
- Q. Now, in reference to this case involving the death of Bertie Cunningham, were you the lead detective?
  - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. I want to direct your attention back, Detective, to October the 5th of the year 2000, approximately right after midnight, did you first become aware of this case?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. How did you become aware of the case?
  - A. I was notified over the telephone by my supervisor.
  - Q. And what was the nature of the case at that point

shortly after midnight? Was this a homicide, or was this working as another type of case?

- A. Yes, sir, we were initially advised of a missing person, and that's how we started our investigation.
  - Q. Were you at home when you got called?
  - A. Yes, I was.
  - Q. Did you go somewhere after you received that call?
- A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Q. Where did you go to?
- A. I went directly to the Garland Police Department.
  - Q. Did you meet with other investigators there?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you stay there at the police department, or did
  you go to other locations then?
  - A. We were at the police department for a little while, but we did go to some other locations that -- that early morning.
  - Q. Tell the members of the jury where you went. Did you go by yourself, first of all, or with other officers?
  - A. No, I was with another -- another detective, actually my supervisor.
    - Q. And who would that be?
- A. That was Lieutenant Thompson.
  - Q. Where did you and Lieutenant Thompson go to then?
    - A. Well, the first thing that we did when we left the

police department was go to the area of Collin Creek Mall.

- Q. And Collin Creek Mall is located in Plano; is that right?
  - A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What would be the nearest intersections, just to give the jury an idea if they're not aware of where Collin Creek Mall is?
- A. Well, Collin Creek is located north of our city limits. It's basically off of Central Expressway or I-75.
- Q. Uh-huh. You went there for the purpose of doing what?
- A. Well, it was to make sure that a thorough search of that grounds had been done. We were -- had been advised that that was the last place that Ms. Cunningham had been seen, so it was a starting point to make sure that -- that a thorough search had been done there.
  - Q. Were you looking for her car?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you find Ms. Cunningham's car there?
- A. No, we did not.
  - Q. Any evidence that Ms. Cunningham was there?
  - A. No.
    - Q. How long did you stay there?
- A. I would estimate that we were in the mall area for about 20 or 30 minutes.

1 Q. Where did you next go to?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. After we left the mall, we drove to a business called Richardson Motor Sports.
- Q. And would that be -- would it be fair to say that's south on North Central Expressway from Collin Creek Mall?
  - A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. Is that actually located in Richardson?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Again, the purpose of you going to that location was what?
- A. Well, we had received some information that a credit card had been used there, so I just -- I wanted to get a feel of where that location was. I knew I would be returning there, so I wanted to locate it so I would know exactly where it was and know that I would be returning there the next day.
- Q. Okay. So how long did you and Lieutenant Thompson stay at that location?
- A. We probably were only there for about 5 or 10 minutes, not very long. We checked the alley, did a search of the immediate area around the -- around that business, but we weren't there very long, maybe 10 minutes.
  - Q. Did you talk with anyone at that location?
  - A. Not at that time.
  - Q. Where did you go next then?
  - A. Our next -- the next thing we did was we started

checking some -- some of the main roads that would have been between Ms. Cunningham's residence and the mall.

- Q. Did you check Plano Road?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Did you check Jupiter Road?
- A. Yes, we did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you recall any of the other major streets that you checked that morning?
- A. Plano and Jupiter would have been the two -- two main north-south arteries from her house. I think we checked a couple of east-west roads just to make sure the car wasn't somewhere off of a direct route.
- Q. Were you able to find Ms. Cunningham's car on any of those roads?
  - A. No, we did not.
  - Q. So what did y'all do next?
- A. We then -- we -- I believe we returned to the police station, but I know that we ended up at Ms. Cunningham's residence that early morning.
- Q. Would that be in the Oak Ridge neighborhood there in North Garland?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you meet with anyone there?
  - A. Yes, we met with Ms. Cunningham's sister.
  - Q. Would that be Evelyn Shelton?

- 1
- Α. Yes, it is.
- 2
- Q. And did you obtain some information from her?
- 3
- Yes, we did. Α.
- 4

- 0. Was this -- what type of information in general did you obtain from Ms. Shelton?
- 6
- Α. Well, we -- we asked Ms. Shelton for a recent
- 7
- or we were looking for any bank records that she might have, 8
- 9
- just anything that might help us identify Ms. Cunningham. We

photograph of Ms. Cunningham, a copy of her drivers license,

- 10
- didn't know who she was or what she looked like at all, so we

sure after that. It was -- we had been out most of the night

When you finished talking with Ms. Shelton, then

We then returned to the police department I know for

- 11
- needed photographs.
- 12
- Q. 13 what did y'all do?
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

- by then. And we just returned to the police department to
  - Q. How long did you stay there?

kind of re-group and reorganize.

- Well, we were probably there -- I know I left the Α.
- police department so that I could be at Richardson Motor
- Sports when they opened at 8 o'clock.
  - Q. Did Lieutenant Thompson go with you, or did you go
- by yourself?
  - Lieutenant Thompson did not go with me, but another
- 25 detective did.

Q. Who was that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That would have been Detective Brown.
- Q. When you got there, was the Richardson Motor Sports open?
- A. It was not open when we arrived, but that was -- we got there about 7:30 or 7:40. We knew that they were going to open at 8 o'clock.
- Q. When they did open, did you have an opportunity to talk to some of the employees inside?
  - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Did you collect any items at that point, any pieces of paper or other physical items?
  - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Among other things, did you collect a receipt for a purchase that had been made the night before?
  - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Did you also recover some warranty papers with regards to that purchase?
- A. That's correct. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And on those warranty papers, sir, did you see a name of a J. Isaac or a Jedidiah Murphy?
  - A. I believe the papers were filled out J. Isaac Murphy.
- Q. Okay. When you obtained these papers with that name on them, did you do something with that name?

- A. I returned that information back to the police department and actually turned it over to Lieutenant Thompson.

  Q. What were you hoping to gain by that?
  - A. We were hoping to be able to come up with a possible -- a possible suspect's name.
  - Q. And did you come up with a possible suspect's name or a possible location for that suspect?
    - A. Yes, we did.

- Q. Were you still at the Richardson Motor Sports or were you back at the police department or where were you when you got that information?
  - A. We were back at the police department.
- Q. And did you come up with a last known address for a Jedidiah Murphy?
  - A. Yes, we did.
  - Q. And what was that address if you recall?
- A. Yes, I do recall. It was, I believe, 1718 Barclay Street in Richardson.
  - Q. What did you do when you got that address?
- A. When we received that information, I immediately left the police department to go and locate that address and set up a surveillance.
- Q. Okay. What was the purpose of setting up a surveillance? What were you hoping to see?

- A. Well, once again, we were looking for Ms.

  Cunningham's car. It hadn't been located yet. So that's the first thing that we were looking for is the car.
- Q. Anyone else out there with you during the surveillance?
- A. No. I left the police department by myself.

  Lieutenant Thompson was aware that I -- that I was en route over there, and he was in the process of locating another detective or other detectives to come and assist me.
- Q. When you got over to Barclay, did you see Ms. Cunningham's car there?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. About this time -- about what time did you arrive out there at Barclay that morning?
- A. I think it was shortly before -- shortly before the lunch hour or shortly after the lunch hour. I think I had gone home and changed clothes and showered and get a bite to eat, so I think when I returned to the police department, it was shortly after the lunch hour.
- Q. So you get out there to Barclay, you don't see the Honda. Is that the type of car that you were looking for?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you -- did you maintain your surveillance for a period of time?
  - A. I was only -- I was only on Barclay Street for about

- 10 minutes, 10 or 15 minutes.
  - Q. Uh-huh. Did you leave then?
  - A. Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why did you leave?
- A. I was advised -- excuse me, I have a cold. Excuse me.
  - Q. Would you like a glass of water?
  - A. I'm okay. Excuse me.
- I was advised over the radio that there were persons at Apollo Junior High School that had information about this case, so I immediately went to the -- to the junior high school.
- Q. Now, before you left Barclay, had you tried to make contact with anybody inside the home?
  - A. No, I did not.
  - Q. So you then went to Apollo Junior High; is that right?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And who did you meet over at Apollo Junior High School?
  - A. I met the school resource officer, and I also met two other individuals.
    - Q. What were their names?
    - A. Ms. Tonya Thorp and her daughter.
    - Q. That would be Ashley Johnson. Does that name sound

familiar?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. Yes, her name was Ashley Johnson.
- Q. Did you actually have some conversations with them?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Without going into what was said at that point, did you stay there at the junior high school or what did you do as a result of talking to the two of them?
- A. Well, after I met with those two, I stayed at the junior high school for, oh, probably only about 15 minutes or so and asked Ms. Thorp if she would come to the police department with her daughter where I could talk to them there and she agreed to do that.
- Q. So Ms. Thorp and her daughter then went to the Garland Police Department, met with you and talked with you, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. How long did y'all talk?
- A. I think we were probably a total of maybe an hour and a half. We were there for a little while.
- Q. Now, was it your understanding that Tonya Thorp was the sister of Jedidiah Murphy?
  - MS. BALIDO: I object to hearsay, based on hearsay, Judge.
- THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase the question.

MS. BALIDO: Ask the jury to disregard.

2

THE COURT: The Court denies -- in light of

3

the Court's previous instruction.

4

(By Mr. Davis) Let me just ask you then. How long did you stay there at the Garland Police Department talking

5 6

with Tonya Thorp and Ashley Johnson?

7

Α. I think we were there for about an hour and a half,

8

maybe a couple of hours.

9

10

something after you talked with those two people? What was

11

the next thing that you did after you finished talking with

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, without going into what was said, did you do them?

Well, I asked both of them to provide a written statement about -- about what we had talked about and they both agreed to do that.

All right. So you obtained a written statement from them. Then what did you do?

Α. (No response)

Did you stay at the police department, or did you go to some other location?

A. Well, I believe I would have met with my supervisor then just to advise him. There were some other detectives out in the field doing some other work, so I think we were waiting for them to return so that we could all once again get together and talk. They were doing some things I didn't

know what they were doing. I was doing some things that they didn't -- weren't aware of.

- Q. Okay. Did you in fact meet with them there at the police department later?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And when you finished meeting with them, what did you do at that point?
- A. Well, we were getting into the early evening hours then, and I had been -- been awake and been at work -- been working on the case for -- for about 18 hours I think, so I -- actually I was sent home after I got done taking to Ms. Thorp.
- Q. When is the next time that you did anything on this case then, detective?
- A. Well, I had gone home. I had been up all night and all day so I went to bed. And I got a call about 2 o'clock in the morning.
  - Q. This is going to be Friday, October 6th, correct?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. 2 o'clock in the morning you're at home?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Who called you?
- A. Once again, that would have been my supervisor, Lieutenant Thompson.
  - Q. Was it to discuss this particular case?

1 A. Yes, it was.

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Without going into what he said to you, what action did you take after you talked with your commander?
  - A. Well, he told me that some information -
    MS. BALIDO: Objection, hearsay.

    THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Without going into what he said, just tell the members of the jury what did you actually do after you finished talking with him?
  - A. I returned to the police department.
  - Q. Did you stay there, or did you go someplace?
  - A. We left the police department, went somewhere.
  - Q. Where did you go to?
    - A. We went to an address in Edgewood, Texas.
- Q. How many other -- how many other Garland police officers went with you to Edgewood?
  - A. I think there was a total of six of us.
  - Q. And where in Edgewood did you go to?
  - A. We went to a residence, to a home on Lamar Street.
- Q. Did you meet any -- any law enforcement officers from Van Zandt County when you got to Edgewood?
  - A. Yes, we did.
  - Q. Where did you meet them?
  - A. We met them at a Dairy Queen on Highway 80.
- Q. Do you remember whether or not Gary Rose who is a

Deputy Sheriff of Van Zandt County was one of those officers or not?

A. Yes, he was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Did you meet with him before you went to the residence there on Lamar?
  - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Okay. Did Deputy Rose actually accompany you over to the address on Lamar?
  - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. When you got to Lamar, did you see anything that caught your attention, first of all, as you drove up to that residence?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What was that?
  - A. I saw Ms. Cunningham's car parked in front of the residence.
    - Q. Would this be the silver Honda Accord?
  - A. Thank you.

(Water handed to witness.)

- 20 A. Yes.
  - Q. (By Mr. Davis) If you would then, tell the jury what is the first thing then that happened once you and the other peace officers got to that location?
  - A. I was advised that Mr. Murphy was inside the residence.

1 MS. BALIDO: Objection based on hearsay. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 And I then proceeded into the residence where I Α. 4 personally observed Mr. Murphy. 5 (By Mr. Davis) Where was Mr. Murphy when you first 6 saw him? 7 Α. He was in a bedroom at the rear of the residence. 8 Was he in there by himself, or were other law 9 enforcement officials there with him? 10 There was at least one other law enforcement 11 official there with him. 12 Okay. Do you know whether or not any other law Q. enforcement officers had spoken with Mr. Murphy prior to the 13 14 time that you met with him? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Did you have an opportunity to talk with Mr. Murphy, also? 17 18 Α. Yes, I did. 19 Again, physically where in the bedroom was he? 0. 20 Α. When I first walked into the room, he was seated --21 seated on the bed. 22 Q. Was -- was he clothed? 23 I believe he had on a pair of shorts. Α. 24 0. Was he handcuffed? 25 Α. Yes, he was.

1 Q. Did you have your gun out or drawn when you first 2 went in there to talk with him? 3 Α. No, I did not. 4 Q. Was Mr. Murphy awake? 5 Α. Yes, he was. 6 Q. Did he appear to be alert? 7 Α. Yes, he did. 8 Did you actually go up to where he was then? Ο. 9 Α. I did. 10 Q. How close to Mr. Murphy were you then? 11 Α. I was probably about a foot or maybe two feet away 12 from him. 13 Q. Did you have an opportunity to observe his 14 appearance and his demeanor at that point? 15 A. Yes, I did. 16 Q. How would you describe his demeanor? 17 Α. He was just very quiet. 18 Q. Did you observe whether or not he was intoxicated at 19 that point? 20 I do not believe he was intoxicated at that time. Α. 21 In your 20 plus years experience with the Garland Police Department, have you had occasions to deal with 22 23 individuals who you knew were intoxicated? 24 Α. Yes, I have.

Few or many occasions?

25

Q.

A. Many occasions.

2

Q. Have you been -- have you received training to spot signs of intoxication of suspects?

3

A. Yes, I have.

5

Q. First of all, what were some of the signs that you were going to look for that morning?

6

8

9

A. Well, I looked to make sure that his balance was stable. I would look in his eyes to see if his eyes were bloodshot or watery. I would also see if I could smell alcohol on or about his person.

10

11

Q. As you were there with him, did you observe any indication that Mr. Murphy was intoxicated?

12 13

A. No, I did not.

14

Q. In your experience as a police officer, have you had to deal with people who you knew were under the influence of drugs?

16

17

15

A. Yes.

18

Q. Again, what would some of the common signs be if somebody were under the influence of drugs?

19 20

A. Well, some of those signs are similar to alcohol.

Their eyes may be bloodshot or watery. Their balance may be unstable or speech may be slurred.

22

21

Q. Was Mr. Murphy exhibiting any signs of being under the influence of drugs?

24

23

A. No, he was not.

- Q. What's the first thing that you did when you came in contact with Mr. Murphy then?
- A. I identified myself. Lieutenant Thompson was with me, and Commander Lay was also with me. I identified them.
- Q. Now, were you in some police uniform or were you wearing a suit similar to the one that you're wearing now?
- A. Well, I was not in a police uniform, but I was also not wearing a neck tie. I -- I was probably in just a pair of slacks and a shirt.
- Q. So you identify yourself to Mr. Murphy; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did he appear to understand what you were saying to him?
  - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Did he acknowledge you in any fashion verbally at that point?
  - A. I don't recall that he did.
  - Q. What's the next thing that you said or did?
- A. I would have advised him that he was under arrest and offered Miranda warnings to him.
- Q. Now, the Miranda warnings that you gave to Mr. Murphy, is it your practice to read those warnings off of a card or do you give those warnings by memory to suspects?
  - A. I give them by memory.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did you do that that morning?
- A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Would you please tell the members of the jury the warnings that you gave to Mr. Murphy there that morning.
- A. Yes, I would have told Mr. Murphy that you have the right to remain silent, not make any statement to me. That if you do make any statement, that statement may and probably will be used as evidence against you at your trial. You have the right to have an attorney present to counsel with you prior to and during any questioning. And if you cannot afford an attorney, the State would appoint an attorney to counsel with you. You also have the right to terminate this interview at any time. I would then ask him if he understood those rights.
- Q. Okay. Are those the warnings that you gave to Mr. Murphy that day?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you in fact ask Mr. Murphy if he understood those rights?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. What response did he give to you?
  - A. He verbally said "yes" and shook his head yes.
- Q. While you were reading the warnings or giving the warnings to him, was he looking at you?
  - A. He wasn't making direct eye contact with me, but he

was looking up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. Did he appear to be listening to you?
- A. Yes, he did.
- Q. After he told you that he understood his rights, at any point did he tell you that he did not want to talk with you?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. At any point did he tell you that he wanted to terminate the interview and have an attorney present?
  - A. No, he did not.
    - Q. What's the next thing that occurred then?
- A. I asked Mr. Murphy one question. I asked him if he knew where the credit cards were.
  - Q. What credit cards were you referring to?
  - A. I was referring to Ms. Cunningham's credit cards.
- Q. At the time that you asked that question of Mr. Murphy, did you know where Ms. Cunningham's credit cards were?
- A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Did the defendant respond to you?
  - A. Yes, he did. He said that they were --
  - MS. BALIDO: Judge, we're going to object to anything that the defendant said based on our previous objection.

THE COURT: The Court recalls the objection.

It's again overruled.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You may answer.

- A. Yes, he did respond and told me that the credit cards were outside in her car.
- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Did someone subsequently look inside the car to determine if her credit cards were in -- in Ms. Cunningham's car?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Were the cards later found in her car?
- 10 A. Yes, I believe they were.
  - Q. Did you ask anything more of the defendant at that time?
    - A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Did you continue talking with the defendant at that point?
    - A. No, I did not.
      - Q. What did you do then?
  - A. Mr. Murphy was then -- he was removed from the residence. He was in my custody at that time, and he was transported to the Edgewood Police Department.
  - Q. At some point did you go to a location at a creek there in Edgewood?
    - A. Yes, we did.
    - Q. At what point did do you that?
- 25 A. We did that after we left the Edgewood Police

Department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So as I understand, you finished your interview with the defendant, you then went to the Edgewood Police

  Department. Was the defendant transported over there, also?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Purpose being what?
- A. The purpose for that was so that he could meet with the Magistrate and be arraigned.
- Q. Did the Magistrate appear there after a certain period of time?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Where was Mr. Murphy while you were waiting for the Magistrate to arrive there?
- A. He would have been seated in the back seat of one of our police cars.
- Q. On the way over to the police department, did you have any more conversations with Mr. Murphy?
  - A. No, we did not.
- Q. Was he discussing anything or making any statements to you on the way over?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. When you got there and Mr. Murphy remained in the car waiting for the Magistrate to get there, did a police officer stay in the car with him or was he allowed to sit in the car by himself?

- A. I think it was a little bit of both actually. There was a period of a few minutes that myself and my partner were seated in the car. I know I got out to talk with one of the other police officers that was there. I think my partner stayed in the car the whole time.
- Q. Okay. Did y'all make any attempt to question him there at the police department before the Magistrate got there?
  - A. No, we did not.
- Q. After the Magistrate got there, did an arraignment actually take place?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- Q. Did you witness that arraignment?
- A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. Have you witnessed other arraignments in the past?
- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Did you pretty much know what to expect at that point?
  - A. Yes, I did.
    - Q. Do you know a Judge Ozelle Wilcoxson?
- 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. Did Judge Wilcoxson read the Miranda rights or warnings to the defendant in this case?
    - A. Yes, she did.
- Q. Now, I believe the evidence is that he was arraigned

on a charge of murder down there in Van Zandt County that day. Was that in reference to the death of Bertie Cunningham? Is that the murder that we're talking about?

A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Was he also arraigned on a credit card abuse case that you had a warrant for?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Were you able to observe the defendant during the arraignment?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Was there anything unusual about his actions while the Judge was giving him his Miranda warnings?

MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'm going to object to the continual leading of this witness.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled.

- A. No, I did not observe anything unusual.
- Q. (By Mr. Davis) How would you describe his demeanor then during the time he was being arraigned?
  - A. He was still very quiet, very still and very quiet.
  - Q. How long did the arraignment take?
- A. It probably took about 20 minutes once she got there and we started.
  - Q. And where did you go following the arraignment then?
- A. We left the police department and drove to the creek area.

Q. Who was with you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

- A. Mr. Murphy was in the car, and my partner, Detective Tooke, was also in the car.
- Q. How long did it take you to get from the police department over there to the creek location?
  - A. Probably less than 10 minutes.
- Q. Did you or Detective Tooke make any effort to question the defendant on the way over to the creek?
  - A. No, we did not.
- Q. Did he make any statements to you on the way over there?
  - A. No, he did not.
    - Q. What was his demeanor on the way to the creek then?
- A. He was still once again continuing to be -- he was real still and very quiet.
- Q. When you finally got to the creek, tell the members of the jury what occurred at that point.
- A. Well, the purpose for going to the creek was we had -- I knew that there might possibly still be a murder weapon somewhere. I wanted --
- Q. What type -- I'm sorry -- what type of murder weapon were you looking for?
  - A. I was looking for a pistol, a handgun.
  - Q. Any particular caliber?
  - A. Yes, it was a .22 caliber. And Mr. Murphy had -- I

had advised him the reason that we were returning to the creek was to -- for him to point out an area where he may have thrown the gun. But when we got to the -- when we got to the creek area and I asked Mr. Murphy to get out of the car to show us where he had thrown the gun, he refused to get out of the car, would not do that.

- Q. Was any effort made to try to find the pistol?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What did you do in that regard?
- A. Well, that night we hired a diver to go into the creek to search for the gun that night.
- Q. Okay. Did you yourself try to find the gun out there by the creek with -- when the defendant was out there with you?
  - A. No, not that night.
- Q. How long did you, Detective Tooke, and the defendant then stay at that location at the creek?
- A. We were probably only there for 5 or 10 minutes with him.
  - Q. Where did you go once you left the creek?
- A. We returned directly to the Garland Police Department from there.
- Q. When you say "we," would that be you, Detective Tooke, and the defendant; is that right?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Who is driving?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Detective Tooke was driving.
- Q. Where are you sitting in the car?
- A. I was seated in the front seat.
- Q. And the defendant?
- A. He was seated right behind me in the rear passenger.
- Q. How long did it take for you to get from Edgewood to the Garland Police Department?
- A. It's about a 45-minute drive, maybe -- maybe just a little bit more than that.
- Q. Anything unusual occur on the way from Edgewood to the Garland Police Department?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you or Detective Tooke make any effort to question the defendant on the way to the police department?
  - A. No, we did not.
  - Q. Defendant's demeanor on the way back was what?
  - A. Very still and very quiet the entire time.
- Q. When you arrived at the Garland Police Department, what did you do with the defendant?
- A. We went to the jail area, to the book-in area to process papers and book him into the jail.
- Q. Now, describe, if you will, the book-in process. If a suspect is brought into the Garland Police Department to be booked in, what would be done with him?

A. Well, they ascertain personal information, name and address, phone numbers, next of kin information. They remove any jewelry from the -- from the person that's being booked in. They take clothing away from them and give them jail clothes to wear. They ascertain some medical history and just some questions at the book-in -- at the book-in area that they ask.

- Q. When the defendant went through the book-in process and was asked for this information, was he able to provide that information to the jail personnel?
  - A. Yes, he did.

- Q. Was there anything unusual about his book-in process then?
  - A. No, there wasn't.
- Q. You've mentioned something about medical history.

  If a prisoner is brought into the Garland jail and he requires medical attention for whatever reason, what would be the procedure at that point? Would you go ahead and place him into your jail, or would you do something else with him?
- A. No, actually if he would request -- any prisoner that comes in and requests medical attention, we would immediately take them to the emergency room at the local hospital so that they could see a doctor.
- Q. Now, was Mr. Murphy taken to an emergency center that morning or was he processed in?

1 ||

A. He was processed in.

2

Q. Do you remember whether or not he asked for any sort of medical attention at that point or gave a history of a

4

3

medical problem at that point?

5

A. None that I'm aware of.

6 7 Q. After the book-in procedure was finished -- and approximately how long did that take?

8

A. That usually takes about 20 minutes, maybe 30 minutes, depending on how busy they are.

9

10

Q. Where was the defendant taken then?

11

A. He was then taken to another area of the police department. It's an interview area. It's located on the second floor of the police department.

13

14

12

Q. Approximately what time that morning then did the defendant arrive in the interview room?

15 16

A. He was in the -- around 8 o'clock.

17

Q. The interview room, if you could, just describe it

18 19 for the members of the jury.

A. It's a small room. It's about 12 feet by 12 feet,

20

maybe just a little bit bigger or a little bit smaller.

21

There's no -- the walls are just painted plain white.

22

23

There's very little furniture in there. There's a small desk, a couple of chairs to be seated on. No pictures on the

24

wall. Just kind of a -- a very plain room really.

25

Q. What did y'all do once he got up there?

- 1 Α. Well, the very first thing we would do in the 2 interview room is once again give the person that we're talking to their Miranda warning. 3 4 What's the purpose of doing that? 5 Well, this time the Miranda warning was given not 6 verbally, but it was given in writing. 7 Did you use some sort of printed form, or how did 8 you do that? 9 Α. Yes, we have a printed standard form that we give to all persons that we interview, the Miranda sheet. It's the 10 11 same -- it's printed and --12 MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: You may. Q. (By Mr. Davis) Detective Myers, if you would please look at State's Exhibit Number 41. Do you recognize this 16 document, sir? 17 Α. Yes, I do. Q. Is this the printed Miranda warnings that you gave
  - to Jedidiah Murphy there on October 6th of the year 2000?
    - Α. Yes, it is.
  - Q. Now, it has the Miranda warnings printed; is that correct?
    - Α. Yes.

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 Q. It also has the date and the time actually 25 handwritten in, correct?

1 Α. That's correct. 2 Q. Does it contain your name of M.J. Myers as well as 3 that of the defendant, Jedidiah Murphy? 4 Yes, it does. 5 Q. Is there a place on that document for the signature 6 of the suspect in this case Jedidiah Murphy? 7 Α. Yes, there is. 8 Is his signature appearing on that document, sir? 9 Yes, it does. Α. 10 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time for all purposes the State will offer State's Exhibit Number 41. 11 12 (State's Exhibit No. 41 offered) 13 MR. BYCK: Judge, we'd object and I'd like to 14 be heard outside the presence of the jury. 15 THE COURT: Sheriff, if you'd retire the jury, please. 16 17 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. All rise. 18 (Jury excused from courtroom.) 19 THE COURT: The jury is being excused from the 20 courtroom at this time. 21 Detective, you may be seated. 22 Mr. Murphy, counsel, visitors in the gallery, you 23 may be seated. 24 Defense may proceed.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, at this time, pursuant to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pretrial motions filed and based on the testimony of the hearing before the trial and the testimony up to this point, we would ask for a ruling on our Jackson v. Denno hearing in regard to the voluntariness of the confession and whether or not it -- it submits to Miranda or comports to Miranda. addition to what we've laid out in our -- in our motion, we would also say that this -- anything that came out of or came after the oral confessions that were admitted into evidence over our objection was fruit to the poisonous tree and therefore we would add that as one of our objections to any written statements that may come into evidence. But aside from that, we would object on that -- on that basis at this point and also there's no reason to believe that Mr. -- or Detective Myers' testimony is going to be any different as to the rest of it, so if you'd like me to, I can go ahead and object to the actual statements.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, we would -- we would include our objection to all the written statements that were made in this case based on Jackson v. Denno, based on Miranda, based on 38.22, on Article 1, Section 9, 10, 13, and 19 of the -- I think just 10, 13, and 19 of the Texas Constitution, Article -- excuse me, the 5th, 6th, 8th, and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article 38.22 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure.

1 THE COURT: Based upon the status of the 2 record as it now exists, I know not what statements were 3 ultimately given. May we have a short proffer as to after 4 the warnings what was subsequently done by defendant? 5 MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. What was done -- I 6 believe the prior testimony is, Your Honor, that they -- the detective and the defendant engaged in short conversation 7 8 about the possible abduction of Ms. Cunningham, that the 9 defendant agreed to go with Detective Myers --10 THE COURT: I recall that portion. Was any 11 type of a statement produced in writing signed by the defendant? 12 13 MR. DAVIS: The detective and the defendant 14 rode around for about an hour and a half and then returned to 15 the interview room where the written statement itself which 16 is State's Exhibit Number 47 was actually written by the defendant so --17 18 THE COURT: May we proceed with that portion 19 just before I dictate my decision into the record? 20 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I believe that we 21 previously have gone into that matter. 22 THE COURT: Where is -- where is the 23 document? 24 MR. DAVIS: The document is down with the 25 court reporter, and I'm now handing that to the Court.

MS. MILLER: Judge, that was the hearing that we had on Friday.

THE COURT: I recall that. Is this the statement about which the defense makes objection?

MS. BALIDO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: State's Exhibit Number 47?

Anything further from either side before I dictate my findings into the record?

MR. DAVIS: Nothing from the State, Your Honor.

MS. BALIDO: Nothing from the defense, Your Honor, at this point.

mandated by the United States Supreme Court in Jackson v.

Denno, found at 84 Supreme Court, page 1774, and following,
and also pursuant to the United States Supreme Court dictates
in Miranda versus Arizona, found at 86 Supreme Court 1602,
the Court finds beyond a reasonable doubt and without regard
to the truth or falsity of the statement identified as
State's Exhibit Number 47, that the defendant in this matter,
Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, was warned of his rights as is
required by Miranda versus Arizona on numerous occasions by
varying and differing peace officers involved with this
matter, that the defendant did knowingly waive his right to
an attorney and did freely and voluntarily without being

induced by compulsion, threats, promises, persuasions, make and sign a statement in writing which is specifically identified as State's Exhibit Number 47.

I further find that especially as relates to the warnings given by Garland Detective Myers, that the defendant's alleged mental condition by the ingestion of alcohol or cannabis was not impaired in any significant portion such that he did not sufficiently appreciate the consequences of the warning. I therefore find and rule as a matter of law that the State's Exhibit Number 47, the reported statement by the defendant, shall be presented or admitted into evidence, should State's strategy so dictate.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, just for housekeeping purposes, we will make an additional objection if the later statements are coming into evidence as well.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BALIDO: But it will just be kind of short like this so we won't have to take a break for the jury.

THE COURT: Sheriff, may we have the jury back in?

Let the record reflect the jury is returning to the courtroom at this time.

(Jury returned to courtroom.)

THE COURT: Jury may be seated.

Mr. Murphy, counsel, visitors in the gallery,

detective, you may be seated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Consistent with the Court's ruling, you may continue.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Now, State's Exhibit Number 41, the printed warnings form, what is the title of that document?
- A. It is titled "Warning to be Given Before Taking Any Oral or Written confession."
  - Q. Before you read any further --

MR. DAVIS: Judge, formally has this document been admitted?

THE COURT: Admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 41 admitted)

MR. DAVIS: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) I'm sorry. Would you please give us that title again?
- A. It's titled "Warning to be Given Before Taking Any Written or Oral Confession."
- Q. Is this a printed form that's used by the Garland Police Department?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And underneath that, would you please read the first portion underneath the title? What does that contain?
- A. It contains the date and time and my name and the defendant's name.

1 Q. The date being what?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. It reads on October the 6th -- I'm sorry, on the 6th day of October.
  - Q. And what is the time that's on that document?
  - A. 8:52 a.m.
  - Q. And that time would indicate what?
- A. That would be the time of day that Mr. Murphy signed this document.
- Q. And again, your name and the defendant's name appear. Could you please read to the members of the jury the Miranda warnings that are contained on State's Exhibit Number 41.
- A. Yes. It says, number one, I have the right to have a lawyer present to advise me either prior to or during any questioning.

Number two, if I am unable to employ a lawyer, I have the right to have a lawyer appointed to counsel with me prior to or during any questioning.

And, number three, I have the right to remain silent and not make any statement at all and that any statement that I make may and probably will be used as evidence against me at my trial.

Number four, I have the right to terminate the interview at any time.

Q. And what follows after those warnings?

- A. It says, "I understand all of the above explained rights," and it is signed Jedidiah Murphy.
- Q. Okay. Now, the Jedidiah Murphy that signed that document, State's Exhibit Number 41, do you see him here in the courtroom this afternoon?
  - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Please point him out and what he's wearing and where he's sitting.
- A. He's in a dark colored suit with a dark colored tie. He's wearing glasses seated right here.
- MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, may the record please reflect this witness has identified the defendant in open court.
- Q. (By Mr. Davis) How did you present that document to Mr. Murphy? Did you sit down and read out loud the contents? Did you give the document to him? Just tell the members of the jury how he actually learned the contents of State's Exhibit Number 41.
- A. I would present this document to him, advise him what the document was, that it was a Miranda warning sheet. I would ask him then to read it, ask him to make sure that he understood it. Tell him that if there was something on the sheet that he did not understand, to tell me what he didn't understand and I would try to explain it to him.
  - Q. Did it appear to you that Mr. Murphy did in fact

read State's Exhibit Number 41?

A. Yes, he did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. What indications did you have that he was reading?
- A. Well, he held it in his hand. I could see that his eyes were moving across the paper. He never indicated to me that he didn't understand it. And I believe that he read the entire document.
- Q. Did he ever have any questions for you about any the contents of State's Exhibit Number 41?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. Did he ever indicate to you that he had a problem with any of those warnings or rights?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. Did he ever indicate to you that he wanted to terminate the interview with you?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. And he then signed State's Exhibit Number 41 in your presence; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. After he signed the document, did y'all begin to have a discussion?
    - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And in general what was the topic of your discussion?
  - A. Well, the first thing that I said to Mr. Murphy was

that we needed to try and ascertain the abduction location. He said that he -- he didn't want to hide anything, that he wanted to cooperate with us, and that he would answer any questions that I had for him.

- Q. Now, did y'all have a short discussion about that subject there at the police station?
  - A. Yes, we did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. A few minutes later did you leave the police station with Mr. Murphy?
  - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Did any other police officer go with you from the station?
  - A. Yes, Detective Tooke did.
  - Q. Where did the three of you go?
- A. We drove back to the area of North Garland. We -the purpose for doing that was we were trying to locate an
  area where -- that Mr. Murphy would recognize as being the
  abduction location.
  - Q. How did you know where to go to?
- A. Well, he had told us previously that he had been at a bar, a sports bar located in North Garland.
  - Q. Do you remember the name of that bar?
  - A. Yes, I believe it was called Bleachers.
- Q. Now, you said that that bar is in the City of Garland; is that correct?

1 A. Yes, it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2-3

24

- Q. Is Bleachers in Dallas County, Texas?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Did you go past that location?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Did Mr. Murphy have any reaction when you went by that location?
- A. Yes, he did. Detective Tooke was driving the car.

  And as we drove past the location, which I already knew where it was, I just wanted to see if Mr. Murphy was going to identify that site or not at that address, and he did. He said, "hey, you just drove past it." So I knew he knew where he was talking about, the location he was talking about.
- Q. Now, these other locations that you went to, were they all in the City of Garland?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. How many possible locations did you go with Mr. Murphy to that morning?
- A. Well, we went to every major intersection -- every intersection that would have been along these north-south arteries of Jupiter Road. And we also included Shiloh Road and went over to Plano Road, any route that we thought that Ms. Cunningham may have driven from the mall to her residence.
  - Q. Okay. For those who aren't familiar with that area

now, you have Plano Road, and then to the east you have Jupiter Road, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then to the east of Jupiter Road you have Shiloh Road, all of them running north and south; is that correct?
  - A. Those are all the main north-south arteries, yes.
- Q. So of the major east-west arteries that you went to that day would have been what?
- A. They would have been Belt Line Road, Buckingham Road. We went as far south as Walnut Street, a big east-west street in Garland.
- Q. Was Mr. Murphy able to give you a precise location for the abduction after driving around with him that morning?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. Did he give you any indication that some of them may have been possible sites of the abduction?
- A. He pointed out a couple of areas as we were going by or as we stopped that he recognized, but he never said that this is -- this is the spot.
- Q. Uh-huh. Now, all of the locations that you went to that morning with Mr. Murphy, were all of those locations in Dallas County, Texas?
  - A. Yes, they were.
- Q. So I take it were you successful in finding a specific location for the abduction or not that morning?

1 A. We did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Did you return Mr. Murphy to the police station?
  - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. About how long were you out with him that morning before you got back to the station?
- A. I think we were out for about an hour and a half.
  We could have been out maybe just a little bit more, a little bit less, but roughly about an hour and a half.
- Q. Where did you take Mr. Murphy once you got back to the police station?
- A. We returned to the interview room on the second floor of the police department.
  - Q. Same room?
  - A. Yes, it's the same room we were in previously.
  - Q. What did you do when you got back up there?
- A. I asked Mr. Murphy if he would be willing to sign a statement, voluntary written statement.
  - Q. And what did he say?
  - A. He immediately said that he would.
- Q. Now, what procedure do you personally use to take a voluntary written statement? What's your practice?
- A. Well, it's a -- it's a printed -- part of it is a printed form. Present it to the person, tell them that this is a statement in their own words, in their own handwriting,

they can -- they can write whatever they want. It's just -- it's just their account of what happened.

- Q. Do you allow suspects to hand write the statement themselves, or do you normally write it out for them?
- A. I would always let the person write the statement if they're able to.
- Q. And what would be a situation where you would feel that you couldn't let a suspect write it out himself?
- A. I've had a situation a couple of times in my career where a person would indicate to me that they're just not able to write, they're not able to read or not able to write. And in those circumstances we make some other arrangements to obtain a written statement. Sometimes I would write it. Sometimes I would type it.
- Q. In this case did Mr. Murphy indicate that he wanted to hand write his own statement?
  - A. Yes, he did.

- Q. While a suspect is actually writing a statement, do you stay with him?
- A. No, I would always leave the person alone while they're writing.
  - Q. What's the purpose of doing that?
- A. Well, there's a couple of different reasons. It's really to help them relax, and I don't want them to be able to say that I in any way intimidated them to write their

statement. So I always leave them alone when they're writing.

- Q. In this case did you use the preprinted form used by the Garland Police Department?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. You let him hand write it himself; is that correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Did you allow the defendant to hand write it alone in the interview room?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. What instructions did you give to him then before he began writing the statement?
  - A. Well, there's a -- there is another warning similar to the Miranda warning on top of the printed form. I wanted to make sure that he understood that before we started writing, so I went over that with him.
    - Q. How did you go over that with him?
  - A. I just once again handed that form to him, asking him to read it, asking him if he had any questions about any of that information, asking him if he understood that.
  - Q. Did it appear to you that Mr. Murphy read that portion of the preprinted form?
    - A. Yes, it did.
    - Q. Did he have any questions for you?
  - A. No, he did not.

- Q. Did he make any comments that he didn't understand that?
  - A. No, he did not.

- Q. Did he give any indications that he didn't want to give you a written statement?
  - A. No, he did not.
  - Q. So what did you do?
- A. I then left him alone with the forms, let him write -- write his statement out.
- Q. How long did he -- did he take to write out a statement?
- A. I think it was probably a total of probably 20 minutes, maybe 30.
- Q. Were there any times during that period where you came into the room to check on his progress?
- A. I think I stuck my head in there one time. I cracked the door open. It's what I would normally do with anyone that was in there. I don't know if they're finished or not. I'm not with them. So I just cracked the door open, ask them if they are finished. If they say they are, I would go in. If they say they're not, then I would close the door and leave them alone.
- Q. At a certain point did he indicate that he was finished?
  - A. Yes, he did.

- Q. What did you do at that point?
- A. I would then return into the room with him. I took the statement from him. I read the statement. I would ask another person then to come into the room to witness the -- Mr. Murphy's signature onto the statement.
- Q. Now, is the suspect given an opportunity to make changes or additions or deletions to his statement before he has to sign it?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Was Mr. Murphy given that opportunity?
- 11 A. Yes, he was.
  - Q. Did he in fact sign the voluntary statement?
  - A. Yes, he did.
    - Q. Did you witness his signature?
  - A. Yes, I did.
    - Q. Who else witnessed his signature?
- 17 A. Detective Tooke.
  - MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.
  - THE COURT: You may.
  - Q. (By Mr. Davis) Detective Myers, looking at State's Exhibit Number 47, if you will review that document and tell me whether or not this is the voluntary written statement that you took from the defendant, Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, on October 6th, the year 2000?
    - A. Yes, sir, it is.

- Q. How many pages?
- A. It consists of five pages.
- Q. Is the defendant's signature on each and every page?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Would that be the same for your signature and that of Detective Tooke?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Each page, does it have the same preprinted material on it?
- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Does each page contain the Miranda warnings that you've just told this jury about?
- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Now, prior to the time that Jedidiah Murphy signed this document, sir, had you threatened him in any fashion in order to have him produce this written statement for you?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. Had you compelled him in any way to sign that statement?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Had you made any promises whatsoever to him before he signed this statement?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did Jedidiah Isaac Murphy freely and voluntarily sign and execute State's Exhibit Number 47?

1 MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'm going to object that 2 as being an ultimate fact question for the jury. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. 4 Α. Yes, he did. 5 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we would offer State's Exhibit Number 47 for all purposes. 6 7 (State's Exhibit No. 47 offered) 8 MS. BALIDO: Judge, we'd object on the basis of our previous objection. 9 10 THE COURT: The Court recalls the previous 11 objections. They are again overruled. Admitted. 12 (State's Exhibit No. 47 admitted) 13 (By Mr. Davis) At the top of that voluntary Q. 14 statement form, again is there a place for the date? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. And is that October 6th of 2000? 17 Α. Yes, it is. 18 Q. Is there a place for the time? 19 Α. Yes, there is. 20 Ο. And what time is indicated on State's Exhibit Number 21 47? 22 Α. 11:30 a.m. 23 Does that indicate the time that you began the 24 process with him, or is that the time that he actually signed 25 State's Exhibit Number 47?

- A. I think this is the time that he signed it.
- Q. There is a place -- would that be 217 North Fifth Street which is the location for the police department?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Place for his name, as well as his age, and his home address; is that also right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And in this case what age was placed on that document?
  - A. It says 25 years old.
  - Q. Home address is what?
    - A. 1718 Barclay, Richardson, Texas.
- Q. And I believe that you told me that following that there are certain Miranda rights or warnings that are given; is that right?
  - A. Yes, there are.
- Q. If you would on that first page only, would you read the Miranda rights and warnings that are contained on State's Exhibit Number 47?
- A. It says: I am giving this statement to M.J. Myers, who has identified himself as a Police Officer of the City of Garland, and he has duly warned me that I have the following rights: That I have the right to remain silent and not make any statement at all; that any statement I make may be used against me at my trial; that any statement I make may be used

as evidence against me in court; that I have the right to have a lawyer present to advise me prior to and during any questioning; that if I am unable to employ a lawyer, I have the right to have a lawyer appointed to advise me prior to and during any questioning and that I have the right to terminate the interview at any time. Prior to and during the making of a statement, I have and do hereby knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive the above explained rights and I do make the following voluntary statement to the aforementioned person of my own free will and without any promises or offers of leniency or favors, and through no fear, coercion or threat of physical harm by any person or persons whomsoever.

- Q. Now, following that printed form, is there space then for the suspect, in this case Mr. Murphy, to hand write whatever he wants to?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. All right. Sir, on State's Exhibit Number 47 then will you please read what Mr. Murphy wrote with regards to this statement?
  - A. Yes.

"I was drinking heavily and decided I was going to visit my daughter and end my life. I packed my stuff and left my sisters headed to Bleachers sports bar for something to drink. I drank more alcohol and started walking down the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

road beside Bleachers on my way to 635 so I could hitch a ride to Wills Point to see Alyssa where exactly I saw miss Bertie but I told her I needed a ride to 635 and she agreed to take me as long as she was safe. I assured her I wasn't out to hurt anyone and we drove off. We drove (sic) off toward 635 and about 30 minutes into heavy construction I decided what I was doing was wrong so I told miss Bertie to let me drive so I could take her and her car somewhere I could leave them so I could hitch a ride to 635. We pulled into a parking lot and I told her I was going to put her in the trunk and go to a payphone and call the police after I got far enough away so they could get her out safely. After she got in the trunk I had the gun in my right hand and before I shut the trunk I switched hands because I can't feel my left hand and its habit for me to use my right hand to open and close doors. When I reached for the trunk lid I still had the gun in my left hand and grabbed it too hard and it shot her. I freaked out and started to run, but turned back to see what happened to her and I knew she was gone so I drove around all night drinking as if I told myself this didn't happen and decided I was going to wait until my sister left for work and the whole time my mind was telling me it didn't happen. The next morning I went to my sisters, parked the car in the garage and put a shop vac hose in the tailpipe and into the back window. I layed in the front seat and

crank the car and before I fell unconscious the thought of my niece and sister seeing me dead and discovering what had happened would destroy them both. So I left the house and decided to go see an old friend Shod and my daughter and kill myself at the country somewhere. I bought beer in Terrell and continued to block what had happened while drinking. I went to Shods and then went for more beer and whiskey and intended to leave that night to commit suicide. because I had been up for 2 days and hadn't stopped drinking I put Miss Bertie at the bottom of Livingston hill cause the end of all this had come, but was not going to kill myself untill I saw Alyssa. Shod offered me a place to sleep and I decided to sleep till morning see Alyssa and finish my life. While sleeping the police came in and arrested me. all the people destroyed by this was not intentional and I'm sure you wish me dead and I would wish the same. cooperating so you understand I'm not trying to hide what happened and the fact is I'm not an evil person who hurts people."

Q. First of all, I want to talk about the locations that Mr. Murphy mentions there in State's Exhibit Number 47. When he talks about going to Bleachers, I guess -- I believe you've already told us that Bleachers is located in Garland; is that correct?

A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Page 185 1 Q. When he stated that he started walking down the road beside Bleachers on my way to 635, what is the road that 2 fronts Bleachers? 3 4 Α. That is, I believe, Arapaho. 5 0. Now, Arapaho at that point is what, an east-west or 6 a north-south road? 7 It's actually east -- east and west right at Bleachers. 8 9 Ο. If we were to continue walking down east on Arapaho, 10 would there come a point when it would become actually a 11 north-south road?
  - A. Yes, it does -- it does curve around.
  - Q. Does it become -- does it actually curve into another road that continues further south?
  - A. It would -- I think it curves around and changes names into North Garland.
  - Q. If we were to continue on North Garland south, would North Garland Avenue actually intersect LBJ which is 635?
    - A. Yes, it would.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, the southern boundary for the City of Garland in that location would be what?
  - A. It is 635.
- Q. So that I understand, the area from Bleachers south to 635, Detective, is that entire area located within the City of Garland?

1 A. Yes, it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Is all of that area located within Dallas County, Texas, sir?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Now, as a general rule when a suspect gives you a statement, is he free to write whatever he wants to?
  - A. Yes, he is.
  - Q. Was Mr. Murphy free to write whatever he wanted to?
  - A. Yes, he was.
- Q. When you saw State's Exhibit Number 47 and had an opportunity to read it, sir, were you in a position at that point to verify all of the information that he had just given to you?
- A. No. At the time I was receiving this statement, I was not.
- Q. I want to go specifically to portions of that statement. First statement when he says, "I was drinking heavily and decided I was going to visit my daughter and end my life." When he made that statement that he had been drinking heavily, had you had an opportunity at that point to talk with Kenneth Clance who is the bartender at Bleachers?
  - A. No.
- Q. Were you aware of his observations of the defendant when he got to Bleachers and when he left Bleachers?
  - A. No, I was not.

- Q. Did you know how many drinks the defendant actually had at Bleachers?
  - A. No, I did not.

- Q. Were you aware of Mr. Clance's opinion that the defendant was not intoxicated when he left Bleachers?
  - A. No, I was not.
- Q. Secondly, when the defendant says in his statement that he drove around all night, at that point when he gave that statement back to you, were you aware that Ms. Cunningham's card had been used twice at a ATM machine in Richardson shortly after 4:00 p.m. on October the 4th?
- A. No. At the time of this statement I was not aware of that.
- Q. Were you aware that Ms. Cunningham, as well as Ms. Conner's credit cards had been used at 11:30 p.m. and later at approximately 4:30 a.m. at an ATM machine on Harry Hines in Dallas?
- A. No. At the time of this statement, I was not aware of that.
- Q. Were you aware that the defendant had shortly after using the credit card at the ATM machine, that he had picked up several young people in Richardson and started giving them rides around town?
  - A. I'm sorry. You have to ask me that again.
  - Q. Were you aware that the defendant around 5:00 p.m.

on October 4th had actually started giving young people rides in Ms. Cunningham's car?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Were you aware that the defendant had been at the Richardson Motor Sports with two of those juveniles at approximately 6:20 p.m.?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. The statement that he went to his sister's house, there was some discussion in that statement about certain items, hoses and the like. At that point had any items been recovered from that house similar to hoses or vacuum hoses or anything of that sort?
  - A. No, not at this time.
  - Q. Now, later were certain items recovered?
  - A. Yes, they were.
  - Q. Did you recover them yourself?
  - A. I did.
- Q. Tell the members of the jury where those items were.
  - A. They were located in the garage of the residence.
- Q. What was the condition? Were they all lined up neat and nice, or were they scattered about, or what was the physical appearance of those items when you first saw them?
- A. They were just laying on the floor in the garage. I wouldn't say that -- they weren't -- they weren't stored on a

shelf. They were just laying on the floor, scattered on the floor.

- Q. You work suicides before?
- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Have you worked suicides where carbon monoxide from a car has been used as the suicide weapon?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. What was your impression having worked suicides and having seen these items on the floor, what was your impression at that time?

MR. BYCK: Your Honor, this is complete speculation. This man is nowhere an expert in suicide or in those manners of taking one's own life. He has not been qualified as an expert. No predicate has been laid. We object.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) What was your impression?
- A. Well, as I recall, I'm not -- I'm not sure that I -- that it made an impression on me personally right then and there when I collected it. I -- he said that he had used those items in an attempt to commit suicide. I was there to collect those items. I found them, collected them, brought them to the police department.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. May I approach, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may.

1 Q. (By Mr. Davis) Detective Myers, first of all, 2 looking at State's Exhibit 40, is this a blue towel that you 3 obtained from the garage there at 1718 Barclay? 4 Yes, it is. 5 Q. State's Exhibit Number 39, is this a hose that you 6 obtained from the garage there at Barclay? 7 Α. Yes. State's Exhibit Number 38, is this another hose that 8 Q. you obtained from the garage there on Barclay? 9 10 Α. Yes, it is. 11 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we'll 12 offer State's Exhibits 38, 39, and 40. 13 (State's Exhibit No. 38 through 40 offered) 14 MS. BALIDO: Judge, if we can look at them 15 just for a second. No objection. 16 MR. BYCK: No objection to State's Exhibit 17 38, 39, and 40, inclusive. 18 THE COURT: Admitted. 19 (State's Exhibit No. 38 through 40 admitted) 20 Q. (By Mr. Davis) Where exactly did you find these 21 three items? 22 Α. All three were on the floor in the garage. 23 Were the hoses hooked up together, or do you recall? Q. 24 Α. I don't recall. I don't think they were, but I'm 25 not sure.

- Q. Now, when he said that he had gone to his sister's house, were you aware of whether or not members of the Garland Police Department had been over there to process the bathroom for signs of blood evidence?
  - A. Yes, I was aware of that.
- Q. Are there different methods that the police agencies use to detect really blood that's not visible to the naked eye?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. What sort of methods are used?
- A. One of the methods that we use is a process chemical called luminal.
  - Q. And what does luminal do?
- A. Luminal is a chemical that if you were to spray it on any surface, it might indicate whether or not there's blood on that surface. Even though that blood is not visible to the naked eye, the luminal will make -- make the blood glow in the dark and it's just a -- it's an indication that there is blood there. Could have been there for a long time, and the luminal will tell you that it's been there.
- Q. Now, at the time that he gave this statement, did you know whether or not forensics people from the Garland Police Department had processed a bathtub at 1718 Barclay for possible blood evidence?
  - A. I had been told that, yes.

- O. It's your understanding they used luminal?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Were you aware of the results of the luminal?
- A. I was told they were getting some -- some hits on the luminal in the bathtub and the shower area.
  - O. Which would be consistent with what?
  - A. Finding blood.
- Q. When the defendant told you that this was an accidental shooting, you didn't have the results of any autopsy at that time, did you?
  - A. Did not.
- Q. You hadn't had an opportunity to talk with the medical examiner, had you?
  - A. Had not at that time, no.
- Q. Were you aware of the location of the shot that was inflicted on Ms. Cunningham?
  - A. No, I was not.
- Q. Were you aware of the possible distance of the shot, just how close the end of the barrel would have been at the time that it fired into Ms. Cunningham?
  - A. At that time I was not aware of that.
- Q. Were you aware of whether or not Ms. Cunningham had bruising on her body, specifically to her chest, her arms, and her shoulder area?
  - A. No, I was not.

- Page 193 1 0. This -- this statement, did it contain any 2 explanation for what my have happened to Ms. Cunningham's 3 watch? 4 Α. No, it does not. 5 Ο. Does it contain any explanation as to what happened 6 to Ms. Cunningham's ring? 7 MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'm going to object at 8 this point as argumentative. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MS. BALIDO: Exhibit speaks for itself. 11 0. (By Mr. Davis) Sir, when he told you that he can't 12 feel his left hand, at that point had you talked with any 13 coworkers of Mr. Murphy from either Terrell or Wills Point? 14 Α. At that time I had not, no, sir. 15 Q. Had you reviewed any medical records belonging to Jedidiah Isaac Murphy? 16
  - Α. No, I had not.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Had you talked with any of the doctors who may have treated him in the past?
  - Α. No, I did not.
- Q. So you simply, as I understand, allowed him to write what he wanted to and he signed off on it, correct?
  - Α. That's correct.
- Q. Now, after you had concluded taking the statement from Mr. Murphy, at some point did you become aware that

attorneys had arrived at the police station on his behalf?

- A. Yes, I did become aware of that.
- Q. And what did you do as a result of that?
- A. My super -- my supervisor advised me that there were attorneys at the police department to see Mr. Murphy, and I immediately returned him to the book-in or to the jail area.
  - Q. Make any other attempt to interview him that day?
  - A. None at all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you ever see the attorneys who were there at the police station?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Are either of them or any of them here in the courtroom this afternoon?
  - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Would you please point him out -- please point them out?
- A. This gentleman seated right here with the white shirt and blue tie and the lady dressed in yellow.
- Q. I believe that you're referring to Mr. Byck and Ms. Little; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Did you have any conversations with them that day?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Now, did you have an opportunity to observe the physical condition or appearance of the defendant when you

went back there to the police station?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Had his demeanor, his appearance changed in any way?
- A. His demeanor changed quite a bit when we got to the police department actually.
  - Q. How did it change?
- A. Well, he -- he almost immediately was no longer quiet and silent. He immediately opened up, said that he wanted to cooperate, was very talkative and easy to talk to from that point on really.
- Q. When you bring a suspect in in a case like this, are you trained to observe for possible injuries that they may have sustained?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Did you do that with Mr. Murphy?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Were photographs taken to document his physical condition that day?
  - A. He would have been photographed that day in the -- in the book-in proceeding. He was photographed again I think the next day.
    - Q. And are you aware of how he appeared at that time?
- 23 | A. Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, may I please approach.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Detective Murphy, looking -Detective Myers, I'm sorry, looking at State's Exhibits 42,
  43, 44, 45, and 46, do you recognize these to be photographs
  of the defendant taken while he was in custody at the Garland
  police station?

  A. Yes, they are.

  MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will
  offer State's Exhibits 42 through 46.

  (State's Exhibit No. 42 through 46 offered)

  MS. BALIDO: Judge, before I lodge my
  - THE COURT: You may.

objections, may I take this witness on voir dire?

## Voir Dire Examination

By Ms. Balido:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. Officer, you stated that -- that pictures were taken at two separate times at the Garland jail; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. They were taken when he first booked in?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And they were also taken at a later time?
- 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. So that would be probably some were taken on the 6th of October and some were taken on the 7th of October?
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. When were State's Exhibit Number 42, 43, 44, 45, and

46 taken?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I was sure about all of them except for one. If you'd show them to me again, I can tell you.

MS. BALIDO: May I approach the witness, Judge.

THE COURT: You may.

- A. These were all taken on the second day.
- Q. (By Ms. Balido) Okay. So these were not -- these pictures were not taken as part of the book-in procedure for the Garland county jail -- I mean the Garland City Jail?
  - A. Yes, ma'am, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. Did -- before you took these pictures, did you read him -- specifically before you took these pictures, did you read him any Miranda warnings?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that was done on the 7th; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. So these were taken after the Miranda warnings were taken -- I mean, were told to him on that second occasion?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And did you tell him at the time that you were taking these pictures that these pictures could be used against him?

MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry, Judge, I'm going to object. This is outside of any requirement. Miranda warning is not required to take a photograph.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, our objection is that at the time that these were taken they were not taken on any kind of routine procedure, but taken for the fact to be used against him in court. For taking -- the state of the evidence is right now that when they were taken, he was assigned counsel and that the Miranda warnings were given and therefore -- and later -- well, he was assigned counsel at that time. He was then re-approached by the detective, and the detective initiated contact, the result of which is these pictures. And we would object on those -- on that basis at this point.

THE COURT: The Court rules as a matter of law the photographs are non-testimonial. The 5th Amendment is not applicable. The defense objection is overruled. They are admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 42 through 46 admitted)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Detective Myers, looking at the defendant today, has his appearance changed from -- from October the 6th of the year 2000?
  - A. Yes, it has.
  - Q. Looking first at State's Exhibit Number 42, is this

a photograph of his face and his head as it appeared on 1 October 7th of last year? 2 3 Α. Yes, it is. MR. DAVIS: Permission to publish, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: You may. 6 (Exhibit published to jury.) (By Mr. Davis) As you looked at Mr. Murphy that 7 0. 8 day, did you note some possible injuries? 9 Α. Yes. 10 0. First of all, State's Exhibit Number 43, is this a 11 photograph showing the palm area of Mr. Murphy? 12 Α.. Yes. 13 And are there some areas there of red that you 14 indicated as possible injuries? 15 Α. Yes, sir, there is. 16 (Exhibit published to jury.) 17 (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit Number 44, would Q. 18 this be the back portion of his hands? 19 Α. Yes, it is. 20 And again, did you feel that there may be some possible scratches or injuries here? 21 22 À. Possibly, yes. 23 (Exhibit published to jury.) 24 Q. (By Mr. Davis) Detective, had you had an opportunity to observe the area around that creek where Ms. 25

1 Cunningham's body was found? 2 Yes, I did. Α. 3 Q. And what was the general nature of that area, smooth 4 banks, sandy? 5 Well, it was -- it was -- part of it was covered by 6 some dirt banks. Other parts was some pretty jagged concrete 7 that had been dumped there. 8 Q. State's Exhibit Number 45, would that be the right 9 elbow area? 10 A. Yes. 11 (Exhibit published to jury.) 12 (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit Number 46, does this Q. 13 show the back and the -- as well as the left shoulder area 14 for the defendant? 15 Α. Yes, it does. 16 MS. BALIDO: Judge, at this point we'd object 17 before he shows it to the jury, publishing it to the jury. 18 We'd object the way that the picture is situated it -- the 19 probative value of the picture is substantially outweighed by 20 the prejudicial effect and we object for that reason. 21 THE COURT: Rule 403, the objection is 22 overruled. Admitted. 23 (Exhibit published to jury.) 24 Q. (By Mr. Davis) Detective Myers, at your direction 25 did -- did certain members of the Garland Police Department

retrieve some receipts from Terrell, Texas, in connection with this case?

A. Yes.

- Q. Specifically, did they retrieve receipts from Cole Mountain Restaurant and Cowboys Quick Stop in Terrell?
  - A. Yes, they did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. That was done at your direction as the lead investigator; is that right?
  - A. Myself and my supervisors, yes, sir.

MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibits 52 and 53, do you recognize those as being the receipts that were retrieved at your direction from Cole Mountain and Cowboys Quick Stop in Terrell?
  - A. Yes.

MR. DAVIS: At this time we would offer State's Exhibits 52 and 53.

(State's Exhibit No. 52 and 53 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection 52 and 53.

THE COURT: Both admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 52 and 53 admitted)

Q. (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit Number 52, the receipt from Cole Mountain, does that appear to be for a total of 25.28 with a five-dollar tip? Does that seem to be

the indication there, sir?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Yes, sir. \$25.28 and a five-dollar tip.
- Q. The signature down there at the bottom, can you make out what that purports to be?
  - A. No, I can't.
  - Q. First initial, can you make that one out?
- A. It's a J.
  - Q. Does there appear to be a second initial of C at some point?
  - A. Well, the second name appears to be C-u-n, and then it kind of scatters off after that.
  - Q. State's Exhibit Number 53, the receipt from Cowboys Quick, is that for a total of \$22.29?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And again, the signature, would it be similar to the one that was on the previous document?
  - A. Yes, first initial J and the last name C-u and then it kind of scatters off after that.
  - Q. The credit card number shown on State's Exhibit
    Number 52 of 5429 being the -- that's the merchant number,
    the Discover Card 6011, and with the numbers following, sir,
    does that appear to be the number that matches State's
    Exhibit Number 5 which is Discover Card issued to Bertie
    Cunningham?
    - A. Yes, it is.

1 0. The credit card number shown for the Cowboys Quick 2 Stop receipt again, would that be the credit card matching 3 the Discover Card belonging to Bertie Cunningham? 4 Α. Yes, it is. 5 When you spoke with the defendant again about the 6 location of the abduction, the locations that he provided to 7 you, sir, were they all within Dallas County, Texas? Α. 8 Yes. 9 Did he ever indicate otherwise that he either 10 abducted or shot Ms. Cunningham anywhere outside of Dallas 11 County? 12 A. No, he did not. 13 Q. Thank you, Detective. 14 MR. DAVIS: I'll pass the witness. 15 THE COURT: May I see the attorneys at the 16 bench for a scheduling matter? 17 (Side bar discussion off the record.) 18 THE COURT: 5-minute break. 19 (Recess.) 20 THE COURT: Visitors in the gallery, you may 21 be seated. 22 Sheriff, bring in the jury. 23 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: Let the record reflect the jury is 25 returning to the courtroom at this time.

(Jury returned to courtroom.)

THE COURT: Jury may be seated.

Mr. Murphy, counsel, visitors in the gallery, you may be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, a couple of the jurors have some travel commitments, so does this witness and but for that fact we would be adjourning, but because of some travel commitments he has, we will continue this afternoon until his examination has been completed

## Cross-Examination

By Ms. Balido:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Detective Myers --

MS. BALIDO: I'm sorry. May it please the Court.

THE COURT: It may.

- Q. (By Ms. Balido) Detective Myers, as you know, my name is Jennifer and I represent Mr. Murphy in this case.

  Okay? I'm going to ask you some questions about your testimony. If you don't understand anything I'm asking you or you need me to repeat something, I will. All right?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. You testified in an examining trial regarding this offense; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. And that examining trial commenced on October the

24th, the year 2000; is that right?

- A. That sounds right.
- Q. Okay. And that was done in front of the Honorable Vickers Cunningham, a Judge here in Dallas County; is that correct?
  - A. I'd have to take your word for that.
- Q. Okay. It was not in this court in front of this Judge?
  - A. No, it was not.
  - Q. All right. And do you recall --

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, a district court is by law prevented from handling an examining trial in a capital murder case.

You may continue.

- Q. (By Ms. Balido) And do you recall that during that examining trial you discussed with the defense attorney and the prosecutor that the defendant in this case had made a voluntary statement; is that correct?
  - A. I believe so.
    - Q. And you didn't have it with you at that time?
    - A. No, I don't think I did.
      - Q. And the Judge ordered you to --

MR. DAVIS: I'm going to object. This is all irrelevant, has nothing to do with his testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 MR. DAVIS: It's improper impeachment. 2 don't know the purpose of this. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. 4 Ο. (By Ms. Balido) And you were asked to turn over a 5 copy of that voluntary statement; is that correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. And did you in fact fax a copy of that 8 voluntary statement over to either the D.A.'s office or to 9 the defense attorneys as requested by that Judge? 10 Α. Yes. The District Attorneys Office had that statement in their possession, yes, ma'am. 11 12 0. On that day? 13 Α. I don't believe they had it on that day, but it was 14 made available to them. 15 Okay. And at that time in addition to the voluntary 16 statements, you also had additional statements from the 17 defense; is that correct? From the defendant? 18 Α. At that time? 19 0. Yes, on the 24th --20 Α. Yes. 21 0. -- of October? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. But you did not make the defense attorneys aware of 24 that at that time? 25 Α. No.

- Q. Okay. In fact, you did not make those statements aware to defense counsel until Thursday of last week; is that correct?
  - A. That's when that testimony come out, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Let me ask you a little bit about your training.

  Now, you go through training to become a detective; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And in fact you go through training to become a police officer; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And did you take like criminology in college or -- or anything like that?
- A. I did, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And where did you take that schooling?
- A. Some of my schooling was in a college in Ohio, some was here in the Dallas area.
- Q. Okay. And was any of that schooling done up at the University of North Texas?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you about -- and part of that training has to do with dealing with the use of handguns and their proper use and their safe use in handling certain situations; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. Have you heard of a phenomenon that's called sympathetic firing?
  - A. No, I have not.

- Q. Okay. Maybe you don't know it by that name. Have you heard of the phenomenon that sometimes police officers --well, let me ask you this. Were you ever trained in your police training that if you are -- are handling a gun in your hand, that you are not to do anything else with your other hand like search down or pat down a defendant for the fear that this gun over here might go off?
- A. Well, if you're asking me if I'm aware -- aware that there is a possibility of an accidental discharge --
  - Q. That's basically what I'm getting to.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you're trained -- or you have been trained through the police academy or whatever -- did you go through the Garland Police Academy?
- A. Yes.
- Q. They have their own Garland Police Academy, don't they?
  - A. Yes, they do.
  - Q. All right. And were you trained that one of the ways to keep from doing an -- having an accidental discharge occur, that you are not to hold your gun with one hand and do something else with the other hand?

- A. Well, actually we are trained not to -- not to do that, period.
- Q. Okay. In fact, you're trained to -- let's say you're holding a gun on somebody, and then something else -- someone needs to pat -- you need to pat someone down or maybe search an area or search a pocket, that you're supposed to actually holster your weapon before you do that?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And that's so this accidental discharge does not happen?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. But sometimes unfortunately it does in certain combat or certain situations where maybe somebody doesn't follow that procedure?
- A. I've never personally been involved with an accidental discharge --
- Q. Right.
- A. -- but I've heard of them.
- Q. Okay. So it's not something that's outside the realm of possibility?
  - A. No, it's not.
  - Q. Okay. Have you ever read any articles about it and how this sometimes happens in situations with police officers?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Okay. You said that your first place really that
you went to in regard to your investigation on this case was
Collin Creek Mall; is that correct?

- A. After leaving the police department, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Right, after leaving the Garland Police Department?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. You drove to Collin Creek Mall; is that correct?
- 8 | A. Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And that location is in Collin County, Texas?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And you testified that -- and I'm kind of jumping around a little bit, and I apologize for that.

  You testified that when you talked to Mr. Murphy, once you got to the Garland Police Department, that you read him his rights, he signed the waiver, and then y'all went out driving in the car with Detective Tooke; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you drove around in all sorts of locations in Dallas County, Texas, hoping to jar the defendant's memory as to where he first met up with Ms. Cunningham; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And at that time you testified that you could not pinpoint any location in Dallas County, Texas, where Ms.

- A. We did not establish a specific location.
- Q. In Dallas County, Texas?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. And do you know where she was shot?
- A. No, I do not.
- Q. Okay. So through your investigation, you have not been able to pinpoint any location in Dallas County, Texas, where she was shot?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You also testified, and I wrote it down, that once he got to the police station, that Mr. Cunningham was very cooperative -- I'm sorry, that Mr. Murphy was very cooperative and he kind of -- his mood seemed to change; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So when you were driving around in the car throughout the morning of the 6th of October with Detective Tooke and Mr. Murphy in the car, was he trying to be helpful or was he being evasive or what was the situation at that point?
- A. He said that he was trying to be helpful. He was looking -- he would look at an intersection or a building. He would tell me whether he recognized it or didn't recognize it. So he wants me to -- he leads me to believe that he's trying to cooperate at that time.

- Q. Okay. Now, let me kind of back up a little bit and ask you a few questions about your other testimony. Let me direct your attention back to where you first got this call from Deputy Rose of the Garland -- I mean, of the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department. Okay. Did you get -- get the call that said that they had located the vehicle, or was that Commander Lay?
  - A. I think that was Commander Lay.
  - Q. Were you in Commander Lay's presence when that -- that call was gotten?
    - A. No, I was not.

- Q. Did you ever tell Gary Rose of the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department not to act on anything or try to arrest Jim Murphy before y'all got out there?
- A. I never -- I never saw Gary Rose before in my life until the night when we got to the Dairy Queen, so I had not talked to him on the phone or met him before we got there in Edgewood that night.
- Q. Okay. Did you and Commander Lay ever discuss whether or not you wanted the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department to handle basically what was your investigation?
- A. No, I didn't. I did not talk to Commander Lay about that.
- Q. Okay. So once you met up with Detective Rose down at the Dairy Queen, did y'all first go to the scene or did

y'all go to the house on Lamar?

- A. As I recall, we went right straight to the house.
- Q. All right. And the first thing that caught your attention was Ms. Cunningham's car sitting outside the residence?
  - A. I saw it, yes, ma'am.
- Q. And then you went in there and you talked to Mr. Murphy; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that Mr. Murphy looked like a man who had just been woken up? If that's the correct term of wake. Did he look woken up?
- A. Well, he was awake. I didn't think that he was drifting in and out of sleep, so, I really don't -- don't recall or if I was even looking to see if he had -- had just been awakened or not.
- Q. Okay. Any indication once you walked in the room that anyone -- either in the house or -- well, first let me start with the house. When you drove up to the house, was there any indication on the outside of the house that there had been a large amount of beer consumed at that location?
  - A. I didn't personally see any evidence of that.
- Q. Did you personally see any evidence of any marijuana that had been smoked out around the cars or the house?
  - A. No, I did not.

- Q. When you entered the bedroom where Treshod Tarrant and Jim Murphy had been sleeping, did you smell any alcohol in or about that room?
  - A. No, I did not.

- Q. Did you smell any remnants of the smell of marijuana in or about that room?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. And you know what marijuana smells like so if you smelled it, you'd know it?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Now, you said that Mr. Murphy when you walked in was awake and alert; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And also in your training as a Garland police officer and a Garland detective, you're taught how to spot signs of intoxication; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is it true or not true that sometimes people that are used to drinking a lot or used to taking drugs a lot, have what is called masking where they can mask the symptoms of alcohol better than let's say someone that's never had a drink before?
  - A. I think that's possible, yes.
- Q. Okay. And that there are certain tests that can be run on a defendant or a suspect to determine whether or not

that person has ingested alcohol or -- or taken illegal drugs; is that true?

- A. Medical tests?
- Q. Well, there are medical tests, but then there are also things called field sobriety tests that are taught to different police officers; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And have you been trained in those -- I know it's been a long time since you're a detective, but have you been trained in --
  - MS. LITTLE: Since you were in patrol.
- MS. BALIDO: Well, I mean, since now he's a detective.
- Q. (By Ms. Balido) But since you were patrol, were you trained in how to spot these things?
- A. Well, I think the field sobriety tests that I received training in would be considered pretty primitive by today's standards. I haven't received any training in what is considered -- used today for field sobriety.
- Q. Okay. The cutting edge technology of what -- of the field sobriety tests, you don't have any idea about?
  - A. I have received no training in that.
- Q. So you haven't ever been taught about the horizontal gaze nystagmus test?
  - A. I never have received training in that.

- Q. Okay. Or the vertical gaze nystagmus test?
- A. No.
  - Q. Do you know what they are?
- A. I -- I have an idea of what they are, but I've received no training in them.
- Q. Okay. Basically they're tests not to show how much alcohol or drugs are in the system, but actually that there are drugs or alcohol in the system. Is that what your knowledge is of them?
- A. Well, I don't know that they conclude that there is drugs or alcohol. It's just an indication that there might be.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. As a result of the tests that are given.
- Q. Would that be something that was important to know before you questioned someone regarding a capital murder?
- A. Well, I would -- I would want to try and determine whether I thought that person was under the influence of alcohol or drugs before I questioned them, yes.
- Q. Okay. And -- and basically from what you did, you looked at him and you didn't think he was intoxicated and you kind of talked to him and you didn't think he was intoxicated and so that was good enough for you?
  - A. Yes, ma'am, it was.
  - Q. All right.

COURT REPORTER: Judge, I need just one minute. Thank you.

THE COURT: You may continue.

- Q. (By Ms. Balido) Detective Myers, at certain points during your testimony, and it may just be a testimonial thing, I don't know, that's why I'm asking, there are points that you said you would have done this and you would have done that in regard to what you did in this case. Are you testifying that basically -- I guess what I'm asking, are you testifying that you followed all these procedures in this case because you actually followed all these procedures in this case, or is it because you were trained to do it that way and you follow your training and you would have done that if you followed your training? Do you have independent recollection of doing everything that you've testified to, I guess, is what I'm asking in this case?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Let me ask you about the way that you advised Mr. Murphy of his rights. Okay. Did you go through -- and this is orally, as he's still sitting there on the bed handcuffed. Okay. Did you read through or not really read through -- did you recite to him the warnings like you did to the jury today, or did you go through each little part and then ask him if he understood that?
  - A. I recited the whole entire warning and asked him if

he understood it at the end of the warning.

- Q. Okay. And you remember with clarity that he said, yes, he understood it?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you ask him if he wanted to waive his rights and make any statement before you asked him the question where are the credit cards?
  - A. I don't believe I did.
- Q. Okay. But -- so you just asked him the question, and he told you?
- A. I asked him if he understood his rights, and after he acknowledged yes, then I asked the question.
- Q. Okay. Did he acknowledge yes by -- by lowering his head, by shaking his head, or saying yes?
  - A. Both.
- Q. All right. But you did not ask him if he waived his rights before you asked him the question?
- 18 A. No.
  - Q. Okay. And you just asked him the question and then he answered it?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And you stated on -- in your direct examination that he said, "they're outside in her car." Is that the exact way that he put it?
    - A. I'd have to look at my note. That's the -- that's

basically what he said. I have a written note of exactly what he said, but -- and I'd have to look at that.

- Q. Okay. Do you have your notebook of your notes or --
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DAVIS: Let the record reflect I'm handing Detective Myers his notebook that the Court had previously reviewed.

- A. I made a notation at that time, and I put in quotation marks "in her car, comma, outside."
- Q. (By Ms. Balido) Okay. And did you also make an indication on there in quotation marks that he said yes and nodded his head?
- A. No, I didn't -- excuse me, let me look at that again. No, I did not make a notation of that.
  - Q. But you made a notation of what she exactly said?
  - A. Yes, of what he exactly said.
- Q. I'm sorry, what he exactly said.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you asked no other questions of the defendant at that time; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. When you say that you made -- well, first let me ask you. When you read him his Miranda warnings, did you tell him what he was charged with?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Okay. What did you tell him?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- A. I told him he was being placed into custody for the credit card abuse warrant that we had obtained and also that he was -- would be charged with investigation of murder.
- Q. Okay. Investigation of murder and not capital murder?
- A. I don't really recall whether I used the word "capital murder" or just murder, but I knew -- I know that I advised him of one or the other.
- Q. But you'll agree with me that there's a vast difference between -- under Texas law of murder and capital murder?
  - A. Yes, there is.
- Q. One you can get the death penalty for, and one you can't?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you discuss any of that with Mr. Murphy as you were advising him of his rights and what he was charged with?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you at any time discuss with him the difference between murder and capital murder -- well, did you at any time discuss with him the difference in murder and capital murder?
  - A. We did have a -- we did have a conversation about --

about the death penalty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And when did that occur?
- A. That occurred actually I think during the first interview at the police department.
  - Q. And how did it exactly come up?
  - A. He's the one that brought it up actually.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. He's the one that started the conversation about the death penalty.
- Q. Okay. And was it after this conversation that he decided -- was it before or after his written statement?
  - A. That would have been before his written statement.
- Q. Okay. And was there any kind of discussion as to whether or not the State would seek the death penalty if he confessed or not?
- A. Well, as I recall that conversation, I -- he's the one that brought it up. I told him that that was something that really was not for me to decide, that I would present the case to the District Attorneys Office, I didn't know whether the District Attorneys Office would seek the death penalty. And it was during that time that he said that he -- he thought he wanted the death penalty at that time.
  - Q. Okay. And why was that?
  - A. I really have no idea why he would say that.
  - Q. Did that have anything to do -- did you think that

kind of fit in with what you knew at the time about his mental problems?

- A. Well, at that time I really wasn't even aware of any mental history at all.
- Q. Did that fit into what you learned later about his mental condition?
- A. No. I got the impression at the time that he said that, that -- I don't know whether he was being remorseful for what he had done, feeling sorry for himself or whatever, but I didn't think that those statements were a result of any kind of a mental problem that he was having.
  - Q. Okay. Did you -- did you think that was strange?
- A. No, it really didn't strike me as strange at the time.
- Q. Okay. Was it unusual in your experience as a police detective that someone would want the death penalty?
- A. Well, I don't think I've ever -- I've never been involved in a -- in another case prior to this that a person would even have been eligible for the death penalty.
  - Q. So this is your first capital murder trial?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Now, when you testified that you made no -- you asked him no other questions and then you get in the car and you started driving -- well, after you -- after you asked him where are the credit cards and you went out to the -- you

went out to the car, I assume, or did you go out to the car at that time?

- A. No, I did not. I went outside and looked in the car, but evidence was retrieved from the car and the car was searched after I left so I did not see that.
  - Q. Okay. So you immediately left; is that correct?
  - A. I left very shortly after that.
- Q. Okay. And it was your testimony that was -- there was no more kind of interrogation or question and answer that went on from that time until at least you got to the Edgewood Police Department where he was arranged -- arraigned; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And where was he located in the car when you actually made the trip?
- A. He would have been in the back seat behind -- on the passenger side.
  - Q. Okay. Any other officer back there guarding him?
  - A. No, there was not.
  - Q. You were in the front seat?
- 21 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. And so was Detective Tooke?
- A. Detective Tooke was the driver of the car.
- Q. How long did it take you to get from the house in Edgewood to the Edgewood Police Department?

- A. It's a pretty short drive, probably 5 minutes or less.
- Q. Is that a proper police procedure to place someone that's under arrest for I guess -- not arrest for credit card abuse, but -- and murder, just to place him back there by himself?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. All right. When you -- when you brought him out of the house and put him in the car, did he try to run away?
  - A. No, he did not.
  - Q. Did he try to fight you in any way?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. So you were driving in the car and he was in the back seat and you said that he was very quiet -- very still and very quiet. So I am assuming that he was very quiet, that you mentioned it twice on that ride over there?
  - A. He was very quiet, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever check back there and see if he was asleep?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. And you got down to the -- to the Edgewood -- and that's when he was arraigned on murder and capital murder? I mean, I'm sorry on the murder charge and the credit card charge?
  - A. I believe he was actually arraigned on the -- on

credit card and capital murder.

- Q. Okay. I'm not going to try to split hairs with you, but if the arraignment sheet says murder, will you agree with that?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Who went along with you besides Tooke to the Edgewood Police Department?
- A. We were escorted by -- they were in separate vehicles, but it was some officers from either Edgewood P.D. or the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department.
  - Q. Okay. It was more than one person in a car?
- A. I'm really not sure. I don't know how many officers were in the other cars or even what agencies they were from.

  I was just directed to follow them. I didn't know where the Edgewood Police Department was so I was following another car to get there.
- Q. Okay. And once you left the Edgewood police station and you went back out to Livingston Hill, before you went out there did you ask him any questions regarding the gun?
- A. Well, he -- I had some indication that -- I think the other officers had said that he had made a statement that he had shot Ms. Cunningham and so I did ask him at that time that -- if he would take us and show us where the gun was. That was the purpose for returning to the creek.
  - Q. Okay. So -- so it wasn't your purpose to return to

the creek -- was that your first time out to the creek?

- A. Yeah, I think it was. I don't know if I went to the creek earlier. I don't think I did. I think it was my first time down there.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. If I had been there previously, it would only have been for a minute and two, and I would have turned right around and left. I had other business to attend to. I think it was my first trip down there.
- Q. And when you asked him about the -- about the weapon, did -- did he tell you that's where it was?
  - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Okay. And he did that kind of cooperatively? I mean, you didn't have to force it out of him or anything?
  - A. No, he was cooperating. Yes.
- Q. And then once -- how long did it take you to get from the Edgewood Police Department to Livingston Hill?
- A. Once again, it's a pretty short drive, less than 5 minutes.
  - Q. And again, he was still and quiet?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Was he asleep?
- A. I don't believe that he was.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever look back there to check?
- A. No, I did not.

- Q. Okay. And then he got out to the Livingston Hill, and he said that he wouldn't get out of the car?
  - A. No, he did not want to get out of the car.
  - Q. Okay. So he had been cooperative up to that point?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And that he wouldn't get out of the car?
- A. Did not want to, no.
  - Q. Did you have to force him to get out of the car?
- A. No, we did not.
- Q. Did you say -- did you tell him that he had to get out of the car?
  - A. No, we did not.
- Q. Did you just ask him to get out of the car, and he wouldn't get out?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did he tell you anything out there about where the gun might be?
- A. Yeah, he -- I made it clear to him why we were there. It was to try and locate the gun. And so I asked him where -- where was the gun located. And he said, "it's in the water near her body." And he indicated that he had tossed it in and he was indicating to me like this, that he had tossed it under hand into the water.
  - Q. Okay. So now he was not handcuffed?
  - A. Well, I -- it must have been my hand then that was

indicating that he tossed it into the water.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. So he was handcuffed the entire time.
- Q. All right. And then you went out -- back from that location to the Garland Police Department?
- A. Yes. When we left the creek, we returned and drove directly to the Garland Police Department from there.
  - Q. And how long did that take?
- A. That's about -- it was -- traffic was extremely light. It was very early in the morning. I'm going to estimate it to be around 45 minutes, give or take 10 or 15 minutes.
- Q. And do you -- would still describe him as still and quiet in the back seat?
  - A. That entire time, yes.
  - Q. Okay. Was he asleep at that time?
  - A. I don't know if he was or not.
- Q. Did you ever look back to check?
  - A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Did you ever -- so if he was laying down flat in the back seat, you might not have seen that?
  - A. That's possible that he could have been -- could have done that.
  - Q. Okay. And was that consistent or inconsistent with what he talked about later about -- in his statement about

driving around and drinking heavily for this long period of time?

- A. Was what consistent?
- Q. Was -- was him being quiet and still in the back of the car or let me just ask you. Would be -- falling asleep in the back of a police car be consistent with someone that hadn't had a lot of sleep in the past 48 hours?
  - A. Yes, could be.
  - Q. And consuming alcohol for the past 48 hours?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Let me ask you a little bit about this book-in process at the -- at the Garland City Jail. I would assume that there are people other than police detectives that book in people that are going into the jail; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So there are actually book-in procedure -- well, people that are employed to carry out book-in procedures; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So it was not your primary responsibility to do all the things to book him into jail?
  - A. No, it was not.
- Q. Basically you lead him up at a counter and they do all the rest?

1 A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And were you there during the entire book-in procedure for Mr. Murphy?
  - A. I believe that I was, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you said at any time he did not ask to see the nurse?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And he did not ask to see any doctor that might be on staff out there in Garland?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. He did not ask to see any kind of psychiatrist at that time; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Is there a place on the book-in records from Garland City Jail to indicate whether or not somebody has been -- had any mental problems before?
- A. I don't know if it's there. It's a form that I have never filled out. It's filled out by the people that do the processing, so I don't think that that's a question, but I don't know if it is or not.
- Q. Okay. Would that be something that would be important to know before you start questioning somebody regarding their involvement in a capital murder?
  - A. I think that might be important, yes.
  - Q. All right. So once he gets booked in to the Garland

City Jail -- and let me kind of back up for a second. Before he actually got booked in, there was a period that he sat out in the car, correct?

- A. At -- at the Garland Police Department?
- Q. Yes. Is that true or not true?
  - A. No, I don't think that occurred.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I don't have any recollection of that at all.
- Q. I just must have gotten mistaken. I'm sorry. So once he gets booked into the jail, he's taken to another area of the police department?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And this is where the interrogation rooms are?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What are they called?
  - A. They're called interview or interrogation rooms.
  - Q. Okay. And are they set up in such a way -- it's a small room, correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. What's the dimension of it?
    - A. It's approximately 12 feet by 12 feet.
    - Q. And what is the furniture that's in the interrogation room?
    - A. There's a small desk in there for the purposes of writing. It stores some documents. A couple of chairs. If

we'll just grab a chair from another area and bring it in.

- 0. And when the door to that room is shut, is there any way for anyone to see inside that room?
  - Α. No, there's not.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So it's not basically like we see on the TV shows Q. like Law & Order or one of those places where there's a big one-way mirror so people can look in and see what's going on on the other side of the glass?
  - No, that's not there. It does not exist.
- Q. Okay. And there's -- can you tell me whether or not there's the policy of the Garland Police Department to record interviews with suspects by any sort of audio recording device?
- No. We do not have a policy that says you will or Α. will not record statements from a prisoner.
- Q. Has it ever been the policy of the Garland Police Department to record interviews or interrogations or the taking of a voluntary statement, audio or video?
- We have in the past had the capabilities of making audio recordings.
  - Q. And you no longer have that capability?
- That equipment no longer is there, yes, ma'am, that's correct.

Q. But you are issued or you do have in your ability, I
guess, to check out from whatever supply shed there is at the
Garland City Jail or the police department one of those
little hand-held recorders or Dictaphones that could take

- down everything that happens inside an interview room?

  A. I have access to one of those, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. But you did not use your access to that when you questioned Mr. Murphy?
  - A. No, I did not.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Is there also video or audio visual equipment that can record both the picture and the sound of what is going on in the interrogation room? Do -- first, let me ask you, is there anything in there right now set up to do that?
  - A. No, there's not.
- Q. Has there ever been anything like that in the past in the Garland jail?
  - A. No, there has not been.
- Q. Do you have such equipment available for other reasons?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that would be for physical evidence gathering, that sort of thing?
- A. Yes, we have a camera that is assigned to the Forensics Unit. We use it to videotape crime scenes.
  - Q. But that -- it wasn't used in the crime scene of

this case?

- A. I -- I would imagine that it was maybe some different -- different parts of the investigation, but I don't know -- it's something that I didn't do. Forensic investigator would have done that and so I don't know -- I don't know for sure if a videotape was made or not.
- Q. But basic -- but basically this equipment is available at the Garland City Jail or the Garland Police Department, but you did not use it to record your interview with Mr. Murphy?
  - A. No, we did not.
- Q. Okay. And as you stated, there is really no policy to use it or not use it?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Have you ever been party to any discussions with your superiors or with members of the District Attorneys Office about the pros and the cons of actually recording a interrogation?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what were the pros and the cons of that that were discussed?
- A. Well, I think whether or not you make a tape recording, whether it's audio or video, it boils down to a matter of personal preference. First of all, whether or not the investigator that's doing the interview is comfortable

with that equipment being in the room and whether or not the investigator would think that the person that's being interviewed is going to be comfortable with that equipment. Some people would be inhibited or even intimidated by the fact that a camera is right on them while they're trying to do an interview, and I know I personally would be.

- Q. Okay. So basically it's a personal preference of the investigator?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Would you agree with me that if there was an audio or visual recording of an interview, let's just say a hypothetical interview, that that might be the best way for a third party, say a jury, to fully understand what happened inside the interview room?
- A. Well, I've seen -- I've seen audiotapes of interviews that I think would be very beneficial to a jury, but I've also seen them where they just -- they're not beneficial at all.
- Q. Okay. Because they just basically didn't do anything?
- A. Well, once again, I go back to the person that's conducting the interview or the person that's being interviewed would be extremely inhibited or sometimes intimidated by that equipment sitting there.
  - Q. So --

- A. So it kind of slows the process down.
- Q. And it might -- it might hinder your ability to get a statement from a suspect in that regard?
  - A. It could at times, yes.
- Q. Okay. So basically what we're left with is we're left with whatever ends up on the -- on the defendant's voluntary statement, and it doesn't say confession. It says voluntary statement; is that correct? And your testimony of what happened inside the video room?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Let me ask you a little bit about how Mr. Murphy's demeanor changed once you got inside the video room? Were there times that he cried?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Was it on more than one occasion?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And was it crying just tears or were there actual boo-hoos or how -- how can you actually describe that?
- A. Well, I don't know that I've ever described someone crying before. He -- he did cry for more than just a second or two, and I guess to use -- use your words that he was boo-hooing.
  - Q. Okay. Or -- or would sob be a better word?
  - A. Possibly, yes.
    - Q. Okay. And at the examining trial you described that

as a sign of remorse. Do you remember describing it that way?

- A. I don't really recall using those words, but if they're in the record, I must have.
- Q. Okay. I think I figured out where I got mistaken.

  When you were at Livingston Hill or Livingston Creek or whatever that area is called, was there a time that both you and Detective Tooke got out of the vehicle?
  - A. I know that I did.

- Q. Okay. And was there a time that -- that Mr. Murphy was left in the car by himself that you know of or do you know?
- A. Well, I don't -- I don't believe that Detective

  Tooke ever got out of the car. If he would have, he would

  never have left the side of the car, but -- but I walked away

  from the car. I know what I did there.
- Q. Okay. You walked away from the car, and Detective Tooke left -- you don't know really?
  - A. That's right.
  - Q. Okay. Is he here to testify?
  - A. I have not seen Detective Tooke today.
  - Q. Okay. Or any time this week?
  - A. No.
- Q. After you got inside the -- the interrogation room, was there anybody else in there with you?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O. The entire time?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And who was that?
- A. Detective Tooke.
  - Q. Okay. And he is the one that actually signed the place where there's a place for a witness signature?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Are you aware that in some police departments it is the policy to have a civilian witness, a non-police witness, witness the signing of a statement or the taking of a statement?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And do you know why that that policy is in place?
  - A. Well, I would suspect that that is in place so that later on at trial the person that signed that statement, that witness could then say that he wasn't intimidated by the fact that there was only two police officers there, that there was also a civilian witness there.
  - Q. Okay. But it's the policy of the Garland Police Department not to do that?
    - A. We've done it both ways actually.
  - Q. Okay. In this case you did it the way -- with two police officers?

1 A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And no civilian in the room?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Let me ask you a little bit about the time that the -- well, the time that all these things took place.

About what time was Mr. Murphy booked into the Garland jail?

- A. When he signed his -- the first Miranda warning at 8:52 in the interrogation room, so we probably arrived at the book-in area approximately 8:30.
- Q. Okay. And so my --

MS. BALIDO: May I approach, please.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Ms. Balido) So these warnings were signed that are reflected on State's Exhibit Number 41 at approximately 8:52 a.m. the morning of October the 6th; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And then you went into the interrogation room and you began discussing aspects of the case?
- A. Yes, this would have been done in the interrogation room.
  - Q. And about how long did that take?
  - A. How long did what take?
- Q. The initial conversation in the -- in the interrogation room?
  - A. After this was done?

1 0. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. We talked for about 10 or 15 minutes.
  - Q. Okay. And then you left to go out into Garland?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And did you drive around in some of the area that's reflected in State's Exhibit Number 3?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And State's Exhibit Number 3 is what? What does it depict?
  - A. It's a map of the Jupiter and Arapaho area. Plano Road is included on this map. I can see an indication of Bleachers -- indicating the Bleachers Sports Bar.
  - Q. Okay. And where is the Garland City Jail located in relation to those -- location in Garland?
    - A. From this area it would be generally south and east.
  - Q. Okay. How far south and how far east from what is depicted on State's Exhibit Number 3?
  - A. To the police department?
- Q. Yes.
  - A. I'm going to guess it to be about 4 or 5 miles.
  - Q. Okay. And on October the 6th, what type of day was that? What time -- I mean, what -- was it Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, or do you recall?
    - A. I think October the 6th was a Thursday.
    - Q. Would it surprise you that it's Friday, the 6th?

1 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay.
- A. I think October the 6th was the -- and -- because I do recall the 7th being Saturday, because it was my day off.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. It was the second day of the investigation, so October the 6th was a Friday, yes.
- Q. And how long do you think that it would take you to get from the Garland City Jail, 4 to 5 miles north and west, to the locations that are indicated on State's Exhibit Number 3?
- A. Well, if you were to take a direct route there, you could -- you could drive there in 5 to 10 minutes.
  - Q. Okay. 5 miles in 5 minutes?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Were you in a marked police -- marked police car with the lights on or -- or is that just regular -- regular speed?
  - A. That's driving the speed limit.
- Q. Okay. And about how long did you drive around during -- you said that you went to every major intersection in that area; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And every -- did you just drive past every major intersection one time or did you try to come by it a

second time to see if maybe that might jog Mr. Murphy's memory or how did that really occur?

- A. Well, we started off driving at Mr. Murphy's direction. Once we got to Bleachers, established that that's where he said he was, then he was leading us where to drive and we were going down some streets that he was pointing to. And we did that for a little while. And then once I felt like he had exhausted all of the areas that he recognized, we started driving to some areas at my direction then. And we did drive to sometimes the same intersection two or three different times from different angles, from different directions.
- Q. So at first Mr. Murphy was the one directing you around to the area around Bleachers; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So it didn't really sneak up on him like you testified earlier?
- A. No. He directed us to the general area, and I don't -- I don't recall testifying that it snuck up on us.
- Q. Okay. But you -- you testified that you didn't really talk about it and just drove past it to see whether or not it would jog his memory?
- A. That's right. When we -- when we got in the car, he was telling us to go to this Bleachers area, this Bleachers

  Sports Bar. I knew where it was. I knew where the area was

that he was talking about. And I wanted Detective Tooke who was driving to drive past it because I wanted to see if Mr. Murphy was familiar with that area and if he could stop us and point it out to us, and he did when we drove past the Bleachers, he said, "hey, you just drove past the place." So then I was satisfied at that point that he -- he was familiar with at least that area and knew what he was talking about there.

- Q. Did he later indicate to you that he was not familiar with other areas that you were going to?
  - A. Yes, he did.

- Q. Okay. And so you thought he was being helpful at that point?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. And cooperative at that point?
- A. At that point I did, yes, ma'am.
- Q. And did you think at that point that he was telling you the truth when he was telling you these things?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what time did you get back to -- so you left 10 or 15 minutes after he signed the waiver, the first waiver, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And then you drove around for about how long when you went to all these intersections a number of times?

- A. I think we drove around for about an hour, hour and a half.
- Q. Okay. And it took about 5 minutes to get there from the Garland jail, so I assume it took about -- I mean, yeah, about 5 minutes to get back?
- A. 5 or 10 minutes, yeah. We started -- probably less time to get back, but once we -- we started drifting back towards the police department.
- Q. Okay. And so about this time -- what time do you think that you got back to the Garland Police Department?
  - A. I think we probably got back about 10:30.
- Q. Okay. And is that based on your own recollection or is that based on what you are assuming looking at the paperwork that's in front of you, the waiver, the statements, and also the voluntary -- the voluntary statement?
- A. Well, I can't say that I looked at a clock and made a notation of the exact time that we arrived back, you know. I'm using the times on the -- on these forms to refresh my memory and estimate a time that we got back.
- Q. And at that point in your investigation you were satisfied that he was trying to cooperate with you?
- A. Well, I don't know that I would say that I was satisfied that he was cooperating, but I felt that he was -- he was trying -- trying to cooperate with us.
  - Q. Okay. And at that point you hadn't determined that

DARLINE W. LABAR, OFFICIAL REPORTER

- the -- as Mr. Davis called it, abduction occurred in Dallas
  County, Texas, correct?
  - A. Well, we had not pinpointed a specific location, but I personally was satisfied that the abduction had occurred within the city limits of Garland.
    - Q. Okay. And -- but you did not pinpoint any location?
  - A. No, we did not.
    - O. And that was the same with the actual death?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And so then you went back to the police department and you -- did you immediately go back into the room with Mr. Murphy?
- 13 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And did Detective Tooke go back in?
- 15 A. Yes, he did.
  - Q. And how did it come about that the voluntary statement was being written?
    - A. Well, just as soon as we returned to the interrogation room, then I asked Mr. Murphy if he would be willing to provide the statement, and he said that he would be.
    - Q. Okay. And that was -- that was the entirety of your conversation?
      - A. Yes.
        - Q. And so how did it come about that -- that the rest

of it took place?

- A. Well, when he agreed to give the statement, I brought the forms to him, asked him to read the warnings on the top, told him that it was his statement, that he could write whatever he wanted to write on it. I told him that he would be left alone during the period that he was going to write. It was his story to tell. He could write whatever he wanted to.
- Q. Let me ask you just a little bit about the procedure of going in and out of the jail when you've got somebody charged with murder. Do you have to talk to your supervisor before you leave the building with somebody that's charged with murder?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Do you have to check in -- check out with anybody?
  - A. No.
- Q. Does he have to book out of the -- of the Garland City Jail?
  - A. No.
- Q. So there's no records of when you went in -- left the Garland jail and no records as to when you came back?
- A. Well, there are -- there are log-in sheets in and out of the jail, but I'm not sure that they were even there that day or that we used them. They might be.
  - Q. Okay. But you don't know?

A. I don't know.

- Q. And you don't have them in that big notebook of yours?
  - A. No, I don't have that with me. No.
- Q. Okay. And when you got back to the jail after driving Mr. Murphy around, did anybody tell you that Mr. Murphy had been assigned counsel out of this court?
  - A. When we returned?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. No.
- Q. Did anyone tell you that assigned counsel was on their way to Garland?
  - A. No.
- Q. And when did you first learn that Mr. Murphy had counsel?
- A. We had completed the statement. We had -- we were continuing our conversations and either Commander Lay or Lieutenant Thompson, I'm not sure which, I think it was actually Lieutenant Thompson knocked on the door and interrupted me, interrupted the interview, asked me to step outside and I did. And he informed me that there were lawyers there in the building.
- Q. And did Detective Tooke stay in there with Mr. Murphy?
  - A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And actually see you leave the room?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And did Commander Lay know where you were at all times that morning -- well, first was he in the building?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you tell him that you were going to go interview Jedidiah Murphy at 8:53 that morning?
- A. Well, actually my first -- my chain of command supervisor is Lieutenant Thompson, so I would have been communicating with him.
- Q. Okay. Did you tell him that you were going to take Mr. Murphy into the -- into the interrogation room?
- A. I don't have -- I don't have recollection that I did.
- Q. Okay. Did you tell Lieutenant Thompson that you were going to leave the building with him and go drive him around and see if you could figure out where -- where any of the events in this case took place?
- A. Well, I can't say right here today that I have recollection that I did, but I'm sure I would have. I would -- every time I leave the building like that, I would have notified my supervisor.
- Q. And did you notify your supervisor that you were back in the building?
  - A. When we came back to the building, I don't -- I

think the next time I saw Lieutenant Thompson was when he knocked on the door. So when we came back, we would have gone not to his office, we would have gone to the interrogation room. So I don't really remember seeing him again until he knocked on the door.

- Q. And how long was it from the time that you went back into the interrogation room to the time that he knocked on the door?
- A. Oh, Mr. Murphy had completed the statement. We had signed it. We had just started talking some more about the fact that he was having trouble finding that location when we were out driving around, so it was very shortly after he signed the statement.
  - Q. And so that would be very shortly after what time?
  - A. Very shortly after 11:30.
- Q. And at that time your supervisor, Lieutenant Thompson, notified you that -- that his attorneys had been there?
  - A. Yes, that attorneys were there.
- Q. Okay. Did Lieutenant Thompson tell you how long they had been waiting there?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. Did he tell you that they had been waiting there over an hour?
  - A. No, he did not.

know who Detective Delmar of the Garland Police Department is?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And you are in -- and you know that -- that Detective Delmar's wife also works for Garland?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And she works at the front desk?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. To your knowledge, did she ever call upstairs and try to get in touch with you at your desk to try to tell you that Mr. Murphy's attorneys were there?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. After -- after you interviewed and got a statement from Mr. Murphy on October the 6th, the year 2000, and after you found out that he had counsel, did you approach Mr. Murphy again about talking about the offense?
  - A. Not on October the 6th.
  - Q. How about on October the 7th?
  - A. I did on October the 7th.
- Q. And did you -- and why -- what was the purpose of questioning him on that day?
- A. The purpose for that was because we still did not have the answer to a lot of questions. We did not know the abduction point. We had not recovered the gun. We did not know where the shot had been fired. And so there was a lot

We did an interview on October the 7th, the next

day. We did another interview on October the 11th, and we attempted to do a fourth interview on October the 13th.

- Q. And the fourth interview on October the 14th --
- Α. 13th --

22

23

24

Q. -- did you --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. The 13th, yes, ma'am.
- Q. I'm sorry, the 13th. Was that for the purpose of determining the location of, quote, the abduction site and the location of where she was killed?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you learn any new information about that at that time?
  - A. No, we did not.
- Q. And did you turn over any paperwork in regard to those interviews or anything that Mr. Murphy might have signed pursuant to the order of Judge Cunningham during the examining trial?

MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry, Judge Cunningham's order was to turn over voluntary statements, State's Exhibit Number 47, that was provided to counsel.

MS. BALIDO: I'm just asking if he turned it over, Judge.

THE COURT: Is it turned over?

THE WITNESS: Yes. To my knowledge, it was turned over.

- Q. (By Ms. Balido) To the defense attorneys or the District Attorneys Office?
  - A. I turned it over to the District Attorneys Office.

    MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'll pass the witness.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## Redirect Examination

2 By Mr. Davis:

Q. Detective Myers, I just have a few questions very briefly for you.

With regard to State's Exhibit Number 47, that was the voluntary statement that you testified to concerning the examining trial before Judge Cunningham, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, Detective Myers, at any time did it appear to you like the defendant had passed out during the time that you were interviewing him in Edgewood or bringing him back to the Garland police station prior to the time that you took the voluntary statement from him?
  - A. No, I didn't see any of that.
- Q. Is it unusual for suspects to cry after they've been arrested?
  - A. No, it's not.
- Q. Have you ever arrested anybody for capital murder where the State could seek the death penalty?
  - A. No, I have not.
- Q. When was the first time that the defendant cried in your presence?
- A. I think he probably cried during the very first interview.
  - Q. Up there at the Garland Police Department?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. By that time he was under arrest and had already been arraigned in this case, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Ms. Balido asked you about your opinion concerning the defendant's truthfulness while you were riding around town with him on October the 6th. Did your opinion concerning his truthfulness change over time?
  - A. Yes, it did.
  - Q. And why did it change?
- A. I think he knows the answer to some questions that I don't know the answers to. There was quite a few questions that he did not provide answers to us to.
- Q. Did you later determine that portions of his statement turned out to be false, information that he gave you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you later try to determine whether he had been drinking over at his sister's house prior to going to Bleachers?
  - A. Yes, I did try to determine that.
  - Q. Were you able to determine that?
  - A. I was able -- no, I was not.
- Q. Did any member of that household every bring over to you a bottle of champagne, an empty bottle of champagne?

- 1 Α. No, they did not. 2 Anybody ever bring over to you an empty bottle of Ο. 3 Gilbey's gin? Α. 4 No. 5 How about an empty bottle of Tequila? They ever 6 bring that over to the police station? 7 Α. No, they did not. To your knowledge, was -- were any empty bottles of 8 Q. 9 liquor, such as gin or Tequila or champagne seized or found 10 inside the residence of 1718 Barclay? 11 A. No, they were not. 12 The interview that occurred on October the 7th that 0. 13 Ms. Balido has now referred to, you said that you had the 14 defendant sign Miranda warnings; is that correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Same type of printed form that you had used on 17 October 6th? 18 Α. Yes. 19 On October 7th, did the defendant indicate to you Q. 20 that he did not want to talk with you because he had
  - Α. No, he did not indicate that.

attorneys appointed for him?

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

0. (By Mr. Davis) Detective Myers, looking at State's

Exhibit Number 48, is this the Miranda warnings that you gave 1 2 to the defendant on October 7, the year 2000? 3 Α. Yes, they are. 4 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time for all 5 purposes now we'll offer State's Exhibit Number 48. 6 (State's Exhibit No. 48 offered) 7 MS. BALIDO: Judge, just the -- Judge, is he just -- just the Miranda warnings; is that correct? 8 9 THE COURT: It's my understanding. I've not seen the document. 10 11 MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir. This is State's Exhibit Number 48 that we've discussed previously, the Miranda 12 13 warnings, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Defense have any objection? 15 MS. BALIDO: No objection to the Miranda 16 warnings, Judge. 17 THE COURT: Admitted. 18 (State's Exhibit No. 48 admitted) 19 (By Mr. Davis) These Miranda warnings again briefly, they contain the same Miranda warnings; is that 20 21 right? 22 A. Yes. 23 Now, at the time that you talked with him on October Ο. 24 7, you had become aware of Ms. Little and Mr. Byck being at

the police department, correct?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. As a result, did you take some further action with regards to Miranda warnings on the 7th?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. What did you do?
- A. I included five additional questions on the Miranda sheet.
- Q. Okay. Reading those now, first of all, did you write in your own handwriting: Did you meet with a lawyer or lawyers yesterday? His response was yes; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Second question that you asked of him was that, does the lawyer represent you, and he answered yes; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Thirdly, you asked, did the lawyers advise you not to talk to police officers, and his response at that time was no; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. The fourth question being, did the lawyers advise you to cooperate with police officers, and his answer at that time was yes; is that right?
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. And fifthly, will you talk to police officers today, and his response was yes; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

- Had he indicated on that date that he did not want 0. to talk or that he had been advised not to speak with you, what would you have done at that time, sir?
- Had he indicated that he did not want to talk to us, we would have immediately returned him to the jail area.
- 0. Okay. Now, on October the 11th, which has been referred to by Ms. Balido, let me show you what has previously been marked as Defendant's Exhibit Number 3. now mark that as State's Exhibit Number 50. Ask you to look at that, sir. Is that the Miranda warnings that you used with the defendant again on October 11th?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And they contain the same Miranda warnings again, don't they?
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- Q. And at this time did the defendant agree to talk with you, and did he in fact sign State's Exhibit Number 50?
  - Α. Yes, he did.

MR. DAVIS: At this time, Your Honor, we will offer State's Exhibit Number 50.

(State's Exhibit No. 50 offered)

MS. BALIDO: I haven't seen it, Judge. mean, I've seen it in the pretrial hearing, but I haven't seen it recently. Is it just the Miranda warnings?

MR. DAVIS: Just the Miranda warnings.

1 MS. BALIDO: Then we have no objection. 2 THE COURT: Admitted. 3 (State's Exhibit No. 50 admitted) 4 (By Mr. Davis) Finally, sir, looking at what has Ο. 5 previously been marked as Defendant Exhibit Number 4, now 6 marked as State's Exhibit Number 51, is this the Miranda 7 warning that you used on October 13, the year 2000? 8 Α. Yes, it is. 9 Now, is this the occasion where Mr. Murphy decided 10 or indicated to you that he didn't want to speak to you any further? 11 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. And this document is not signed by him; is that 14 right? 15 Α. No, it's not. 16 Q. As a result did you try to continue any sort of 17 interrogation or discussion with the -- with the suspect? 18 Α. No, we did not. 19 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we'll 20 offer for all purposes State's Exhibit Number 51, the Miranda 21 warnings for October the 13th. 22 (State's Exhibit No. 51 offered) 23 THE COURT: Defense have any objection? 24 MS. BALIDO: No objection. 25 THE COURT: Admitted.

1 (State's Exhibit No. 51 admitted) 2 Q. (By Mr. Davis) Finally, let me ask you about some 3 locations. Richardson Motor Sports. Are you familiar with 4 that location, sir? 5 Α. Yes. Yes, sir, I am. 6 0. Is that a location in Dallas County, Texas? 7 Α. Yes, it is. 8 Q. Are you familiar with the Washington Mutual branch 9 that's located at 1225 East Belt Line Road in Richardson, 10 Texas? 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. Is that a location in Dallas County, Texas? 13 A. Yes, it is. 14 Finally, 1718 Barclay Drive in Richardson, Texas, is 0. 15 that a location in Dallas County, Texas? 16 Α. Yes, it is. 17 0. Finally, 9620 Harry Hines Boulevard in Dallas, 18 Texas. I believe a Racetrac convenience store is located there. 19 Is that in Dallas County, Texas? 20 Α. Yes, it is. 21 MR. DAVIS: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 22 Recross-Examination 23 By Ms. Balido: 24 Detective Myers, let me ask you: The last time that

you attempted to interview Mr. Murphy was on what day?

1 A. October the 13th.

- Q. Okay. And since October the 13th, do you have any additional information that the abduction site is in Dallas County, State of Texas?
  - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Since October the 13th, do you have any additional information that the site of Ms. Cunningham's death was in Dallas County, State of Texas?
  - A. No additional information.
- Q. Okay. Or do you have any indication that the actual shot that was fired that killed Ms. Cunningham was in Dallas County, State of Texas?
  - A. Only by Mr. Murphy's statements.
- Q. Okay. Just -- but nothing new past the 13th of October, the year 2000?
  - A. No, ma'am. That's correct.
- Q. And each one of those times that you went back to talk with him, he was -- he was cooperative; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And he did answer your questions; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, he did.
  - Q. In fact, on the face of State's Exhibit Number 48, he said he had a lawyer, but he still wanted to talk to you; is that correct?
    - A. That's correct.

- 1 2

- Q. And did you initiate that conduct or -- that contact with the defendant or did he write you a letter or indicate to you that he wanted to talk to you on that date?
  - A. I initiated that contact.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you a question. Where did you learn to write down on the bottom of a Miranda warning these -- these additional warnings or questions?
- A. I can't say that I learned it anywhere specific. It was a matter of experience.
- Q. Okay. Was it through the experience of other cases that you worked through the Garland Police Department?
- A. I would just say it was my experience as a detective that I knew that that might become an issue later, and I wanted to address it on October the 7th.
- Q. Okay. Did you know it was -- through your experience do you know that it's an issue as to who initiates the contact in that sort of situation?
- A. My experience with that would be that once he indicates he no longer wants to talk to me, then I would never try to initiate contact with him again from that point on. It would be his responsibility then.
  - Q. And it is not true --
  - A. To contact me.
  - Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.

    It is not your understanding that you making the

2

attempt to talk with him is a different situation than him making the attempt to talk with you?

3

A. You're going to have to ask that one again.

4

5

Q. Okay. In your experience as a Garland police officer, you've stated that -- that you wrote down these questions because you knew it could be significant, correct?

6 7

A. I knew it could become an issue later, yes, ma'am.

8

9

Q. Okay. Could it also in your experience as a police officer, do you know that it could also become an issue as to whether or not you make the contact after counsel has been

10 11

appointed or that the defendant or the suspect makes the

12

13

A. I believe that that could only become an issue once he invokes his rights.

14

15

Q. Okay. But in your opinion he did not invoke his rights based on the answers to these questions?

16 17

A. That's correct.

contact that he wants to talk further?

18

19

Q.

Office?

on October the 7th.

detective with the Garland Police Department that you based

Okay. Does your experience with -- as being a

20

detective with the Garland Police Department that you based

21

your decision to write these questions down, does that include talking with members of the District Attorneys

22

A. No, I did not -- I did not talk to members of the

24

23

District Attorneys Office about -- about this Miranda sheet

MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'll pass the witness.

MR. DAVIS: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Detective. You may

step down.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, a matter came to the Court's attention during one or more of the hearings outside your presence this morning. We were in here a considerable amount of time. A matter came to the Court's contention -- attention about which will necessitate a hearing so we're going to be here starting tomorrow morning at 8:30. You however need not be here until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.

Now, those of you on an individual basis as -- it's your call, that can go to work, maybe return some phone calls, handle some correspondence, do matters such as that, you are allowed to do that. You are not required to do it. It's just let your conscience be your guide. Whatever your individual circumstances may be, it's up to you. Please though be back here at 10 o'clock. We're going to be resuming hearing matters that came to the Court's attention which may or may not be brought to your attention, depending upon evidence presented to me tomorrow morning and findings of fact that I make in conclusions of law, may or may not be brought to your attention. At the conclusion of the trial, I will explain to you what they are, regardless of what the

Reporter's Certificate

2

STATE OF TEXAS:

COUNTY OF DALLAS:

5

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

me.

2001.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I, Darline W. LaBar, Official Court Reporter of the 194th Judicial District Court, in and for Dallas County,

Texas do hereby certify that the foregoing volume constitutes

a true, complete and correct transcript of all portions of

evidence and other proceedings requested in writing by

counsel for the parties to be included in the statement of

facts, in the above styled and numbered cause, all of which

occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by

I further certify that this transcription of the record of the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

Witness my hand this the 28th day of October, A.D.,

DARLINE W. LABAŘ

Official Court Reporter

194th Judicial District Court

Dallas County, Texas (214) 653-5803

Certification No. 1064 Expires December 31, 2002

74145 REPORTER'S RECORD 1 VOLUME 49 of 65 VOLUMES 2 TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. F00-02424-NM 3 IN THE DISTRICT COURT THE STATE OF TEXAS 4 DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS 5 VS. 194TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 6 JEDIDIAH ISAAC MURPHY FII ED IN \*\*\*\*\*\* 7 COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS TRIAL ON THE MERITS BY JURY 8 DEC 5 2001 \*\*\*\*\*\* 9 Troy C. Bennett, Jr., Clerk 10 APPEARANCES: 11 HONORABLE BILL HILL, Criminal District Attorney Crowley Criminal Courts Building Dallas, Dallas County, Texas 75207 12 214-653-3600 Phone: MR. GREG DAVIS, A.D.A., SBOT # 05493550 13 BY: MS. MARY MILLER, A.D.A., SBOT # 21453200 FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS; 14 MS. JANE LITTLE, Attorney at Law, SBOT # 12424210 15 MR. MICHAEL BYCK, Attorney at Law, SBOT # 03549500 MS. JENNIFER BALIDO, Attorney at Law, SBOT # 10474880 16 Dallas County Public Defender's Office 17 Phone: 214-653-9400 FOR THE DEFENDANT. 18 \*\*\*\*\* 19 On the 6th day of June, 2001, the following 20 proceedings came on to be heard in the above-entitled and 21 numbered cause before the Honorable F. Harold Entz, Jr., 22 Judge presiding, held in Dallas, Dallas County, Texas: 23 Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, computer 24 25 assisted transcription.

Case 3	10-cv-00163-N Document 4	2-10 Filed 05/05/1	.0 Page 272 of 53	15 PagelD Inde	0 6839 ex 1
1		INDEX VOLU	ME 49		
2	June 6th, 2001			PAGE	VOL.
3	Proceedings	••••			49
4	State of Texas Rests				49
5	Reporter's Certifica				49
6					
7	CHR	ONOLOGICAL WIT	NESS INDEX		
8		DIRECT	CROSS	VD	VOL.
9	CHARLES MCKINNEY	11, 23	22		49
10	DR. JENNIE DUVAL	32	56		49
11	LANNIE EMANUEL	64, 70, 73	67, 71, 74		49
12	JAMES ROGERS	75, 148	129	84, 94	
13			151	125	49
14	DAVID DAVENPORT	154	161		49
15	JOHN DONAHUE	162	173		49
16	SHIRLEY BARD	178			49
17	HARLAN BAILEY	186	191		49
18	DR. WILLIAM VANDIVER	192			49
19	KIRSTEN ADAMES	221			49
20					
21	ALI	PHABETICAL WIT	NESS INDEX		·
22		DIRECT	CROSS	VD	VOL.
23	KIRSTEN ADAMES	221			49
24	HARLAN BAILEY	186	191		49
25	SHIRLEY BARD	178			49

Case 3	10-cv-00163-l	N Document 42	2-10 Fi	iled 05/05	/10 Pag	ge 273 of 51	5 Pag	₽ <b>1</b> 2√68 <b>½</b> 40
1	DAVID DAV	VENPORT	154		161			49
2	JOHN DONA	AHUE	162		173			49
3	DR. JENN	IE DUVAL	32		56			49
4	LANNIE E	MANUEL	64,	70, 73	67,	71, 74		49
5	CHARLES 1	MCKINNEY	11,	23	22			49
6	JAMES ROO	GERS	75,	148	129		84,	94
7					151		125	49
8	DR. WILL	IAM VANDIVER	192					49
9								
10			EΣ	KHIBIT ]	INDEX			
11	STATE'S			OFF	ERED	ADMITT	ED	VOL.
12	2	Autopsy Pho	to	ŗ	55	55		49
13	31	Crime Scene	Photo	o 8	34	85		49
14	32	Crime Scene	Photo	о 6	34	85		49
15	33	Crime Scene	Photo	<b>S</b>	34	85		49
16	34	Crime Scene	Photo	o 6	34	85		49
17	54	Autopsy Rep	ort	3	36	36		49
18	55	Autopsy Pho	to	4	11	41		49
19	58	Autopsy Pho	to	4	11	41		49
20	59	Autopsy Pho	to	4	41	41		49
21	60	Autopsy Pho	to		41	41		49
22	61	Autopsy Pho	to	4	41	41	• •	49
23	62	Autopsy Pho	to	4	41	41	-	49
24	63	Autopsy Pho	to	•	41	41	-	49
25	63A	Bullet		!	53	54	Ł	49

Case	:10-cv-00163	-N Document 42-10 Filed	05/05/10	Page 274 of 515	PageID 6841
1	65	Addison Harrington	205	205	49
2	66	Bank Records	239	239	49
3	69	Vandiver Records	194	194	49
4	70	Kaufman Hosp. Record	s 196	196	49
5	71	Hospital Records	210	210	49
6	72	Dr. Dehaan Records	210	210	49
7	73	Wadley Hospital	210	210	49
8	74	St. Michaels Records	210	210	49
9	75	Photo of Bathtub	210	210	49
10	77	Photo of Honda	88	88	49
11	78	Photo of Honda	88	88	49
12	79	Photo of Honda	88	88	49
13	80	Photo of Honda	88	88	49
14	81	Photo of Honda	88	88	49
15	82	Photo of Honda	88	88	49
16	83	Photo of Honda	88	. 88	49
17	83A	Tan Purse	93	93	49
18	84	Photo of Honda	88	88	49
19	85	Photo of Honda	88	88	49
20	86	Brown Leather Wallet	93	94	49
21	88	Insurance Card	96	97	49
22	89	Church Offering	96	97	49
23	90	JCPenney Receipt	97	97	49
24	91	JCPenney Bag	100	100	49
25	91A	Blue Robe	100	100	49

Case 3	10-cv-00163-l	N Document 42-10 Filed 05	/05/10	Page 275 of 515	Page 6842
1	91B	JCPenney Bloody Bag	101	101	49
2	91C	White t-shirt	102	102	49
3	93	Dillard's Plastic Bag	99	100	49
4	94	Dillard's Receipt	97	97	49
5	97	Duffle Bag	150	150	49
6	98	Suitcase w/clothing	149	150	49
7	99	Receipt	105	105	49
8	101	Checkbook Cover	106	106	49
9	102	Checkbook Register	106	106	49
10	103	Citizens Bank Receipt	107	107	49
11	104	Defendant's ID Card	108	108	49
12	105	Wizard's Card	108	108	49
13	106	Cowboy's Receipt	112	112	49
14	107A	Check Receipt	113	114	49
15	107B	Check Receipt	113	114	49
16	107C	Check Receipt	113	114	49
17	107D	Check Receipt	113	114	49
18	107E	Check Receipt	113	114	49
19	109	Dr. Lee Paper	110	110	49
20	110	Featherston's Card	109	109	49
21	111	Lynk Systems Paper	112	112	49
22	112	Yellow Paper	111	111	49
23	113	Yellow Paper	111	111	49
24	114A	Money Order Receipt	111	112	49
25	114B	Money Order Receipt	111	112	49

Case 3	3:10-cv-00163	-N Document 42-10 Filed	05/05/10	Page 276 of 515	PageID 6843
.1	115	Print (Hood)	118	118	49
2	116	Print (Rear Window)	118	118	49
3	117	Print (Rear Window)	118	118	49
4	118	Print (Door Handle)	118	118	49
5	119	Print (Cigarette Pkg	) 118	118	49
6	120	Prints from Jail	123	123	49
7	121	Pkg. Cigarettes	105	105	49
8	122	Pkg. Cigarettes	105	105	49
9	123	Trash Bag of Clothes	150	150	49
10	124	Duffle Bag w/clothes	151	151	49
11	125	Claim's Interview	235	235	49
12	DEFENDAN'	T'S	OFFERED	ADMITTED	VOL.
13	9	Dr. Duval Letter	62	62	49
14					
. 15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20				erinage .	
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: This hearing will be conducted in open court, outside the presence and hearing of the impaneled jury and the alternate.

Let the record reflect the defense, during trial, has presented the Court a Motion to Suppress items seized from the defendant's cell at the Dallas County Jail. Court has had tendered to it for in camera inspection a packet of materials allegedly consisting of those materials that were seized from the cell in which the defendant, Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, was housed at the time of the seizure. An in camera inspection of those items that have been presented to the Court include and are limited to the following: A number of letters and cards that were sent to Mr. Murphy while he was in the Dallas County Jail reportedly from relatives or friends of Mr. Murphy. There is also a religious tract of approximately 50 or so pages that are somewhat of a workbook with regard to one's individual study of the Holy Bible. Also contained within the packets of materials are three handwritten pages, purportedly, though I know not to be certain, in the hand of Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, written to his attorneys. That comprises the materials that the Court has received.

Absent counsel for either side dictating the contrary into the record, I assume and it is merely an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assumption, that the seizure of the materials about which I made reference was absent a search warrant; am I correct?

MS. MILLER: Yes, you are correct, Your Honor, and I don't know if you would like this Dallas County Sheriffs Department report by Detective Allwardt from the Physical Evidence Section marked as an exhibit. For record purposes, the last line on the first page --

THE COURT: Have you shown it to the defense?

MS. MILLER: I gave them a copy.

MS. BALIDO: This morning, Judge. This is the first time we've seen it, and that's not her fault.

MS. MILLER: They had subpoenaed all the defendant's records so there we had no reason to believe they did not already have this. I received this from Sergeant Lachman when I interviewed him, I believe it was last week or the week before. The last sentence on that report shows who collected it and why they collected it, Your Honor. State had no knowledge of the collection until I received this report from Sergeant Lachman. And it was at that time, because it was not in any of the reports that had already been tendered to Mr. Davis from the Sheriff's Department, when I obtained that. That is when we found out that they had in fact seized these papers. And other than what is in the report, we had no knowledge as to what these papers were. That is when we went down to find out since it said

they believed they were related to the suicide attempt.

which the Court has dictated into the record, has the State read every one of those documents about which the Court has made reference? Perhaps not the religious tract, but the notes from purported family members or friends and the handwriting document, three pages in length, purportedly written by Mr. Murphy?

MR. DAVIS: The -- I can represent to the Court that Ms. Miller and I went down. We first looked through the notes that appeared to be from family members. Now, we did read those. We did in fact ask the Sheriff's Department to make copies of those letters for us. They indicated there was another packet. I looked at that other packet. Ms. Miller did not. I did -- I briefly scanned through some of that tract material, religious lessons or whatever they appear --

THE COURT: Journey into Discipleship?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir, just to make sure that all of them were consistent and all of them did deal with the same subject matter.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DAVIS: And they did appear to be so. Did not ask the Sheriffs Department to make a copy of that for me. I did glance over the other three handwritten pages to

determine again if they were related to this suicide or not.

And I noted that the date on that -- I have not viewed that since the date that we went down to the physical evidence room, but my recollection was that there was a date on there from some time ago, either October or November of last year.

THE COURT: On page 3, for purposes of the record, there is in handwriting made by I know not whom 10-21-00, which I take to be October 21st, the year 2000.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That's the only date that I have seen on --

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Basically the three pages purportedly written by Mr. Murphy about which the Court at this stage has the most concern.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: For a couple of reasons. Number one, search and seizure problems, if there be any. And, number two, attorney-client privilege.

MR. DAVIS: I looked at that, and I did note the same date -- the same that the Court had noted. I did not see -- now, the other matters had been addressed -- his letters, there were envelopes accompanying those letters.

There were no envelopes accompanying those three pages as I recall.

THE COURT: Correct. Correct.

MR. DAVIS: I didn't see an address addressed as a letter or a kite or any jail communication, and I am familiar with that having represented clients in jail. I could not determine from a first glance whether they were a letter, whether they were notes. I did note, though, at some stage, and I can't recall at what point in that, that they did relate -- it appeared to me to the examining trial that had occurred sometime contemporaneous with those notes. I glanced at them. I did not have the Sheriff's Department make a copy of that because it didn't --

THE COURT: The three pages?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Of handwriting?

MR. DAVIS: I did not have copies of that document made. I have never had copies made of those documents.

THE COURT: Have you, after glancing or reading or perusing those three documents, Mr. Davis, utilized any of the contents of that material in trial preparation?

MR. DAVIS: No, sir, because again, my recollection of what I did see was that it was -- essentially it was an allegation that Detective Myers had not been truthful during the examining trial. That was the gist of

1 what I got from looking at that document, but I --2 THE COURT: I have not seen the entire 3 transcript of the examining trial, but there are references made about the conformity of the table and a microphone --4 5 MR. DAVIS: Right. THE COURT: -- and some allegations of that 6 7 nature. 8 MR. DAVIS: Right. THE COURT: I concur with the State. 9 MR. DAVIS: No, sir. I didn't -- I didn't see 10 11 anything to utilize. 12 THE COURT: All right. MR. DAVIS: Didn't see a need to have a copy 13 made, and so at the time the only copies that were made were 15 of the relatives' notes back to the defendant. And again, my recollection there is that there was nothing in there other 16 than there was talk about a family history and some medical 17 18 problems that -- as I recall, I think that the individual writing to his mother, some sort of suicide or some sort of 19 20 health problems that she was undergoing. 21 THE COURT: Ms. Balido, do you recall when you joined the defense team? Then I'll tell you why I ask the 2.2 23 The reason I -- why you're thinking -question. 24 MS. BALIDO: Maybe late December, Judge.

THE COURT: The reason I made reference to

## Case 3 10-cv-00163-N Document 42-10 Filed 05/05/10 Page 283 of 515 Page 6850

that, the first page begins, "Michael and Jane, parenthesis, sorry if I've offended you by using your first names," so I would assume that the letter was -- or the documentation was generated prior to your coming on board on behalf of the defense.

MS. BALIDO: Yes, that would be correct.

THE COURT: Has the defense read or seen --

MS. BALIDO: No, sir. We haven't seen

anything.

MR. BYCK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: On the occasions that you have been up to visit with Mr. Murphy, he's never shared the contents of this document with you, either post-examining trial, prior to trial, or at any time?

MR. BYCK: Your Honor, to be perfectly candid with the Court, we did not look at the material that was given to us by the Sheriff yesterday and marked as Defendant's Exhibit Number 6. We did not open that envelope because we did not want to be accused of adding something to it or taking anything away from it.

THE COURT: Before we begin the hearing, let me invite the defense now to examine these materials which I have -- those are the entirety of the materials that were presented to me by Mr. LaPere in the defense presence.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, also in regard to the

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

report tendered by counsel, we would object to the Court considering the truth of the matter asserted in that report for purposes of findings of facts and conclusions of law in this hearing, and -- and we would like to be heard --

THE COURT: Fine. That's the purpose of this hearing. We're going to air it all out.

MS. BALIDO: Okay. And so we would -- since we did not know this document existed, although we did issue a subpoena from the Sheriff's Department to turn over any and all reports regarding any incident in the jail regarding our client, I would --

THE COURT: Well, we may be making a mountain out of a molehill. I'm not suggesting we are. Let me invite the defense to examine those materials.

MR. BYCK: Let me go xerox this. It will make it easier for us --

MS. BALIDO: Judge, my only concern is that if there's a search and seizure, the true -- the issue of whether or not these things were seized related to a suicide attempt and the policies of seizing these items in a suicide attempt may become an issue in the trial. And just like leave of the Court, if I could try to go get in touch with Deputy Branch or Deputy -- or Detective Allwardt.

THE COURT: Fine. You -- we are going to begin -- continue the trial on the merits at 10 o'clock.

1	have given you an hour and a half. I would ask that you
2	MS. BALIDO: Judge, I don't understand I
3	don't understand what I can do other than call him the second
4	I get this report. And I got it this morning. I've asked
5	for it numerous occasions from the State.
6	THE COURT: There are three of you in the
7	courtroom. That's why I have three defense attorneys.
8	MS. LITTLE: We still just got it this
9	morning, Judge.
10	THE COURT: Fine. One of you you have an
11	investigator, you have a staff on the Public Defenders
12	Office. Numerous times there's only one of the public
13	defenders in court during the voir dire.
14	MS. LITTLE: I beg your pardon?
15	THE COURT: At numerous times during jury
16	selection there was only one public defender in the
17	courtroom.
18	MS. LITTLE: Well, I don't know what the Court
19	is directing to.
20	THE COURT: Well, what I'm suggesting is all
21	three of you have been in here during this hearing when one
22	of you or your investigators could have been contacting those
23	that you want. Just inefficiency in time is what I'm
24	suggesting.
25	MS. LITTLE: Well, we just got the report this

morning, Judge. 1 2 THE COURT: Are you ready? MS. BALIDO: Ready, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Good morning, Sheriff. May I ask 4 you to raise your right hand. 5 (Witness sworn.) 6 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 7 Let the record reflect this hearing continues to be 8 conducted in open court, outside the presence and hearing of 9 the impaneled jury and one alternate. The defendant, 10 Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, is in court during this hearing. 11 Counsel may proceed. 12 CHARLES MCKINNEY 13 was called as a witness by the Defendant and, after having 14 been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 15 Direct Examination 16 17 By Ms. Balido: Can you please state your name for the record? 18 0. 19 Α. Charles McKinney. And, sir, what do you do for a living? 20 Q. I'm employed by Jim Bowles, Sheriff of Dallas 21 A. 22 County. And how long have you been employed by the Sheriff's 23 Q.

24

25

Department?

Α.

36 years.

- Q. And what is your present assignment for the Sheriff's Department?
- A. I'm Assistant Chief Deputy in Charge of Detention Inmate Housing.
- Q. And when you talk about detention inmate housing, you're talking about when people are accused of crimes or convicted of county crimes, they're actually housed in the Dallas County Jail; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the policies and procedures regarding the Dallas County Jail?
  - A. Pretty much so, yes, ma'am.
- Q. And especially regarding the inmate -- the inmate housing procedures or the policies regarding inmate housing that are used in everyday procedures in the Dallas County Jail?
  - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you a question in regard to inmate property in the cells. Okay? I understand that you seize property once somebody is booked into the Dallas County Jail; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Certain property.
- Q. Certain property. And that is -- what -- what

- A. It goes into the vault in the inmate's property file.
- Q. Okay. Now -- now -- now that we've established that, I don't want to talk about that type of property.
  - A. All right.
- Q. What I want to talk about is I want to talk about property that is either sent into the jail by someone outside the jail, and I'm talking about letters or papers or newspaper articles and that sort of thing --
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And also paperwork or letters that are made by the inmate himself while he's inside the jail. Okay?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Does the Dallas County Jail have a policy regarding the seizure of those types of writings, things that are either sent in by U.S. mail or by -- well, let's start out just sent in by U.S. mail?
  - A. Yes, it does have policy.
  - Q. Can you tell me what that policy is?
- A. Any privileged mail will not be opened except in front of the inmate himself. And it will not be read, but can be searched for contraband.
  - Q. Okay.
    - A. Privileged mail being mail from the courts,

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attorneys, public officials such as senators, President of the United States, and so forth. Non-privileged mail, letters from loved ones, friends, and so forth, can be opened for contraband.

- Okay. So basically -- let me ask you about the Q. policy of the Dallas County Sheriffs Department in regard to non-privileged mail first.
  - Okay. Α.
- You said it's opened to determine whether or not 0. there's contraband?
  - Ά. Correct.
- Is it also opened for the purpose of reading the Ο. content of the letters themselves?
  - As a general rule, no. But it can be, yes. Α.
- Okay. So as a general rule those letters are not 0. read?
  - Α. Correct.
  - And when is there an exception to that rule? Q.
    - Usually under court order. Α.
- Okay. A court order or if there's like a search Ο. warrant that you feel like it's necessary?
  - Correct. Right. Α.
- All right. In regard to privileged mail from attorneys, I would suppose it would be from attorneys if it was evident from the outside of the envelope that it was from

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

-5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And the other people that you mentioned, can you tell me about the policy of opening that for contraband? Are those regularly opened for contraband?
  - A. It's opened in front of the inmate.
- Q. Okay. And tell me the policy of the Dallas Sheriff's Department in regard to reading that mail?
- A. It is not read. Privileged mail is not read.

  Searched -- the envelope or box, whatever it would be in would be searched for contraband only.
- Q. Okay. Are there any exceptions to that rule that you know of?
  - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Have you ever been asked by a court -- that you know of, you or anyone you know of been asked by a court or court order to seize that mail and read that mail, the privileged mail?
  - A. Any mail that ever come in on any inmate?
  - O. Yes.
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you in regard to -- is there ever an occasion that in the regular practice of the Dallas Sheriff's Department that papers made by the inmate would be seized by the Dallas Sheriff's Department?

Q. Yes.

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Could you tell us what those instances are?
- A. I could think of possibly three. One would be under a court order issued by a Judge directing us to for some reason. Number two, and I'm talking about inmate housing employees seizing it. Possibly if the volume of paperwork became so great in a particular cell that it should become either a safety or health hazard, it could be removed and put in the inmate's property in the vault. It would be a very rare occasion, but it could happen. Thirdly, and it would not be by employees of the detention housing bureau, but if it should become -- the cell become a crime scene for some reason, then the evidence could be confiscated either by our Physical Evidence Section or Criminal Investigation Division in regards to this crime scene.
- Q. Okay. And when you talk about crime scene, would a crime scene include an attempted suicide?
  - A. Yes, it would.
- Q. Okay. When I talked to you on the telephone yesterday, I guess, I didn't make myself clear when I asked if there was any kind of procedure as to whether or not someone's property would be seized if he tried to commit suicide. Do you remember me asking you that?

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 2.1
- 22
- 23 24
- 25

- Α. You didn't mention suicide whatsoever.
- Okay. Did you talk with the District Attorneys Office after I talked with you yesterday?
  - No, I have not. Α.
- Okay. Let me ask you if you know what the procedure is regarding seizure of writings that are made by the person in jail, the inmate, but looks like it's addressed to a lawyer or after reading the contents of the letter, you find out that it's basically to the person's lawyer, do you have any policy about reading that or seizing that or anything like that?
- Detention housing bureau does not open any mail that's addressed to an attorney.
- Okay. Now, you mentioned that the inmate housing 0. employees could seize different items at different times.
  - Yes, ma'am, that's correct. Α.
  - And can you tell us when that would occur? 0.
- Well, I'll repeat the three things I just said if A. you want me to. One is if it's under a court order.
  - MS. MILLER: Your Honor, we object.
  - THE COURT: No, it's repetitious.
- Ο. (By Ms. Balido) So those are the only reasons that the inmate housing would -- would seize these items?
- To my knowledge, that should be the only reasons, Α. yes, ma'am.

Okay. Let me ask you also --1 Q. THE COURT: Could you get a little more 2 specific on the issue at hand, please? This particular 3 document as opposed to the global policy of the Dallas 4 5 Sheriff's Department. MS. BALIDO: Judge, we're trying to establish 6 that he has a reasonable expectation to privacy, and that's 7 what this is going to. 8 THE COURT: Have you read the cases involving 9 10 this, counsel? MS. BALIDO: I have, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: What have you read? 12 MS. BALIDO: I have read that in situations 13 where inmate property is housed or seized at the time that 14 they actually go into the jail, that is not privileged, that 15 16 is not -- or that is not garnered --THE COURT: To the specific issue at hand. 17 MS. BALIDO: The specific issue at hand, 18 Judge, about property inside a cell where there are cases 19 that say that a defendant does have a reasonable expectation 20 of privacy and there are some cases that say they don't have 21 a reasonable expectation of privacy. I'm -- but it's up to 22 the subjective beliefs of the defendant. I'm trying to 23

THE COURT: Okay. Let's move on.

establish what the policies are and then establish what --

24

Q. (By Ms. Balido) Do you know --

THE COURT: I've got a United States Supreme Court case and a Ninth Circuit case that are pretty persuasive.

MS. BALIDO: Is that the same Ninth Circuit case, Judge, that I cited on our Batson motion?

THE COURT: United States versus Hitchcock,
467 F.2d 1107, and Alonzo versus New York, 82 Supreme Court
1218.

MS. BALIDO: And, Judge, is that the same
Ninth Circuit that I cited on our Batson motion which you
said was the most overruled court by the Supreme Court?

THE COURT: That's true.

- Q. (By Ms. Balido) Do you know anything about the seizure of property of Jedidiah Isaac Murphy by Dallas sheriffs officers?
  - A. No, ma'am, I do not.
- Q. Okay. And under your understanding did any inmate housing official seize this property or seize any property from Jedidiah Isaac Murphy after he was booked into jail?
  - A. You say did?
  - Q. I'm asking if you have any knowledge of that?
  - A. I just said, no, ma'am, I do not.
- Q. After there is material seized for either -- for any one of the reasons that you talked about, what is the normal

practice of what to do with that property?

- A. If it's in their court order, we'd do whatever the Judge directed, either put it in our property evidence or turn it over to the courts or whoever the Judge directed it to be turned over to, number one. Secondly, as I said awhile ago, if for some reason should become such a large volume of paperwork, that became a safety hazard or health hazard, it would be put in the inmate's property in our vault. Thirdly, if it become a crime scene, then the Physical Evidence Section, the Criminal Investigation Section would confiscate it and put it in the Dallas Sheriff's Office property room as evidence of that crime scene.
- Q. And in that regard on the third thing that we talked about with the crime scene, would that be held within the Dallas Sheriff's Department or would it be immediately turned over to the Dallas District Attorneys Office? Or do you know?
- A. It would be put in Dallas Sheriff's Office initially. Now, how long it stayed there, I would have no knowledge.
- Q. Along those lines again, Chief McKinney, you wouldn't ordinarily -- well, what would be the regular practice, if you know, if it was seized by the crime scene and investigators and put into the records, or what happens to it after that?

- A. I wouldn't have any knowledge.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you one more thing. Is it the regular practice, or are you aware of any exception or -- let me start totally over.

Are you aware of any time that a member or members of the Dallas District Attorneys Office has attempted to seize letters coming into the jail, and I'm talking about non-privileged letters, for the purpose of use in that person's trial?

MS. MILLER: Your Honor, we're going to object to relevance as far as this hearing goes.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

MS. MILLER: Because it has nothing to do with the way these were seized.

THE COURT: You may answer, Sheriff.

- A. On any inmate at any time, since 1946, Dallas Sheriff's Office, you're talking about?
- Q. (By Ms. Balido) I'm asking if you know if sometimes the D.A.'s call up the Sheriff's Department and ask to see --
  - THE COURT: Let him answer -- let him answer,
- Ms. Balido.
  - A. I'm just trying to clarify you talking about going back any time.
    - Q. (By Ms. Balido) Uh-huh.
    - A. Okay.

Q. Is that a yes?

2

3

4

4 | Kilowiedge

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

- A. No, I haven't answered. I'm trying to think if I can recall any. My personal knowledge, I don't recall, to my knowledge.
- Q. Does the Dallas Sheriff's Office have any policy regarding turning over inmate mail, not privileged inmate mail, to the Dallas District Attorneys Office upon their request?
- A. To my knowledge, it would be under subpoena or court order.
  - Q. And you'd be surprised if they got it any other way?
  - A. I'd be surprised.

MS. BALIDO: I'll pass the witness, Judge.

## Cross-Examination

By Ms. Miller:

- Q. Sergeant McKinney, I just have just a couple questions for you. Since you're the Assistant Chief Deputy; is that right?
  - A. Yes, ma'am. That's right.
  - Q. And how long have you had that position?
  - A. About 10 or 11 years.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell this Court whether having a razor blade, an inmate having a razor blade in his cell is against the rules and regulations?
  - A. No, ma'am, it's not against rules and regulations.

How about a razor blade not within a razor? 1 Ο. 2 A. No, ma'am. 3 Q. Okay. MS. MILLER: I don't have any other questions, 4 Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Balido. 6 MS. BALIDO: Judge, I have a couple more 7 questions. 8 Redirect Examination 9 By Ms. Balido: 10 To your knowledge, Chief McKinney, on the control 11 center or in the control center on the third floor of the 12 Dallas Sheriff's Department, of the West Tower -- third 13 floor, West Tower Control Center, to your knowledge, is there 14 a sign that says "all incoming and outgoing mail from 15 Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, Book-in Number 00089253, is to be sent 16 to Chief McKinney's office"? 17 A. I have no knowledge of it. I can assure you no mail 18 has never been sent to me at any time. 19 MS. BALIDO: I have no further questions, 20 21 Judge. MS. MILLER: The State has nothing further, 22 Your Honor. 23 Thank you, Sheriff. THE COURT: 24 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BALIDO: Judge, if I could go check on my messages and see --

THE COURT: You may.

(Recess taken.)

MS. BALIDO: Judge, I do have one thing I can put on the record while we're waiting.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, comes now the defendant, Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, at this time to make a motion for mistrial based on the following reason: Off the record the Judge has said on numerous occasions that he's concerned about the wasting of the jury's time. The defense does appreciate that. However, on the record yesterday, the Court made two comments that concerns the defense. First, when the defense objected to the oral statements in front of the jury -- being brought in front of the jury, the Court made the comment that such objection had been heard and considered outside the record by the Court and had been overruled and that you overruled it again. We believe that that constituted a comment on the weight of the jury --

THE COURT: Weight of the jury?

MS. BALIDO: Constituted a comment on the weight of the evidence to such a degree that an instruction either at this time either in the jury instructions not to consider any comment from the Court as being a comment on the weight of the evidence, that that cannot be cured.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, during the cross-examination of Detective Myers, the Court sua sponte asked if what I was asking was relevant and I needed to hurry it along. I believe that that constituted a comment on the weight of the evidence to which no instruction can cure. And we would respectfully ask for a mistrial at this time.

THE COURT: The Court denies it. And specifically with regard to number one, I call counsel's attention to the fact that outside the jury's presence I told them that by virtue of that ruling there would be no need for them to make a further objection once the jury had returned to the courtroom. Number two, with regard to the matter about which counsel makes reference, I call counsel's attention to Texas Rule Of evidence 611, paragraph A, I have control of the court. And when I feel that time is being unnecessarily consumed which I on the record wish to state outside the jury's presence that I think the defense was doing yesterday, I am going to side on behalf of the jury and move this trial along. Cross-examination should not be limited in the Court's opinion to a mere recitation or a repeat of the questions that the prosecutors have asked the witness.

MS. BALIDO: Judge, in response to your response to number one, I do believe that through the reading

of the cases that I have in preparation of this case and other appeal -- and appeals that I have filed, that even though the Court did grant a running -- a running objection, that it was my duty to object in front of the jury. And since that is going to be a jury issue in this case as to the admissibility of the confession, that I thought it was necessary on the record to make my objection at the time.

THE COURT: Counsel, you do what you feel is necessary in the courtroom, and I'll do likewise.

Have a hearing on the photographs. The State may begin.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, Judge. Your Honor, the proffer will be in front of the jury. I do intend to offer State's Exhibits, I believe -- defense counsel has them. I believe that they are 42 -- I'm sorry, they are going to be State's Exhibit 55 through 63, Your Honor. And the State's position is that they are necessary, that they are probative of several different issues. First of all, the manner and means of death. They will be probative as to exposure, state of decomposition. Also going to go to really the nature of this offense, the place in which the defendant left this body, goes to his state of mind, disregard for the safety and the well-being of this individual, allowing her to be exposed to aquatic life, to other wildlife out there in that location. So we certainly believe that they are relevant,

that they are probative. There is no duplication in these photographs. The photographs of the body as a whole I believe the Court has reviewed that is fully clothed. The only bare skin showing then will be actually extremities and the head and the face portion of the photographs. For those reasons, we do intend to offer State's Exhibit 55 through 63, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The defense wishes to be heard.

MR. BYCK: Yes, Your Honor. May it please the

Court.

Your Honor, we would have no objections to State's Exhibit Number 58. State's Number 58, as I'm showing to the Court, shows a gunshot wound to the forehead.

We would have no objection to State's 59, likewise showing a gunshot wound to the cranial area.

We would have no objection to State's 60, showing three wounds on the top of the scalp.

We have no objection to State's 61 in that it shows bruising on the upper arm.

We have no objection to State's 63, some abrasions.

And finally, we have no objection to State's 55, Your Honor, as it does show the complete body. And I don't believe that there is an alternative photograph to that.

We would object to -- pardon me, Your Honor, and in reference to the last number, what was that?

THE COURT: 55.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BYCK: In -- okay. 62. Your Honor, we would strenuously object to State's Exhibit Number 56 and especially State's Exhibit Number 57. Your Honor, careful examination of State's 57 shows no quishot wound. It does not show any track of a bullet. It shows nothing except what happened to the victim well after the fatal shot wound was While it may be relevant to prove the nature of the offense, what it really shows, Your Honor, is that an action done by an independent force, that is, aquatic life in that stream. That there are -- its probative value is far, far outweighed by the obvious, obvious gruesome nature of the photographs. We submit, Your Honor, that they would only be -- especially the full face photograph, I think that's 57, would only be offered to inflame the jury, to show the terrible depredations that occurred. While it could theoretically be argued, Your Honor that, that there's some element of foreseeability in here, we would strenuously argue that there really is not, that -- that the actor, the perpetrator of the murder, could in no way foresee the depredations that occurred to that body afterwards, that --THE COURT: The State care to be further heard?

neard?

MR. DAVIS: Well, I just cite to the Court the State did previously file a response to defendant's motion in

4 5

heard?

limine. And in those cases I believe the Court probably has reviewed that autopsy photographs are generally admissible unless they depict mutilation of the victim caused by the autopsy itself. Obviously, these were not caused by the autopsy. They're caused by the direct actions of this defendant in making a conscious decision to leave this woman exposed in a creek in which there is water. And those injuries are a direct result of his actions in this case.

THE COURT: Mr. Byck, you wish further to be

MR. BYCK: Yes, Your Honor.

Texas -- we do not have a citation on that, would be more than happy to provide the Court a copy from the Court of Criminal Appeals, TC Number 00-41-003. It's number 73,281 in the Court of Criminal Appeals. The Court of Criminal Appeals decided almost this exact situation. With these gruesome photographs, the Court of Criminal Appeals ordered the trial court that they should consider the number of photographs that are available, the size of the photograph, and that size by the way, Your Honor, is approximately 11 and a half inches by 7 and three-quarter inches. That's the unmounted size. They are in color. The detail shown is absolutely graphic. The photograph is obviously gruesome. And that body has been altered since the crime in some way that might enhance the

gruesomeness of the photograph to the defendant's detriment.

Now, Your Honor, we would finally object to State's Exhibit Number 62, stating that there would be alternative methods of proving the wounds or actually the bruises, Your Honor, in State's Exhibit Number 62 by two photographs that we will have marked as Defendant's 7 and 8 that could be proved by the information contained in Defendant's 7 and 8 provided for us by the District Attorneys Office. Those are other photographs that do not show the head portion, but show the bruises on the shoulder.

THE COURT: The State has the right to close the argument before the Court makes its ruling.

MR. DAVIS: Well, again --

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: Just drawing your attention with regard to his argument about the photograph being gruesome, I'm sure the Court is aware of the May v. State, 2000 decision out of the Court of Appeals here in Dallas, in which the Court stated that although photographs may be gruesome, that fact alone does not render them more prejudicial than probative. In this particular case that is not even the standard in an autopsy photograph. Actually it's whether the probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, and I would state that they are not in this case.

2

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: In anticipation that the State will offer State's Exhibit 58, 59, 60, 61, and 63, if offered, assuming the defense makes no objection, they have indicated that they do not anticipate, they will be admitted 5 into evidence. The Court will permit the introduction of State's Exhibit Number 62, but only if the top three inches 6 7 of the picture is redacted. And the defense objections at 8 this point on 56 and 57 are granted. 9 MR. BYCK: We thank the Court. 10 THE COURT: Sheriff, may we have the jury, 11 please. 12 The Court wishes the record to reflect that the

Court utilized, as is required by the Court of Criminal Appeals, under the case Long v. State, 823 S.W.2d 259, Rule 403, balancing test with regard to evaluating counsels' objections and the offer.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

THE COURT: Let the record reflect the jury is returning to the courtroom at this time.

(Jury returned to courtroom.)

THE COURT: Jury may be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen in the gallery, you may be seated.

The State may proceed.

MR. DAVIS: The State will call Dr. Jennie

And as such what are your duties and

I'm an assistant medical examiner. Α.

in court, give lectures, that sort of thing.

2

3

responsibilities?

4

5

Q.

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

- Mainly to perform autopsies. We also do some Α. teaching, medical students that rotate through, residents, our own fellows that are in training in our office, testify
- If you don't mind, would you briefly tell us about 0. your educational and professional training?
- My college degree is from the University of New Hampshire. I then obtained my medical degree, my M.D. from McGill University. That's in Montreal, Quebec. completed a four-year pathology residency at the University of Massachusetts Medical Center, followed by two years of forensic pathology training at the Boston Medical Examiners Office, followed by another year surgical pathology training back at the University of Massachusetts Medical Center, which I finished in June of '99. Then I started here about a month later.
- Now, I believe that you told us that one of your primary duties would be to perform autopsies. Is there a general procedure that's used when you do an autopsy?
- Yes, basically every autopsy is the same. We may do additional studies and tests, depending on the case.
  - Could you briefly discuss the procedure that you Q.

would use on an autopsy?

A. Yes, in a homicide or suicide type of autopsy, we always photograph the body as it's received in the office. Then we'll search for trace evidence on the clothing and the hands, fingernails. We may take x-rays, depending on the case. If there's a gunshot wound or a stab wound, we're looking for retained bullets or metal objects. We would then strip the body, clean it off as best we could and again take photographs and in particular photographs of any injuries or evidence of disease that we might find. Then we would draw blood for toxicology, sometimes eye fluid, and all that is done before we start the internal examination of the autopsy.

The internal examination involves making incisions into the body so that we can look at the body organs for evidence of disease or injury so we would look at the heart, the lungs, the brain, so forth. We may save pieces of tissue to look at microscopically. We may save other body fluids such as bile and urine to look for toxicology, drugs of abuse, prescription drugs that are in the system. We may take other specimens for microbiology studies if we suspect an infectious disease. Those are the sorts of tests that we do routinely.

- Q. When a body comes into your office for an autopsy, is a unique case number assigned to it?
  - A. Yes, a case number.

- Q. Would that -- would that case number follow any evidence perhaps that you had gathered during your autopsy, would it maintain that same unique case number if it had been analyzed by someone else out there at your office?
- A. The same case number -- as soon as the body is received into the office, the body is tagged with that number. All the paperwork that's generated, the autopsy report, any other reports from the toxicology lab or the crime lab all have that same number on it.
- Q. Now, on or about October the 6th of 2000, did you perform an autopsy on an individual that you later came to know as Bertie Cunningham?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And did you in fact perform the autopsy and then prepare an autopsy report of your findings in that matter?
  - A. Yes, I did.

MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Doctor, I'm showing you now State's Exhibit Number 54. If you would, review that document and tell me if it's a copy of the autopsy report that you prepared in this case.
  - A. Yes, it is.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will offer State's Exhibit Number 54, the autopsy report.

(State's Exhibit No. 54 offered) 1 MR. BYCK: We have no objection at this time, 2 Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Admitted. 4 (State's Exhibit No. 54 admitted) 5 (By Mr. Davis) Dr. Duval, in this case can you tell 6 0. us the case number that was assigned to this autopsy? 7 Yes, the case number is -- it has the prefix 8 JP3564-00. 9 Now, if we could in this -- in this particular case 10 Q. did the body come accompanied by clothing? It was clothed 11 when it came to your office, correct? 12 The body was fully dressed and also accompanied by 13 other articles of clothing. 14 Yeah. Did you examine the body for personal effects 15 Q. 16 such as jewelry? 17 Α. Yes. Was any jewelry with the body when it came to your 18 Q. office? 19 20 Α. No. Ο. No rings? 21 22 Α. No rings. No watches? 23 0. Α. 24 No. Had they accompanied the body, would you have noted 25 Q.

that in your report?

- A. We always note whatever the body comes in with, any clothing, any personal effects, any jewelry is always described in the report.
  - Q. Did you see any indication during your examination of the victim's fingers that she had at one time been wearing a ring?
  - A. Yes, on the left ring finger there's a -- the skin was pale as if a ring had been worn there.
  - Q. Can you tell me the overall condition of this body? How would you describe that?
- A. The body was in early to moderate state of decomposition so the body had been dead for some time. Postmortem changes had already set in. In particular because the body was found in water, there was some postmortem changes related to aquatic animals. In this case probably turtles, fish, crab, same sort of thing. So these animals will accelerate the decomposition process. The body had some skin slippage. That's one of the early changes of decomposition when the skin starts to slough off. There was also fixed liver mortis, which is the settling of blood in the body after death. And after a period of several hours, it becomes fixed in position. It was absent rigor mortis, this is, when the body comes stiff after death. That's a transient thing. After several hours, 8 to 12 hours, it

DARLINE W. LABAR, OFFICIAL REPORTER

remains full -- full rigor mortis for about another 12 hours or so, and then it starts to dissipate. And there was no rigor mortis, so these are all changes that occur after death and indicate that she had been dead for some time.

- Q. Now, was it your understanding that the body had been found in a creek?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Was the appearance of the body consistent with that history?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you talk about decomposition, you said that -- did I understand you to say that it was apparent that the individual had been dead for some period of time?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Generally can you tell us when decomposition might set in after death?
- A. Well, these postmortem changes all begin immediately. Blood begins to settle. The rigor mortis starts to develop. But once you start reaching the skin sloughing off, once you start having the extensive aquatic animal activity that I saw, you're talking at least a day, maybe three days, somewhere in that ball park.
- Q. And I believe your examination took place at 11:30 in the morning on October the 6th, correct?
  - A. Correct.

- نے

- Q. So the condition would have been consistent with Ms. Cunningham having been dead for between one and three days at that point; is that right?
  - A. That's my opinion, yes.
- Q. Now, first of all, as you examined the body, did you look for external injuries?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And if you would, can you tell us and tell the members of the jury the types of external injuries that you noted?
- A. Yes, there were a number of injuries. Some of them appeared postmortem like this animal activity. There was also a gunshot wound to the head that was clearly obvious. There were some bruises on the upper arms and the backs of the upper arms. There was a bruise on the right side of her chest. And there were some abrasions across her upper abdomen and left side of her chest or abdomen. Those two abrasions or scrapes appeared to be postmortem also. There was also some lacerations of the scalp. And I believe that's all the injuries we identified.
- Q. When we talk about lacerations, are we talking about cuts, some injury that would actually cut the skin in some way?
- A. Well, I prefer to use the word "split." The skin is split. It's not cut as if with a knife. It's a rupture of

the skin from impact against a hard object, so it could be a flat surface, could be a rock, any hard surface would cause a laceration. And these -- these were splits over the top of the scalp. They are called lacerations. And these again appeared to be postmortem.

- Q. Did you take photographs to document these injuries?
- A. Yes.

MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

Q. (By Mr. Davis) Doctor, looking at State's Exhibits 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, and finally State's Exhibit Number 55, let me ask you, do these -- well, if I may --

MR. DAVIS: May I approach the bench for just a moment, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) And finally State's Exhibit Number 55, do you recognize these to be photographs that were taken during the autopsy of Ms. Cunningham?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you believe that they would aid you in your testimony to this jury concerning the injuries that were inflicted, as well as the cause and the manner and means of death of Ms. Cunningham?
  - A. Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will

1 offer State's Exhibit 55, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63. (State's Exhibit No. 55 and 58 thru 63 offered) 2 MR. BYCK: We have no objections to the offer 3 pursuant to the Court's previous rulings. 4 MR. DAVIS: And if I could approach counsel 5 6 for just a moment on one matter there. 7 MR. BYCK: Very well. 8 MR. DAVIS: Are they admitted? THE COURT: They are admitted. 9 (State's Exhibit No. 55 and 58 thru 63 admitted) 10 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. May the witness please 11 12 step down. THE COURT: She may. 1.3 (Witness leaves the stand.) (By Mr. Davis) Dr. Duval, if we could, the first 15 photograph that we're going to see here was taken of Ms. 16 Cunningham again during her autopsy; is that correct? 17 18 Α. That's right. Was -- in this photograph do we see her still 19 Ο. clothed as she was when she came in for examination by your 20 office? 21 This is the as is photograph we take as the body is 22 Α. received into the office. 23 24 Ο. Okay. 25 (Exhibit published to jury.)

- (By Mr. Davis) And again, this photograph would note several different injuries, wouldn't it?
- Will it first of all note injuries to her face, as well as to her left arm?
  - Those injuries again being of what nature?
  - Those are consistent with postmortem aquatic animal
- I believe that you noted in your testimony earlier that you had seen a gunshot wound to Ms. Cunningham's head;
  - That's correct.
- State's Exhibit 58 that we're about to show to the jury, will this show that gunshot wound?
  - Yes, it does.
- Again, the location of that wound was, what, right the right portion of the forehead; is that right?
- Yes, it's actually just behind the hairline. The hair has been shaved above the wound in this picture.
- State's Exhibit 59, would this be another photograph from a different angle showing that same gunshot wound?
- Yes, this is a close-up view of that same gunshot wound.
  - Now, before we show this to the jury, when you Q.

. 

examine a gunshot wound, the entry wound, sometimes can you make some sort of determination about how close the end of the barrel of that gun was to the skin at the time that it was fired?

A. Yes, we can determine if a wound is contact, either tight contact or loose contact meaning the muzzle of the weapon is right up against the skin either tightly or loosely. We can say it's close range if we see soot deposited around the wound. In a handgun close range is about 6 inches or less. We can say if it's an intermediate range, if we see gunpowder stippling. This is a impact of gunpowder against the skin surface around the wound. That's from unburned particles of powder coming out of the muzzle of the weapon, usually about 1200, 1500 feet per second.

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, ma'am. I can't hear you.

A. Gunpowder stippling is when unburned powder grains come out of the muzzle of the weapon and they strike the skin at a very high velocity and they cause little scrapes in the skin and sometimes embedded in the skin. This is intermediate range, and that occurs somewhere between six inches and two feet in most instances. Beyond two feet we don't see soot. We don't see gunpowder stippling. We just see the hole, the entrance wound. And those we call distant wounds, distant meaning greater than two feet.

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Now, the gunshot wound to Ms. Cunningham first shown in State's Exhibit Number 58, were you able to make some determination about the distance of that particular gunshot?
  - Α. Yes.
- State's Exhibit Number 59, again, showing that 0. gunshot wound, how did you term this particular gunshot wound, tight contact, loose contact, intermediate, or distance?
  - This would be a loose contact gunshot wound. Α.
- And if you would, is there something about this Q. photograph that would assist the jury in understanding how you made that sort of determination?
- In the photograph you see this round black -it looks like a hole and actually the central part is the hole and all around the edge is imbedded soot and imbedded gunpowder, so -- and it makes a very nice distinct ring around the wound. It's very close. It's not dissipated at all. And this occurs with a loose contact type of wound.
- And loose contact again would that actually mean Ο. that the barrel of the gun is actually against the skin, but it's not being pressed down into the skin; would that be fair?
  - That's exactly what it means. Α.
- It's actually making contact with the point where we Q. now see this wound; is that right?

- A. Right. If it's a tight contact wound, the soot would be driven into the wound. You wouldn't see any on the skin surface around the hole. It would all be inside the wound, and there was nothing inside the wound.
- Q. State's Exhibit Number 61, I believe that you -- or State's Exhibit Number 60, I'm sorry. I believe you earlier had said that you found some evidence of lacerations to the top portion of Ms. Cunningham's skull; is that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. The jury now looking at State's Exhibit Number 60. Would these injuries, Doctor, be consistent with the head coming in contact with some hard and sharp object?
- A. More hard than sharp. It's not consistent with a knife for example. It could be any hard object. These are blunt force injuries.
  - Q. Uh-huh. How about a jagged rock for instance?
  - A. That could do it.
- Q. Can we tell from State's Exhibit Number 60 whether this is prior to the death of Ms. Cunningham or after death has occurred? Is there any way of telling?
- A. Yes, these -- these lacerations or splits in the skin have a very sort of greyish yellow appearance. You don't see a rim of red scraping around the -- which would -- tells us there's a vital reaction, meaning the bleeding around the -- there is no blood within them. There's no

Ms. Cunningham's body having been dragged across some

surface?

- A. Or any manipulation of her clothing would give the same appearance.
- Q. So if her body weren't moving, but somehow her clothing is being moved over her body, that could cause these scratches, also?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Again, that's postmortem, after death?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. State's Exhibit Number 61, what is the jury looking at now? What types of injuries? First, I guess this is to the right chest; is that right?
  - A. That's right.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. This is the right side of her chest. Her arm has been raised above her head so you can see this bruise, down here. It's a contusion. This one is reddish purple. It's fairly small. It's in the same line as her bra, her bra line. And this is -- because it has color in its appearance, it's more likely to be antemortem. This is an injury that occurred before death.
- Q. Would you describe this as a -- as some sort of sharp force injury or blunt force injury?
- A. This is blunt. There's no break in the skin. This is just impact, either something impacted her or she's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

impacted some other blunt object.

- Okay. Would it be consistent with someone taking a fist, for instance, and striking her with a closed or open fist of some sort, or would it be consistent with her having been pushed up against an object to cause a bruise, or what sorts of actions would be consistent with having caused these injuries?
- It's not patterned enough to say that this looks Α. like a fist or a slap. It's more nonspecific. It's more banging up against something. It's your typical bruise that you might get just from bumping up against something.
  - 0. Perhaps the side of a car?
  - Could be. Α.
  - Ο. A trunk lid, some sort of object of that nature?
  - Α. Yes.
  - Again, that's prior to death, correct? 0.
  - A. Yes.
- State's Exhibit Number 62, if you would, take this Ο. please, Doctor, and describe to the members of the jury the type of injury.
- This is -- occurred left arm, closer to left shoulder. It has been pulled across the chest, and you're looking at a bruise outside back of that left upper arm. And again, this is a bruise. It's larger than the other one. It's purplish, reddish purple, bluish. It's all consistent

. 

with being an antemortem or before death bruise. Again, it's blunt force injury, bumping up against something.

- Q. Now, when we look at State's Exhibit 61 and 62, you told us that you believe that they occurred prior to death.

  Would you have an opinion as to whether or not these are recent bruises, or did they occur days before Ms.

  Cunningham's death?
- A. No, these look like fresh -- fresh bruises. Could have been minutes before her death to not days -- days you start to see changes in the bruise.
  - Q. Does the appearance and coloring change over time --
  - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. -- the bruises? Would these bruises be consistent with having been produced at or near the time of her death?
  - A. They're consistent with that, yes.
  - Q. Go ahead and have a seat.

(Witness retakes the stand.)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) I want to talk to you a bit more about the gunshot wound, Dr. Duval. You've told us that you believe it was loose contact. Can you tell us the path that the bullet took once it entered Ms. Cunningham?
- A. So it enters the scalp just behind the hairline, on the right side. It perforates the underlying skull bone, frontal bone. It perforates the brain -- the frontal lobe of the brain, just deep to that. It keeps traveling slightly

downwards, slightly backwards, perforating the left temporal lobe of the brain so it crosses the midline and strikes the left side of the brain as well. Strikes a bone on the floor of the skull, and then becomes imbedded in that same left temporal lobe of the brain. And that's where I recovered the bullet from. So the path of the bullet is right to left, slightly downwards, and slightly front to back.

- Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether this particular gunshot wound would have caused the death of Ms. Cunningham?
  - A. Yes, it did cause the death of Ms. Cunningham.
- Q. Do you have an opinion about how quickly death would have occurred in this case? For instance, do you believe that Ms. Cunningham in all likelihood died instantly, or is it medically probable that she remained conscious and alert for a period of time?
- A. It's been my experience and experience of others, and in fact there are several case reports of individuals sustaining these small caliber gunshot wounds to the head that affect the front half of the brain, and they remain conscious and able to shoot themselves again in a suicide type attempt, or just recover. Usually they're left with severe neurological deficits, major problems, but they can recover, they can remain breathing spontaneously for some time. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. During the time that Ms. Cunningham would

\_\_\_

have remained conscious, do you have an opinion as to whether she still would have had the ability to feel physical pain?

- A. I believe she would.
- Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to the nature and to the degree of the pain that she would have been suffering as a result of this gunshot wound?
- A. I don't think I can quantitate how much pain she was feeling. I believe she could have remained conscious for several minutes. And when you're conscious, you feel pain.

  And so whatever other injuries she sustained at that time, the pain from the scalp and the wound in the scalp, she would feel.
- Q. And while conscious, would she be aware of her surroundings for instance?
  - A. Probably, yes.
- Q. Assuming that -- assuming that she had been shot in the trunk of an automobile and that trunk was then closed on her while she's conscious, for instance, would she have the ability to appreciate that she was now locked inside of a trunk?
  - A. I believe she would.
- Q. You mentioned a moment ago the toxicology tests are run routinely on bodies. Were they run in this case?
  - A. Yes, they were.
  - Q. Can you tell us the types of tests that were run?

- A. We routinely test for alcohol, such as ethanol, the alcohol we drink; cannabinoids which is marijuana; and general drug screen, looking for different classes of drugs, as well as an opiate screen looking for heroin and other drugs in that family. We look for cocaine. We look generally for drugs of abuse. We also pick up a number of different prescription and non-prescription medications.
- Q. In this case, the screen for marijuana or cannabinoid, was it negative or was it positive?
  - A. It was negative.
  - Q. No signs of any drug use, was there?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. As a matter of fact, you ran a complete drug screen and that also came back negative, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, when you looked at alcohols and acetones, did you find a small amount of ethanol alcohol?
- A. There was a very small amount of ethanol, 0.01 percent. This is -- I believe it's attributed to decomposition. We see low levels of alcohol with decomposition because of the bacterial action and they produce alcohol. It's equivalent -- if you're thinking about drinks and blood level, it's equivalent to less than one drink of alcohol, less than one beer, less than one shot of liquor, less than one glass of wine. It's a very small

amount, and I believe it's postmortem.

2

3

Q. So in this case you believe that that resulted from the decomposition, having been out in the conditions for some time before the body was brought in to your lab; is that

4

right?

5

6

A. That's right.

, 7

Q. Now, during the autopsy itself, did you recover the bullet that had caused that gunshot wound to Ms. Cunningham?

9

8

A. Yes.

1.0

MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.

11

THE COURT: You may.

12

Q. (By Mr. Davis) Doctor, let me show you now what is

13

marked as State's Exhibit 63A, and if you would take a look at that object and tell me whether or not this is the bullet

14 15

that you recovered during the autopsy of Ms. Cunningham.

16

A. It is. As I described it, it's a small caliber

17

deformed lead bullet. I inscribed the case number and my

1.8

initials on the base of the bullet, and I filled out this

it to the crime lab myself. This is the same bullet.

19

envelope, placed it in the envelope, sealed it, and submitted

20

21

Q. Okay. Thank you.

22

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will offer State's Exhibit 63A.

23

(State's Exhibit No. 63A offered)

24 25

MR. BYCK: No objection to 63A.

THE COURT: Admitted. 1 (State's Exhibit No. 63A admitted) 2 MR. DAVIS: May I publish briefly? 3 THE COURT: You may. 4 (By Mr. Davis) Again, this is a .22 caliber bullet; 5 0. is that correct? Is that your opinion, or do you have an 6 7 opinion as to the caliber? Small caliber. It's consistent with a .22 caliber 8 Α. 9 bullet. Doctor, based upon the findings of your autopsy, as 10 Ο. well as the history provided to you, again, did you come to a 11 conclusion as to the cause of death in this matter? 12 Yes, I did. 13 Α. And can you tell us what opinions did you form? 14 I believe Bertie Cunningham died of a gunshot wound 15 Α. to the head, and that it's a homicide. 16 And her death then would have been consistent with 17 0. having been shot with a firearm; is that correct? 18 19 Α. That's correct. Did you feel since her body had been found in water, 20 that drowning caused any part of her death after review of 21 all the material? 22 Well, like I said, people can survive for a period 23 Α. of time, these small caliber gunshot wounds to the head if it 24 doesn't affect the vital structures in the brain. So if you 25

MR. DAVIS: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.

MR. BYCK: May it please the Court.

### Cross-Examination

By Mr. Byck:

2.0

- Q. Dr. Duval, my name is Michael Byck, and I represent Jim Murphy in this trial. I have not been to medical school. I have absolutely no background in the hard sciences, so if I ask you a naive question or an untutored question, please tutor me, if you would be so kind.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Doctor, I want to prove that Bertie Cunningham died of drowning. What medical evidence is there to prove that? First of all, let's start at the beginning. What would you expect to find in an individual who had died by drowning? What physical evidence would you expect to find that you could show in a picture or show to the jury or show to me?
- A. Well, as I'm sure you're aware, there are no good pathologic findings of drowning. When we determine the cause of death to be drowning, a lot of it is based on circumstance. There are some changes that go along with drowning, such as heavy wet lungs from inhaling water, such as water in the stomach, such as water in the sinuses of the brain, the air spaces in the brain being filled with water. These things go along with drowning, but you can also see them in people that have died and then been dumped in water. Also, people can drown and have dry lungs, because the

larynx, the upper part of your airway can just reflexly close. It's a defense mechanism so you don't inhale water.

And then you essentially suffocate because you still can't get air. So there are no good hard and fast medical findings in drowning.

- Q. Are there any good hard and fast medical findings in the autopsy of Bertie Cunningham that would show drowning?
  - A. That would show drowning?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. No, her lungs were not particularly heavy. They were a little bit heavy, but that can also be from pulmonary edema or fluid in the lungs from having the brain injury.

  There was no water in the stomach. In fact, the gastric contents were pretty dry. There was a dry food bullous. The sinuses in the brain and with the nose, they were filled with blood from the gunshot wound, so I don't have good evidence that she drowned, except that she was clearly in the water.

  We have the postmortem animal activity that also shows that she was face down in the water or at least the left side of her face was in the water. So I can't conclude that she drowned. I can only speculate that it may have contributed to her death.
  - Q. Okay. And that by your word is speculation?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. It has no medical foundation, no medical basis aside

close contact wound to the head, and the bullet, if I

from the body being found in the water, of course?

2

A. That's true.

3

Q. Okay. Now, you said that it was a gunshot wound,

4 5

understood you correctly, did not hit any vital structures in

6

the brain?

7

A. Well, your brain is vital, but there's some parts of the brain that are more vital than others. There's the brain

8

stem which controls your respiration or your breathing,

10

controls your heart rate, it controls everything. If there's

11

a injury to the brain stem, you're going to die instantly.

12

Q. Was there an injury to the brain stem?

13

14

Q. And you said in small caliber gunshot wounds like

this, that it is possible for the individual to remain alive

15

for a matter of minutes?

No.

16

A. Or longer.

Α.

17 18

Q. Or longer.

19

A. In -- sometimes in a comatose state.

20

Q. Would -- do you have any evidence to show that Ms.

Cunningham was alive for any amount of time after that bullet

21

Cunningham was alive for any amount of time after that bulled penetrated her skull and wound up on the other side of it?

22

Is there any evidence at all?

24

25

A. Well, she did develop some bruising on the base of the brain, and that is a consequence of the brain swelling.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

And the brain is confined in the skull, so that when it swells, it gets pushed against the hard surfaces of the base of the skull. So there had to be time for the brain to swell, time for these bruises on the base of the brain to develop, so I do believe she survived for a few minutes anyway.

- 0. Were those bruises noted in your autopsy?
- Α. Yes, they are.
- 0. And where are they noted?
- Α. Page 3.
- 0. Uh-huh.
- "Associated injuries," the fourth paragraph down. There I describe herniation contusions of the bilateral parahippocampal gyri, and fracture contusions of the bilateral inferior frontal lobes.
- Now, is there any possible way you can tell this jury how probable it was that she survived for a couple of minutes or any amount of time, or would that be a relatively rare thing with the profound injury that she had with that bullet?
- Well, it's not rare. I've had several cases of A. individuals that have shot themselves more than once in the I've coauthored a paper on it. And we then -literature search and found other cases reported in the literature. So it's not -- I wouldn't call it rare.

1 | uncommon, but it's not rare.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So it's not common at all?
- A. Okay. Not common.
- Q. And in all the literature that you researched very carefully for your professional paper, you only found a couple of other cases?
  - A. No, we found several others.
  - O. Well, how many is several?
- A. Probably a dozen, maybe 15 to 20. I'd have to pull the paper.
  - Q. Out of how many possible gunshot wound homicides?
- A. Well, people don't report ordinary gunshot wounds so I can't give you the denominator.
- Q. Okay. You do see the importance in what I'm asking, don't you?
- A. Yeah, I mean, I -- there's just no way to know for certain if she survived. I'm saying it's possible, and I've seen it personally.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. And --
- Q. But it is again a mere possibility, and not a probability, right?
- A. Okay. I'll give you that. It's a -- it's a good possibility. Is it the most likely possibility? Maybe not.
  - Q. Okay. Doctor, finally -- well, perhaps not finally,

but you listed the cause of death as a gunshot wound to the head?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Right? Not drowning in water?
- A. In my conclusion in my report I state that drowning may have contributed to her death.
- Q. Okay. And the conclusion in your report -- is the possibility that you mentioned a very important possibility?
  - A. Important in terms of?
- Q. Important to communicating to the District Attorney the cause of death, important to communicating to the jury exactly what killed Ms. Cunningham? Was it important?
- A. It's important enough that I put it in my conclusion.
- Q. Would it be important enough to put in your death certificate that you sent to the Judge Ozelle Wilcoxson?
- A. It's not -- that's not a death certificate we send. The death certificate in out of county cases is completed by the justice of the peace. We are just a consultant. So I am --
  - Q. Just a second, Doctor.
    - MR. BYCK: May I have this document marked?

      (Defendant's Exhibit No. 9 marked)
- Q. (By Mr. Byck) Please pardon me for interrupting you, Doctor. You were saying that it wasn't important enough

MR. BYCK: Permission to publish, Your Honor.

24

25

Q.

Okay.

1	THE COURT: Granted.
2	MR. BYCK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
3	this is Defense Exhibit Number 9. It speaks for itself.
4	Q. (By Mr. Byck) So there was no brain stem injury; is
5	that correct?
6	A. None that I could see grossly.
7	Q. If you suspect a brain stem injury, is there
8	something more that you can do than gross examination?
9	A. There's subtle things that can happen in the brain
10	with any kind of trauma that we can't see by looking at the
11	brain. All I can say is that half of the bullet was not
12	close to the brain stem.
13	MR. BYCK: I have no further questions of this
14	witness.
15	MR. DAVIS: No further questions, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. You may step
17	down. You may be excused, subject to recall.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	MR. DAVIS: The State will call Lonnie
20	Lannie Emanuel.
21	THE COURT: Good morning. Ask you to raise
22	your right hand.
23	(Witness sworn.)
24	LANNIE EMANUEL
25	was called as a witness by the State and, after having been
	$\Pi$

first duly sworn, testified as follows:

# Direct Examination

2

3

By Mr. Davis:

Α.

- 4
- Sir, would you please tell us your full name? Q.
- 5
- Lannie G. Emanuel. Α.

6

And how are you employed? 0.

7

I'm employed with the Southwestern Institute of Α. Forensic Sciences. That's sometimes referred to as SWIFS or

9

8

the Dallas County Crime Laboratory.

10

What position do you hold out there? Ο.

11

I'm a firearm and toolmark examiner. Α.

12

Can you tell us just a little bit about what a Ο.

13 14 firearms and a toolmark examiner does? Some of my duties would include the examination of

15

firearms, examination of ammunition and ammunition components, microscopic examination of questioned bullets,

matches, and examination of security devices.

16

cartridge cases, and tookmarks. I'm also responsible for 17

18

serial number restorations, distance determinations, fraction

19

20

Can you briefly tell us your professional 0. educational background and training?

21

My formal training in firearm and tookmark 22

23

identification came while I was on active duty with the U.S.

24

Army. This was a two-year resident court of instruction that began in 1979. After successful completion of the course, I

- Q. Sir, specifically in this case was an autopsy bullet submitted to you for examination?
  - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. State's Exhibit Number 63A, a bullet, should be in front of you. Do you see it up there?
  - A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Is that the bullet that you were asked to examine in this matter?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. First of all, Mr. Emanuel, were you able to determine the caliber of that bullet?
- A. Yes, I was.
  - Q. And what were your findings?
- A. My examination revealed that this is consistent with a .22 caliber bullet.
  - Q. What other findings did you make in this case with

regard to that particular bullet?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another examination that we would conduct is try to determine the class characteristics of the bullet. words, this bullet has been fired through a weapon, and the barrel will leave certain marks on the bullet and these marks -- the combination of marks will allow us to determine a variety of different guns -- of weapons that the bullet possibly could have been fired through. And I did that in this case.

- Now, if we had a weapon, if we had a .22 caliber 0. pistol in our possession, would you be able to make some determination about whether that particular bullet was fired from a particular .22 caliber pistol?
  - Α. Yes.
  - How would you do that?
- That would be based on the individual Α. characteristics that the barrel leaves on the bullet as it's Though microscopic in nature, we use a comparison microscope to take a known bullet fired through a suspect weapon and compare it to the questioned bullet or the marks on the questioned bullet. Provided there are sufficient marks left on the bullet itself, we can make a determination as to whether or not it was fired in that particular gun.
- Was a weapon ever submitted to you for comparison Ο. purposes with State's Exhibit Number 63A?

THE COURT: You may.

22

23

24

25

(Copy given to defense counsel.)

MS. BALIDO: Judge, may I have one second.

THE COURT: You may.

2 -3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- (By Ms. Balido) Let me ask you a question, Mr. 0. Emanuel, about -- about some of your training and kind of what you do to keep up with the literature at SWIFS. Are there periodicals that you read and articles that you read regarding handgun and handgun safety?
- On a daily basis I do an examination of weapons, and Α. that leads to questions sometimes that I go into reference and what we would call research. And in answer to your question, yes, in that nature.
- Okay. In your research or in your reading that you Q. do for your profession, have you ever come across a term called "sympathetic firing"?
  - Sympathetic discharge? Yes. Α.
- Sympathetic discharge. Can you explain to the jury Q. what that is?
- Sympathetic discharge is a term that describes a Α. condition when a revolver would have a cylinder loaded with ammunition. I don't know if everyone is familiar with a revolver, but it's a handgun that has a cylinder and there's holes drilled in the cylinder and each hole has ammunition in it. For the weapon to fire, the ammunition has to be brought in line with the barrel. Behind that there's a hammer that then strikes the cartridge causing it to fire, forcing the bullet out of the barrel. When you have a sympathetic discharge, you have a fire and the recoil of the weapon will

actually cause the cylinder to be forced back against the frame and sometimes, if all conditions are right, the weapon can actually fire from another one of the holes in the cylinder not aligned with the barrel.

- Q. Let me also ask you if you have come into contact with the situation where sometimes someone could be holding -- and maybe this may be just -- we're talking about two different things. Let me ask you this. Have you ever in your literature heard about the term "unintentional discharge"?
  - A. That is a term that I have used from time to time.
- Q. Okay. And is it consistent with the way that you've used it that in some situations and most of the research is done on actual police officer shooting -- shooting another individual, that it can be the situation that someone is holding a gun with one hand and either grabbing for or doing something with the other hand and there's an unintentional discharge on the hand that's holding the gun?
- A. When you say unintentional discharge, the way I would use that term is actually it's the shooter's intent and that's normally something that a firearms examiner -- there's no examination that I could do to determine the shooter's intent. The weapon discharged. And if it's unintentional, perhaps the shooter did not intend to fire the weapon, but then it did.

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And so like you said, that's something a firearms person would not be involved in, the actual intent of the shooter?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay.

MS. BALIDO: I'll pass the witness.

THE COURT: Anything further from the State?

MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir.

#### Redirect Examination

By Mr. Davis:

- Q. As I understand the pistol, the trigger has to be pulled before the gun discharges, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. So an individual has to put a finger on that trigger and actually has to pull the trigger back before it will discharge and actually fire?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, as I understood when Ms. Balido talked to you about sympathetic discharge, did I understand you to say that that would occur after the trigger had been pulled once?
- A. That sympathetic discharge, as I described earlier, occurs at the exact moment of firing. The cartridge case is struck by the hammer, which ignites the powder inside the cartridge case which causes a tremendous release of gases which is actually what forces the bullet out the barrel.

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

There's a reaction to that bullet being forced out the barrel in that the cylinder will be forced back or slapped back against the frame of the revolver. And so a lot of times when the sympathetic discharge will occur, it will give the appearance that it was at the same time, but you actually have two cartridges fired, one bullet going down the barrel, the other going out beside or actually striking the front part of the frame or where the barrel is attached to the frame.

- All right. So this second bullet in a chamber 0. that's not aligned, okay, that will not fire on its own unless the individual holding that pistol actually pulls the trigger to discharge the bullet that is aligned, correct?
  - That is correct. Α.
  - Thank you, sir. Q.

That's all the questions. MR. DAVIS:

THE COURT: Ms. Balido.

# Recross-Examination

By Ms. Balido:

Again, Mr. Emanuel, it must be that I'm -- that where my confusion is I may be saying wrong and I'm certainly no firearms expert and I haven't read all the literature about this.

We talked about sympathetic discharge, and then we also talked about the unintentional firing. Let me ask you

if you're aware of -- under the term of unintentional firing, if you have read anything or are aware of anything about what is called sympathetic contraction? What I'm talking about, when the muscles of one limb exert a maximum or near maximum force, the same muscles in the opposite limb can be involuntary activated as well. Have you heard of that?

- A. I think I understand what you're talking about now.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Which is the sympathetic discharge was completely off of what you were asking.
- Q. Okay. And like I say, I'm not an expert so I don't know.
- A. Yes, and I don't know the exact term for that. I have been to a class and had exposure to training. And what she's discussing is at one time police officers were not trained to have their guns drawn with finger off trigger.

  And there were unintentional shootings that had occurred during scuffles where people were actually being placed under apprehension or for whatever reason, and as they were grabbing with one hand, they would also grab the other, which would cause the weapon to fire. And at this point, I know that I believe Dallas Police Department trains finger off trigger so when they draw the weapon, they don't place their finger on the trigger until they intend to fire the weapon. That prevents the sympathetic discharge like -- like you were

describing, I think.

Q. Okay. So -- so as far as you know in your information, this was such a situation that police agencies had to train their officers to do something different to keep from this sympathetic discharge or this sympathetic contraction of the muscles to cause an unintentional firing of the weapon?

A. That is correct.

MS. BALIDO: Pass the witness.

## Further Redirect Examination

By Mr. Davis:

- Q. Sir, pistols have trigger guards, don't they --
- A. Yes, they do.
- Q. -- as a rule? And what's the purpose of a trigger quard?
- A. A trigger guard is just as it sounds. It's to guard the trigger, keep something from coming in contact with the trigger and causing the weapon to fire when you don't want it to.
- Q. Such as a situation perhaps as Ms. Balido has termed "sympathetic contraction," correct?
- A. If -- if -- for the sympathetic contraction and again I don't know if that's the exact terminology, but for what we're discussing, for that to actually produce a weapon to fire -- cause a weapon to fire, the trigger finger would

have to be in -- on the trigger, inside the trigger guard.

- Uh-huh. Because if it's outside, it can't make contact with the trigger, can it?
- That's correct. The finger would then squeeze against the weapon trigger guard.
- So even in that occurrence, for that gun to discharge, that individual still has to have his finger on that trigger in a position to pull it back, correct?
  - That is correct.

MR. DAVIS: No further questions, Your Honor.

MS. BALIDO: Just a couple more questions,

### Further Recross-Examination

By Ms. Balido:

- In your report you mentioned that there was a number of guns that this bullet could have been shot through.
  - Yes.
- Okay. How many -- is there any way of telling how many different kinds of guns?
- There were -- I believe I counted about 22 or 24 Α. different manufacturers that came up out of the database.
  - Okay. 0.

20

21

22

23

24

- There could be others. Α.
- Okay. And since you don't have the gun itself, there's no way of knowing the amount of pressure that would

Sir, would you please tell us your full name?

James Stewart Rogers.

24

25

0.

Α.

Mr. Rogers, how are you employed? Ο.

2

3

4 Ο.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Forensic investigator with the Garland Police Department.
- Fist of all, how long have you been with the Garland Police Department?
  - Approximately seven years. Α.
- I believe you are a forensic investigator; is that Q. correct?
  - Correct. Α.
  - How long have you been a forensic investigator? Ο.
- Well, that title came to our department about two years ago. Before that we were crime scene search technicians. I also did the same job for about three years at Wichita Falls PD.
- So as I understand you've been doing this type of Q. work for approximately five years?
  - Ten years. Α.
  - Ten years in all. Good. Q.

Tell us first of all what are your duties and responsibilities -- what type, what type of actions do you take out there for the police department?

Well, I go to a crime scene. I photograph. I document any evidence's location. I collect any physical evidence. I process items and areas for fingerprints. Basically any kind of physical evidence.

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- What type of training have you received through your Ο. present position?
- I received training through the police station itself, through the FBI, through Texas D.P.S., Secret Service, several private training facilities.
- As I understood part of your duties then would be to go to a crime scene. First of all, would you document the crime scene as best you could?
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- At times do you collect evidence if it's available at the crime scene?
  - Α. Yes, sir, I do.
- And then would you collect other items such as Q. fingerprints if you can find them?
  - Α. Yes.
- Are there times when you might be asked to -- to run tests to detect possible blood evidence?
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- And then finally are there occasions when you might go to a crime scene and actually collect blood samples in some way?
  - Yes, sir, there are. Α.
- Officer Rogers, I want to direct your attention Q. first back to Thursday, October the 5th of 2000, ask you whether at approximately 4:00 p.m. if you went to 1718

- 2
- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 3
- Q. What was the purpose of your trip to that location?
- 4
- A. To collect any evidence that might be found.
- 5
- Q. As part of -- as part of that, did you have an occasion to go into the bathroom area of that home?
- 6
- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 8
- Q. And once inside the bathroom, did you do certain
- 10

- tests on any items there in the bathroom?

  A. Yes, sir. I was asked to first perform a visual
- 11
- search on the bathtub area, shower area, see if I could visually detect any blood. And then after that point I was
- 12 13
- requested to use the chemical for presumptive blood tests.
- 14
- Q. First of all, when you looked at this bathtub, could you visibly see any blood?
- 15
- A. No, sir.
- 16 17
- Q. You then made a decision or you were requested to do some testing to try to detect blood that wasn't visible; is
- 19

18

A. Yes, sir.

that right?

- 2021
- Q. What particular kind of tests did you do out there?
- 22
- A. I applied a chemical called luminal to the bathtub and shower wall.
- 23
- Q. And when you -- when you apply luminal, if blood is going to be present there that you can't actually see, will
- 25

- (By Mr. Davis) Officer Rogers, showing you State's Exhibit Number 75, is this a photograph of the bathtub that you took there on Barclay?
  - Α. Yes, sir, it is.

24

25

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we would

Q.

Okay.

- A. -- of the defendant.
- Q. All right. At any time did that -- did that individual give you any empty bottles of Tequila, gin, or champagne?
  - A. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

23

- Q. Did you observe any bottles of that nature while you were in the residence?
  - A. Not that I recall, no, sir.
  - Q. About how long did you stay at Barclay?
  - A. Oh, probably an hour, maybe an hour and a half.
- Q. Did you -- did you on that date also go to a location at 2023 Portsmouth in Richardson, Texas?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. The purpose of your visit to that location was what?
  - A. To collect a Go-Ped and clothes of the person that lived there.
- Q. Did you find a Go-Ped at that location?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Did you take it?
  - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you also take some clothing?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Did you make any inspection of the outside portion of the house?
- 25 A. No.

- Okay. Did you do anything else at that location?
- No, I photographed the Go-Ped and the clothes and
- Directing your attention forward now to October the 6th. This will be a Friday. At some point did you have an
  - Do you remember about what time that you went there?
  - I believe I was called in about 3:45 in the morning.
- Uh-huh. And do you recall, did some member of the Garland Police Department ask you to go to Edgewood?
- Do you remember whether or not it was Detective
- It was my supervisor. He called me at home, said that I needed to accompany him to that location.
- Do you remember the location in Edgewood that you first went to?
- Not by address, no. We had to be led there by a Α. deputy.
  - Did you actually go to a house first? 0.
  - Α. Yes, sir.

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Sometime later did you go to another Q.

1 | location in Edgewood?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yeah, we went to a creek bed.
- Q. And were other police officers already there when you got there?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, what time of day or night was it when you got out there to the creek, would you estimate?
  - A. It was about 6 o'clock in the morning.
  - Q. Still dark outside?
  - A. Very much so.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to examine the crime scene and to inspect it?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. At the time that you got there, did you see a body lying in the creek?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.
  - Q. So the body had not yet been removed; is that right?
- 18 A. That is correct.
  - Q. What's the first thing that you did then when you got to the crime scene there at the creek?
  - A. Well, the first thing I did, I talked to the officers that were already there to see if they had seen anything I did not see. Then I basically searched the area with flashlights because it was -- like I said, it was very dark.

To kind of orient the jury, again, State's Exhibit

25

Q.

(No response.) Α.

3

(Exhibit published to jury.)

4

5

(By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit Number 32, does this Q. show in greater detail the boulder or the large rock that's

6

shown in State's Exhibit 31?

7

Yes, sir. Α.

8

First of all, as we start -- as we start looking at this rock, is there any object on this rock that caught your

10

9

attention in particular?

11

Yes, sir, there's a section of hair caught on the

12

rock here.

13 14

Basically in the middle portion of the rock there? Did you actually collect that hair for further analysis later

15

on?

Α. Yes, sir.

16 17

Now, State's Exhibit Number 33, this photograph,

18

would it be fair to say is now taken from the other side of the creek looking across the creek toward the culvert and the

20

19

rocks that we've just been looking at?

21

Yes, sir. Α.

22

The body that's depicted in State's Exhibit Number Q. 33, would it be fair to say that this body is down low in the

23

same area as the culvert and the rock?

24 25

Yes, sir, it's almost directly beneath. Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

(Exhibit published to jury.)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) The body is still in the water then; is that what we're seeing?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
- Could you tell whether a portion of the face was actually underwater when you first saw the body?
  - Α. Yes, sir, it was.
- While you were out there, Officer Rogers, did you Ο. see any signs of aquatic action, in particular any turtles or any other --
- Yes, sir, there was a large snapping turtle at the position of the body.
- Finally, State's Exhibit Number 34, is this a closer view of the body that shows that portion of the body that's actually under the water?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
  - How close to the body was this snapping turtle? Q.
- It was -- it appeared to me to be taking a bite out Α. of her arm when I saw it so --
  - How large a turtle are we talking about? Q.
- Across the shell was probably at least a foot and a half.
- How long did you -- did you stay at that particular Q. location at the creek?
  - Probably two, two and a half hours.

When you -- when you left that particular crime 1 scene, did you go back to the Garland Police Department? 2 Yes, sir. 3 Α. And at that point were you asked to process a 4 vehicle for possible physical evidence? 5 6 Α. Yes, sir. And in the process of that examination, did you take 7 photographs of the automobile? 8 Yes, sir, I did. 9 Α. MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 (By Mr. Davis) First, Officer Rogers, the 12 0. automobile that you were asked to process there on October 13 the 6th, is it shown in State's Exhibit Number 7, the 14 four-door silver Honda Accord? 15 Yes, sir, it is. 16 Α. Looking at photographs that are marked now State's 17 Exhibit 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, and 84, are these 18 photographs that you took of the Honda as you processed it on 19 October the 6th, the year 2000? 20 Yes, sir. 21 Α. MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will 22 offer State's Exhibits 77 through 84. 23 (State's Exhibit No. 77 through 84 offered) 24 MS. BALIDO: No objection. 25

THE COURT: Admitted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

(State's Exhibit No. 77 through 84 admitted)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) First of all, looking at State's Exhibit Number 77, the first photographs that we'll look at, would it be fair to say that they show the trunk area of that automobile?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is there any particular items that are shown there in State's Exhibit Number 77, any blood evidence, other physical evidence that you later collected for analysis?
- A. Yes, sir, in between these two bolts what we believed to be blood.
  - Q. This is with the trunk lid up; is that right?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. The trunk itself, did it have several items inside still?
    - A. Yes, sir, it did.
  - Q. State's Exhibit Number 78, would this further show a -- a portion of the trunk seal or the rim area of that trunk?
    - A. Yes, sir.
      - O. And do we also see evidence of blood there?
- 23 A. Yes.

(Exhibit published to jury.)

Q. (By Mr. Davis) And again, the blood that you

- observed here on the trunk lid, did you collect that and later submit that to the Texas Department of Public Safety lab for analysis?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. State's Exhibit Number 79, does this show the trunk as it was when you first opened the lid?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Some of the items that the jury can see here, what would they be? Is there any clothing in there, for instance?
- A. Yes, sir, there's several items of clothing, bags from stores, considerable amount of blood.
- Q. And as I understand, State's Exhibit Number 79 was taken before any of those items was moved; is that right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. State's Exhibit Number 80 then, does this show the condition of the trunk once you started to move some of those items?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - (Exhibits published to jury.)
- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Once you had moved some of the items, did blood become apparent to you?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I want to now show you some of the photographs of the interior portion of that automobile. First of all, State's Exhibit Number 81, would this be the back floorboard

- area? Specifically, would this be the -- behind the driver's side, the rear compartment?
  - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. The item that I'm now pointing to, Officer Rogers, what's shown in that photograph?
  - A. It's a bottle of Hennessy whiskey.
  - Q. Was there still whiskey or liquid in that bottle?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Officer Rogers, do you recall whether there were any other whiskey bottles or containers for alcoholic beverages inside the vehicle?
- A. Yes, sir, I believe there were a few beer cans as well.
- Q. State's Exhibit 82, does this show the front two seats of the Honda?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The item that's contained there on the console, what are we looking at?
  - A. It's a cigarette package.
- Q. State's Exhibit Number 83, does that show in greater detail the cigarette pack that's in the console area?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Did you collect that for later analysis?
- A. Yes, sir, I did.
  - Q. Looking now at State's Exhibit Number 84, what

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- That's the car door area on the front driver's door. Α.
- All right. So on the driver's side door was there a cargo holder or some area where you could place items?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
- The items that we're seeing here in general what were those items that were contained in that particular holder?
  - It's a checkbook register. Α.
  - Again, that's on the driver's side? Ο.
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- Okay. Officer Rogers, I want to now talk to you for Ο. a while about some of the items that you recovered and collected in the automobile from the trunk area if we could begin there. First of all, did you collect a tan-colored purse from the trunk area?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
- Sir, if you would, if you'd look at State's Exhibit Number 83, does this appear to be the tan-colored purse that you retrieved from the trunk of that automobile?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
  - Is that -- what's this purse made out of? How --Q.
  - I'd say a woven nylon. Α.
- Were there still some items in this purse when you Q. recovered it?

1	A. Yes.
2	MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will
3	offer State's Exhibit Number 83 with the contents thereof.
4	MS. MILLER: 83 is already a photo
5	MR. BYCK: 83 is a photograph.
6	MR. DAVIS: Okay. This would be 83A.
7	(State's Exhibit No. 83A offered)
8	MS. BALIDO: Judge, if I could see it just for
9	a moment.
10	MR. BYCK: We have no objection to 83A.
11	MR. DAVIS: Make it 83A.
12	THE COURT: Admitted.
13	(State's Exhibit No. 83A admitted)
14	MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: You may.
16	Q. (By Mr. Davis) Let me show you now what's been
17	marked as State's Exhibit Number 86. Do you recognize this
18	brown leather billfold?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Was this actually contained in State's Exhibit
21	Number 83A at the time that you recovered it?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will
24	offer State's Exhibit Number 86.
25	(State's Exhibit No. 86 offered)

1 MR. BYCK: Briefly on voir dire, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Granted. 3 Voir Dire Examination 4 By Mr. Byck: 5 Sir, let me show you State's Exhibit 86, and I want 6 you to look at these items contained therein. Were these 7 items in State's 86 when you recovered it from State's 83A? 8 I believe so, sir. 9 MR. BYCK: No further questions. No objection to 86. 10 11 THE COURT: Admitted. 12 (State's Exhibit No. 86 admitted) (By Mr. Davis) Now, State's Exhibit Number 83A, the 13 Q. 14 purse, first of all, I believe you testified that there were 15 several -- several items inside that purse at the time it was 16 recovered, correct? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Sir, do we see several different cards, one being a 19 Foley's credit card, for instance, in the name of Bertie L. 20 Cunningham inside of that purse? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Do we see a health insurance card with the name of 23 Bertie L. Cunningham? Yes, sir. 24 Α. Okay. Is there a another card, appears to be an ATM 25

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

card for Washington Mutual under the name of Bertie L. Cunningham, correct?

- Α. Yes, sir.
- Be fair to say that there are several other personal 0. items, including glasses, pieces of paper, and other cosmetic items inside the purse?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
- State's Exhibit Number 86, the leather billfold, Ο. again, does it contain a card, handwritten card inside with the name of Bertie Cunningham, 2749 Laurel Oaks, Garland, Texas, with the zip, with the phone number, with the notation Mary Shelton -- I'm sorry, Mary E. Shelton with the address of 3119 Big Oaks in Garland?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
- Now, at the present time the billfold does not contain any money, does it?
  - Α. No, sir.
- It does contain several photographs as well as cards again bearing the name of, in one instance, Orville Cunningham, and B.L. Cunningham, correct?
  - Α. Yes.
- Did you remove any money from the billfold at the time that you seized it?
  - I don't believe so, no, sir. Α.
  - So that I'm clear, did this billfold contain any Q.

MR. DAVIS: We'll offer State's Exhibits 88 and 89 at this time.

(State's Exhibit No. 88 and 89 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection, 88 and 89.

THE COURT: Admitted.

21

22

23

24

(State's Exhibit No. 88 and 89 admitted) 1 (By Mr. Davis) And, again, Officer Rogers, as we 2 Q. look at these two exhibits, both of them bear the name of 3 Bertie Cunningham; is that right? 4 Yes, sir. 5 Α. Is there still -- does there appear to be something 6 contained in State's Exhibit Number 89? 7 Yes, sir, it appears that there was money. It's a 8 Α. church donation so I didn't take it out of there. 9 Does it bear the notation of budget fund, \$110; 10 United We Build, \$40, for a total amount enclosed \$150? 11 Yes, sir. 12 Α. Sir, let me show you two receipts. First receipt 13 marked State's Exhibit 90. The second receipt marked as 14 State's Exhibit 94. Were these two items also recovered --15 recovered from the trunk of the automobile? 16 Yes, sir. 17 Α. MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will 18 offer State's Exhibit Number 90, which is a JC Penney's 19 receipt, and State's Exhibit 94, which is a Dillard's 20 21 receipt. 22 23

(State's Exhibit No. 90 and 94 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection to 90, 94.

THE COURT: Both admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 90 and 94 admitted)

24

(By Mr. Davis) First of all, let's look at the 1 Q. Dillard's receipt. Does that show a date, Officer Rogers? 2 It's faint, but does it show a date of 10-4-2000, with the 3 time of 11:55 on it? 4 Yes, sir. 5 Α. Total purchase amount there is what, \$46 and --6 Ο. 55 cents. 7 Α. -- and 55 cents. 8 Ο. Secondly, the JC Penney's receipt, which is State's 9 Exhibit Number 90, sir, is that -- does that show a time of 10 2:55 p.m.? Is that correct? 11 Yes, sir, it is. 12 Okay. Does it show that the date again is 13 Q. 10-4-2000? 14 Yes, sir. 15 Α. Does it show an amount of \$32.73? 16 Q. 17 Yes, sir, it does. Α. And the item, quantity one, does it show to be a 18 short robe? 19 20 Yes, sir. Α. Does it indicate that the purchase was made with a 21 22 Discover Card? 23 Α. Yes, sir. And some of the numbers are blanked out on that, 24

25

correct --

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- with X's?

Officer Rogers, if we look at the numbers that still appear on this receipt, State's Exhibit Number 90, do the numbers 7884 follow a long series of X's?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Looking at State's Exhibit Number 4, which is a Discover Card issued to Frances Louise Connor, can you please read for the members of the jury the last four numbers that appear on that card?
  - A. 7884.
- Q. So that the last four numbers of that credit card match the numbers showing on the JC Penney receipt; is that right?
  - A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You may continue, Counsel.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Officer Rogers, State's Exhibit Number 93 which appears to be a Dillard's bag, was that retrieved from the trunk of the automobile also?
  - A. Yes, sir.

MR. DAVIS: We'll offer State's Exhibit Number 93 at this time.

(State's Exhibit No. 93 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection, 93.

1	
1	THE COURT: Admitted.
2	(State's Exhibit No. 93 admitted)
3	Q. (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit 90, which appears to
4	be a JC Penney's bag, was that recovered from the trunk
5	also?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Inside
8	MS. MILLER: Greg, you also have a 90. It
9	needs to be 91.
10	MR. DAVIS: Okay. That will be Exhibit 91.
11	Okay.
12	Q. (By Mr. Davis) I understood you to say State's
13	Exhibit 91 was recovered from the trunk; is that correct?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. State's Exhibit 91A, which appears to be a blue
16	robe, was that also retrieved from the trunk?
17	A. Yes.
18	MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will
19	offer State's Exhibit 91 and 91A.
20	(State's Exhibit No. 91 and 91A offered)
21	MR. BYCK: No objection to 91 and 91A.
22	THE COURT: Both admitted.
23	(State's Exhibit No. 91 and 91A admitted)
24	Q. (By Mr. Davis) Let me now show you what has been
25	marked as Exhibit 91B, Officer Rogers. Does that appear to

be also a JC Penney's bag?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What's -- what's the condition of this bag?
- A. The bag is covered with dried blood, and it's ripped and torn.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will offer State's Exhibit 91B.

(State's Exhibit No. 91B offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 91B admitted)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) As I understand it, whenever you retrieved State's Exhibit 91B, was it soaked in blood?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Officer Rogers, I want to show you a white T-shirt.

  It's been marked as State's Exhibit 91C. Do you recognize that as a white T-shirt that you recovered from the trunk of that automobile, also?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, there's a lot of writing with blue pen on the T-shirt at this time. Was that writing -- was that present on the T-shirt at the time that you collected it, or has that been placed on the T-shirt later?
  - A. That was placed on it later.
  - Q. Specifically, did you submit this T-shirt to the

didn't you?

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Before we continue, may I see the attorneys at the side of the bench, scheduling matter.

Ladies and gentlemen, we will continue with the presentation of the testimony of this witness later. We'll take our lunch break, hour for your lunch.

THE COURT: All rise.

Counsel, let's return 1:15.

(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Before we -- on the record,

Darline.

Before we continue the hearing with regard to the search of the jail cell, let me invite both sides to avail themselves of the benefits of United States Supreme Court case Hudson v. Palmer, found at 468 U.S. 517, also found at 104 Supreme Court 3194, 82 Lawyers Edition 2d 393.

MS. LITTLE: What was the page number, Judge, on that --

MS. BALIDO: I've got it.

MS. LITTLE: -- court cite?

MS. BALIDO: I've got it.

THE COURT: 468 U.S. 517. Case basically holds a prisoner has no reasonable expectation of privacy in a jail cell. Therefore, no Fourth Amendment protection.

Opinion by then Chief Justice Warren Berger.

Sheriff, may we have the jury, please. 1 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. All rise. 2 THE COURT: Let the record reflect the jury is 3 4 returning to the courtroom at this time. (Jury returned to courtroom.) 5 THE COURT: Jurors may be seated. 6 7 Mr. Murphy, you may be seated. The witness may be seated. 8 Ms. King, may I ask for the benefit of counsel and 9 the jurors and the witness, the last question to be read 10 back. 11 (Discussion off the record.) 12 (By Mr. Davis) Officer Rogers, I had just asked you 13 about the pack of cigarettes that you found in the Honda. 14 MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: You may. (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibits 121 and 122, are 17 these packages of cigarettes, Basic cigarettes that you 18 recovered from inside the Honda? 19 20 Α. Yes. In addition to the two packs of cigarettes, did you 21 22 also recover a receipt from that area? 23 Α. Yes, sir. State's Exhibit Number 99, do you recognize that to 24 be the receipt that you recovered from inside the console? 25

found in the cargo holder on the driver's side. Do you

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, sir. Α.

State's Exhibits 101 and 102, do you recognize 101 Ο. to be the checkbook cover that you recovered from that location and State's Exhibit 102 to be a check registry that you recovered?

Α. Yes, sir.

MR. DAVIS: At this time we will offer State's Exhibits 101 and 102.

(State's Exhibit No. 101 and 102 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection to 101, 102.

THE COURT: Both admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 101 and 102 admitted)

- (By Mr. Davis) In State's Exhibit 102, are there Q. several entries?
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- And also I notice that there's a certain pinkish or purplish color to certain documents such as State's Exhibit 99, and the registry, State's Exibit 102. Can you tell the members of the jury how that particular color has been produced on these documents?
- Yes, sir, that coloration is from a chemical compound called anhydron. It reacts with amino acids and sweat and that's how we develop latent fingerprints on

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Now, on the face of State's Exhibit 103 do we have some sort of receipt that indicates that a deposit in the amount of \$153.88 has been made?
  - A. Yes, sir.

21

22

23

24

25

Q. On the back is there some handwriting with the name of Kirsten Adames, A-d-a-m-e-s, as well as a telephone number of 800-777-2249, extension 8577?

24

25

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Looking now at State's Exhibit 104, is that a drivers license that you recovered from the checkbook cover 101?
  - A. Yes, sir.

MR. DAVIS: We'll offer State's Exhibit 104, the defendant's -- it's in the name of Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.

(State's Exhibit No. 104 offered)

MR. BYCK: Is that an I.D. card or a Texas drivers license?

MR. DAVIS: It's an identification card.

MR. BYCK: No objection, 104.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 104 admitted)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit 105, which appears to be a card from the Wizards Sports Cafe in Richardson, Texas, did you also recover that from the Honda automobile?
  - A. Yes, sir.

MR. DAVIS: We'll offer State's Exhibit 105.

(State's Exhibit No. 105 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection to 105.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 105 admitted)

Q. (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit 110, which is a business card purporting to be that of Shelley D. Featherston

that included in the papers inside State's Exhibit 101?

(State's Exhibit No. 109 admitted)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit 112, which appears to be a rectangular yellow piece of paper, was that recovered inside the Honda also?
  - A. Yes.

21

22

23

24

(State's Exhibit No. 114A and 114B admitted) 1 (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit 111, which appears 2 0. to be a yellow piece of paper with the words Lynk Systems, 3 Inc., of Atlanta, Georgia, did you recover that document from 4 inside the Honda? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 MR. DAVIS: We'll offer State's Exhibit 111 at 7 this time. 8 (State's Exhibit No. 111 offered) 9 MR. BYCK: No objection, 111. 10 THE COURT: Admitted. 11 (State's Exhibit No. 111 admitted) 12 (By Mr. Davis) State's Exhibit 106, which is a 13 yellow piece of paper which appears to be a receipt from 14 15 Cowboys Quick in Terrell, Texas, did you recover that document from inside the Honda? 16 17 Α. Yes, sir. MR. DAVIS: We'll offer State's Exhibit 106. 18 (State's Exhibit No. 106 offered) 19 20 MR. BYCK: No objection, 106. THE COURT: Admitted. 21 (State's Exhibit No. 106 admitted) 22 (By Mr. Davis) On State's Exhibit 106, Officer 23 24 Rogers, does that appear 10-5-2000? 25 Α. Yes, sir.

- Q. Does there -- a time appear of 6:18 p.m.?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Is it in the amount of \$22.29?
- A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. And does a signature appear there, sir?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Officer Rogers, if you would, looking now at State's Exhibit 53, which is a white copy of a receipt from Cowboys Quick, which has previously been admitted into evidence, does it appear that the contents of those two documents are identical?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. So that it would appear that State's Exhibit 106 is simply a carbon copy of the exhibit -- State's Exhibit 53; is that correct?
    - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
  - Q. Finally, a series of documents here that have been marked State's Exhibits 107A, through 107E, do you recognize these five documents as having been recovered from inside the Honda, also?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  DAVIS: We'll offer State's Exhibits 107A through 107E at this time.
- 24 (State's Exhibit No. 107A through 107E offered)
  25 MS. BALIDO: If we can just see them, Judge.

except with the note that it's 7-23 through 7-29-2000?

State's Exhibit 107B, is that the same contents

24

25

0.

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. 107C, again, is that similar, except for the notation 9-5 through 9-11-2000?
  - A. It is.
- Q. 107D. Well, let me take you first of all to 107E, that notation being 9-12 through 9-18 of 2000; is that right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And finally, looking at 107D, the notation 9-26 through 2 -- through 10-2-2000, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. First of all, now, as I understand any sort of blood evidence that may have been developed was sent to the Texas Department of Public Safety lab for later testing; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, did you process these items that we've just talked about for possible fingerprint evidence?
  - A. They were processed in our lab, yes, sir.
- Q. Was the automobile itself processed for fingerprints?
  - A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. Did you use the same method of the anhydron solution, or did you use another method to actually fingerprint the automobile?
  - A. The automobile exterior was processed with black

- Q. How does that process work? If you were to find -first of all, let's go back. Were you looking for latent
  fingerprints?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when we use that term, what does that term mean to you?
- A. Latent simply means it's not visible to the eye or it's hidden.
  - Q. How are latent fingerprints actually created?
- A. When your hand touches the surface, the oil or residue that you get from your skin or your hair, whenever you touch it, transfers from your finger to whatever surface you are touching.
- Q. Are latent fingerprints always created when an individual's finger touches another surface?
- A. There is always something left behind. It might not be a readable print, but there's something left behind, yes, sir.
  - Q. What is a readable print or a comparable print?
- A. A comparable print is a fingerprint that -- if you look at the bottoms of your fingers, you see lines on there. Where those lines start and stop or split are called identification points, and that's what you make a comparison of. So if you have a given number of those, then it's a

1 comparable print.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Now, when you processed the Honda itself, were you able to develop any comparable latent fingerprints?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. From different -- different locations of the automobile?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when you found one of those fingerprints, then how actually would you preserve the fingerprint?
- A. Okay. Once the powder is applied and you identify a fingerprint on the surface, then you apply a clear tape to it and peel it off and apply the tape to a white card.

MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Officer Rogers, I'm now showing you Exhibits 115, 116, 117, and 118. Do you recognize these four documents?
  - A. Yes, sir, I do.
  - O. What are they?
- A. They're the white cards that I lifted fingerprints with tape and placed the tape on the cards.
- Q. These are fingerprints that you actually lifted from the Honda Accord; is that right?
  - A. Yes, sir.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we will

through these four cards from the Honda briefly. State's Exhibit Number 115, where was this particular fingerprint lifted from?

23

24

Q.

When you analyzed the other items with a different

A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. First of all, I want to direct your attention to the piece of paper that bears the name Dr. Lee, and that's going to be State's Exhibit 109.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Were you able to lift any comparable latent fingerprints from that item?
- A. We enhanced them on the item. They were not lifted, though.
  - Q. You were able to develop them, then?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Were they comparable?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. I want to direct your attention to the yellow piece of paper bearing the name Lynk Systems paper, and that's going to be State's Exhibit 111. Were you able to develop latent comparable fingerprints there?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. On the item that's going to be State's Exhibit 112, which is one of the rectangular pieces of yellow paper --
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. -- actually both of them, State's Exhibit 112 and 113, were you able to develop comparable latent fingerprints?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. From the workers compensation receipts or check stubs there, before -- were you able to lift or develop comparable fingerprints, and this will be the 107 series there in front of you?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The sports card or the card -- membership card,
  State's Exhibit 105 from Wizards Sports Cafe, bearing the
  name of Jedidiah Murphy, were you able to develop
  fingerprints there?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. From the business card belonging to Constable
  Shelley D. Featherston, that's going to be State's Exhibit
  110, were you able to develop comparable latent fingerprints
  there?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Finally, on State's Exhibit Number 99, which will be the receipt from 9620 Harry Hines in Dallas --
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. -- were you able to develop fingerprints there?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. In addition to that, were you able to develop fingerprints on certain warranty documents?
- A. Yes, sir.
- MR. DAVIS: If I can approach.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Let me show you what's previously been admitted into evidence as State's Exhibits 114A, 114B, and 114C. Are these the warranty documents that you were referring to earlier that you lifted comparable or you developed comparable fingerprints from?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And these are what appear to be Go-Ped warranty papers; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. If we could go over the results of your work here.

  Once you developed those particular fingerprints, did you
  then begin the process of comparing them against a known set
  of fingerprints?
- A. Yes, sir, they were compared against a card from our jail.
- Q. State's Exhibit 120, Officer Rogers, do you recognize that?
  - A. Yes, sir, I do.
  - O. What is State's Exhibit 120?
- A. That is the book-in fingerprints from the arrest at 10-06-2000 of a Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.
- Q. Okay. Is that the same individual seated at the counsel table there with the kind of brownish or greenish color shirt and dark tie?

THE COURT: Sustained.

24

25

(By Mr. Davis) Officer Rogers, have you undergone training in the comparison of fingerprints, sir?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you tell us briefly the type of training that you have received?
- A. I received basic and advanced latent fingerprint training from the FBI.
  - Q. Okay. And what does that entail?
- A. That entails a week to two-week course going over the components of fingerprints, the comparison of them, and the actual matching of fingerprints.
- Q. Do you have any experience in the comparison of fingerprints, sir?
  - A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Can you tell us briefly the experience that you have in that area?
  - A. Approximately nine years of comparison.
- Q. And while you've been doing that for nine years, have you received additional training or supervision while you've been employed by the Wichita Falls Police Department, as well as the Garland Police Department?
- A. Yes, sir. I've also received AFIS training through the Texas Department of Public Safety. AFIS is the fingerprint computer.
- Q. Is there some sort of certification that you could acquire for fingerprint comparison?
  - A. Yes, sir, there is. There's several.

Q. Do you hold those?

2

A. No, I do not at this time. No.

3 4

Q. Okay. Do you have any -- any idea of the number of fingerprints that you've compared during your career?

5

A. Thousands.

6

7

Q. Let me now go back to ask you whether or not you compared the latent fingerprints to the known set of fingerprints.

8

9

MS. BALIDO: Judge, at this time before he renders any verdict, I'd like to take this -- renders any opinion, I'd like to take this witness on voir dire.

11 12

10

THE COURT: You may.

13

## Voir Dire Examination

14

By Ms. Balido.

Α.

15 16 Q. Officer Rogers, let me ask you a little bit about this certification process that goes on. What are the

17

different certifications that you could hold?

certification on latent fingerprint examination.

18

19

that most people have is through the IAI, which is the

There are different organizations. The primary one

20

International Association for Identification. It's a tested

21

22

23

Q. Okay. Where you actually take a test and then somebody comes back and checks your work and makes sure that what you say is right; is that correct?

24

25

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. And have you ever attempted to get this certification?
- A. No, unfortunately departments that I work for will not pay for them, and I've not had the money to pay for it myself.
- Q. What are some additional certifications that you could hold?
  - A. For fingerprint examination?
  - Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. The primary certification will be latent print examination.
- Q. Okay. And you said there were some other certifications that you didn't have. Do you know those names or those associations?
  - A. No. The primary one is the IAI.
  - Q. Okay. And you've never taken that test?
  - A. No, I have never been able to afford it.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever gone through any sort of testing procedure in such a way that an independent person was -- would test you on whatever -- if your results are correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And is that done through the training that you've had in the past?
  - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Okay. But there's no certification that you got -- is there -- do you get certified out of that program?
- 2

- A. Yes.
- 4 5
- Q. Okay. And is it a situation where you can either pass or fail?
- 6
- A. Yes, it is.
- 7
- Q. Okay.
- 8

9

- MS. BALIDO: Judge, no further questions. And, Judge, we'd assert that he's not qualified since he
- 10

THE COURT: Objection is overruled.

- 11 12
- Q. (By Mr. Davis) In this particular case, Officer

Rogers, was your work checked by another member of the

- 13
- Garland Police Department?

doesn't hold this certification.

- 14 15
- A. Yes, every time a fingerprint comparison is done, it
- 16
- Q. Now, I want to go back to the four fingerprints that

those fingerprints against the known fingerprints of

- 18
- were lifted from the Honda automobile, sir. Did you compare
- 19
- 20 Jedidiah -- Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?

is double checked by another person.

- 21
- A. Yes, sir.
- 22
- Q. And what was the result of your comparison?
- 23
- A. That they all had been left by Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.
- 24 25
- Q. The fingerprint that you developed from State's

Exhibit 122, the unopened back of cigarettes there, did you compare that against the defendant?

- A. Yes, sir.
- O. What was the result of your comparison?
- A. That the fingerprint belonged to Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.
- Q. The fingerprints that you found on State's Exhibit 109, the piece of paper bearing the name of Dr. Lee, what was the result of your comparison, sir?
- A. That the fingerprint belonged to Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.
- Q. The piece of paper, State's Exhibit 111, that bears the name Lynk Systems paper, as well as the other two pieces of yellow paper, State's Exhibit 112 and 113, what was the result of your comparison?
  - A. That they also belonged to Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.
- Q. The 114 -- the Exhibits 114A through E, what was the result of the fingerprints that you developed from those documents, sir?
- A. The ones that were matched belonged to Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.
- Q. The fingerprint that you lifted from State's Exhibit 105, the Wizards Sports Cafe card, what was the result of your comparison?
  - A. They were matched to Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.

Α. No.

23

24

25

Okay. What -- what exactly is your -- if you're not Ο. a licensed police officer and you work for Garland police, what exactly are you?

- Q. Okay. So you're a civilian?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. All right. Mr. Rogers, let me ask you a little bit about the investigation that went on let's just say at the time you got out to the house on Lamar Street where the car was found. Do you make the determination of what pictures you take and what things you need to pull prints off of or how is that done?
- A. Yes, I make a determination. Plus, if my superiors say take these photographs as well, I'll take those as well.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. But I do make my own determination, yes.
- Q. Okay. And who makes the determination of what items are actually going to be fingerprinted?
  - A. That will be my primary duty.
- Q. Okay.

MS. BALIDO: May I approach the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

Q. (By Ms. Balido) Now, Investigator Rogers, I'm showing you pictures of State's Exhibit Number 81, State's Exhibit Number 79, State's Exhibit Number 80, State's Exhibit Number 78, and State's Exhibit Number 77, and those are the pictures that you took or some of the pictures that you took

- regarding the car; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. On State's Exhibit Number 77, it shows a spot of blood on the trunk seal; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. Did you try to lift fingerprints off of any area around the trunk?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Okay. And what was the result of that?
- 10 A. None made.
  - Q. And that's your opinion, is that correct, that were none there?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. And what area of the trunk did you try to test?
    - A. Test for fingerprints?
- 16 Q. Yes.
  - A. The exterior area, the smooth surfaces.
- Q. Okay. Because the bumps areas or some of the plastic areas don't usually hold fingerprints?
  - A. It breaks up the fingerprint pattern.
  - Q. All right. And did you do that around the entire area of the trunk?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So if someone was leaning on the trunk like this --

1 A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- you'd try to get either a palm print or a fingerprint off; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you get any partials?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And did you try to compare any of the partials to any known fingerprints?
- A. Yes, all the fingerprints that were lifted were compared.
  - Q. Okay. To Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?
  - A. To every suspect they have.
  - Q. And who are all the suspects?
  - A. The primary one is Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.
    - Q. Okay. Did you compare it to any other suspects?
  - A. I did not.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever compare any sort of fingerprints or any partial fingerprints that you lifted from a man by the name of Treshod Tarrant?
  - A. I did not, no.
- Q. Okay. And do you make that primary decision as to who you should test and you should not test, or compare -- I'm sorry, not test?
- A. No, the detectives of the case submit a request for fingerprint comparisons.

- Q. Okay. And that would be Detective Myers?
  - A. Yes. In that particular case.
  - Q. Let me ask you also specifically in regard to State's Exhibit Number 81, do you see what's located inside the car?
    - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And what is located in -- what's primarily -- what's primarily depicted in the photo?
  - A. The Hennessy whiskey bottle.
  - Q. And there's also a Diet Coke can?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you attempt to test that or -- excuse me, did you attempt to compare or first lift latent fingerprints from that?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And were you able to?
- 17 A. I don't believe so.
- 18 Q. Okay. Did you get any partials on that?
- 19 | A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Did you try to compare the partials to any known fingerprints?
    - A. The partial prints that I got? Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And was the only person that you compared partial prints to in that situation Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?
    - A. That I compared, yes.

- On State's 80, I want to show you that for -- see if we can do it this way. There were a number of items in the back of the trunk; is that correct?
  - Α. Yes.

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And a number of items that are covered in blood; is Ο. that also correct?
  - Α. Yes.
- And is there also -- what is this right here, this big square item?
  - It's a suitcase. Α.
  - Okay. And did you pull that suitcase out as well? 0.
  - Yes, everything in the trunk is pulled out. Α.
- All right. And did you determine who owned that suitcase?

- 1
- Α. I did not at that time, no.
- 2
- Ο. Okay. Did you find out later whose suitcase it was?
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18

well?

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Α. No. Okay. Did it have any tags on it or anything that Ο.
- you made any records of whose it might be?
  - Α. I don't believe so, no.
- And you're looking at your report when you're testifying; is that correct?
  - This is all it is. Α.
- And you testified with the prosecutor -- or the 0. prosecutor asked you about some luminal that was used on a bathtub; is that correct?
  - Α. Yes, ma'am.
  - And what was the location of that bathtub? Q.
  - Α. It was in a bathroom on Barclay.
- Okay. On Barclay? All right. And you said that --Q. that sometimes the luminal comes back showing up bleach as
  - Α. Yes, it's primary reaction is iron.
  - Ο. Okay.
  - Α. So anything with iron it will react to it.
- And did you try to scrape any of those or get a Q. sample from any of those places where it showed up iron?
  - Α. No.
  - Okay. You just showed up luminal and -- but you Q.

23

24

25

didn't pry to preserve anything for testing for blood to see if it was actually blood or if it could be bleach?

A. My -- it was in my opinion and the others there that --

MS. BALIDO: Judge, I'm going to object to hearsay based on the opinion of other people.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. (By Ms. Balido) Just what was your opinion?
- A. It was my opinion it was an insufficient amount there.
- Q. Okay. So while you were actually in the house on Barclay did you -- was it you that was making the decisions on what to seize and what not to seize or was it kind of a group effort or was it primarily Detective Myers?
  - A. It was primarily Detective Myers.
- Q. Okay. So did you actually have a conversation with the person who owned the house there?
  - A. No, I don't believe I did.
- Q. Okay. And did you basically take from the residence what Detective Myers gave you to take from the residence?
  - A. He pointed it out, and I collected it.
- Q. Let me ask you a little bit about when you first went out to the scene where Ms. Cunningham's body was found. Where did you park your vehicle?
  - A. Where did I park my vehicle? On the road.

- O. On the road?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you ever attempt to find any tire tracks or anything like that that might have been left by any vehicle -- any vehicle or vehicles that might have been out at the Livingston Hill location?
  - A. No.
  - Q. And it was dark when you got there; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And when you got there, there were people from the Edgewood Police Department and the Van Zandt County Sheriff's Department; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And were people walking around the location?
    - A. On the road, yes.
- Q. And were they actually walking down towards the location in the creek where the body was actually found?
- A. No, the -- it was extremely steep. Nobody wanted to walk down there.
  - Q. Okay. So would it be safe to say that it would be hard to walk down there at all?
    - A. From the angle where we were parked, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And that was on which side -- I mean, the roadside?
    - A. The roadside, yes.

- Q. Okay. When you got out there, who was out there?
- A. The people you mentioned, Commander and Lieutenant from my department.
  - Q. Commander Lay?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And Lieutenant Thompson?
- A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You need to say yes or no for the record.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And was Detective Myers out there at that point?
- 11 | A. I don't remember.
  - Q. Okay. Was Detective Tooke out there at that point?
  - A. Also, I don't remember that.
  - Q. And were the vehicles that carried everybody out there to Livingston Hill, were they also on the road?
    - A. Yes, they were.
  - Q. Okay. And is it safe to say that people were walking back and forth and walking from the vehicles to the edge of -- I guess we'll call that an eddy or whatever that is down there, to the edge of where the creek starts, back and forth to their vehicles, getting things or getting flashlights and that sort of thing?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Did anyone ever try to secure that area to determine if there was any other evidence that could be found

in the road area?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. And would that be your primary responsibility to cordon off whatever you thought was important --
- A. Had I been there before the numerous other people, yes, I would have.
- Q. Okay. And does the same thing go for out at the -out at the scene -- excuse me, at the scene of where Ms.

  Cunningham's car was found? When you got there, there were a
  number of officers there; is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And were there a number of civilians there, also?
  - A. There was three -- two other civilians there.
- Q. Okay. And there was also a couple of people that -or you may or may not know this that worked for the Edgewood
  Police Department, but are not peace officers?
  - A. I'm not sure about that.
- Q. Okay. About how many people do you think were out there?
  - A. That I saw? Probably four to five.
- Q. Okay. Was the -- was -- when you got there, was the trunk open or shut?
  - A. It was shut.
- Q. All right. And how did you go about opening the trunk?

- 1 We towed the car back to Garland, and it was opened Α. 2 in the maintenance bay. Okay. So the pictures that are taken are actually 3 in the maintenance bay. They weren't taken at the scene? 4 No, the -- they were both actually. One was taken 5 Α. in front of the house. 6 7 Q. Okay. 8 Then the others were taken at the maintenance place 9 where we opened it up. 10 Okay. So the pictures with the trunk open, is that Ο. 11 at the scene or is that in the maintenance bay? 12 That's at the maintenance bay. Α. Okay. Were you aware that Deputy Rose of the Van 13 Ο. Zandt County Sheriff's Department had already opened the 14 15 trunk before you got there? 16 Α. No. Okay. And who did you get the keys from the 17 0. trunk -- for the trunk from? 18 19 One of the officers there. Α. Okay. You don't remember who it was? 20 Ο. 21 No, ma'am. Α. 22
  - Q. When you got there, was there -- was there any sort of police tape around the vehicle or anything like that blocking people off from access to the car?
    - A. Yes.

24

- 1
- Q. And do you know who put that up there?
- 2
- A. It was there when I arrived.
- 3

- Q. Did you test on the -- on the trunk of the vehicle to see whether or not there were palmprints or handprints or fingerprints on the actual trunk of the vehicle?
- 5
- A. Yes.

Isaac Murphy?

Tarrant?

- 7
- Q. And did you find any?
- 8
- A. I believe we found some partials, yes.
- 9
- Q. Okay. Did you find -- compare those to Jedidiah
- 10

11

- A. I'm not sure if those were comparable prints or not.
- 12
- 13
- Q. Okay. Did you ever compare those to Treshod
- 14
- A. I did not personally, no.

You personally?

- 15
- Q. Okay. Can you remember or do any of your reports
- 16
- you could tell, there was a palmprint or a partial palmprint?

reflect that -- which hand was being used or which hand, if

- 17 18
- A. No.
- 19
- Q. Okay. So you wouldn't know on a palmprint whether
- 20

21

A. On -- it would depend on how much of the palmprint

or not it was the right hand or the left hand?

- 22
- Q. Okay.

was there.

- 23
- A. If it's just a smudge, perhaps not. If it's a real
- 25
- good, palmprint, yes.

Α.

Yes.

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20

2122

22

2324

- Q. And it's important to keep things separate; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And it's important to let things dry properly before they're actually stored; is that also correct?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Because if there's wet blood and things get folded on top of each other, then what the evidence could show doesn't necessarily show what the true thing -- what really happened. Does that make any sense?
  - A. (Nods head.)
- Q. Okay. Let me start back over. It's very important to follow all these procedures because if you fold wet blood on top of wet blood or wet blood on top of something that does not have blood on it, the wet blood could drip on it and indicate something to you that's not necessarily true?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And did you follow -- and where did you learn these practice procedures?
- A. Crime scene reconstruction schools, blood splatter analysis schools.
  - Q. Okay. And where did these take place?
  - A. D.P.S. in Austin and also the Mansfield PD.
  - Q. The Mansfield Police Department?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And when was the last time you attended one of these classes?
  - A. Approximately six months ago.
  - Q. Okay. But that six months ago would be after this case happened?
    - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O. Okay.
- A. And before. It's a -- I've been to three or four crime scene schools, and each of them go over blood handling.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. It's pretty common to every school.
- Q. Okay. How many beer cans do you think you found in the car?
  - A. A couple. I couldn't say for sure.
  - Q. Okay. So it's not listed in your inventory report?
  - A. No.
- Q. And isn't it important to include in your inventory report everything that you found at the scene?
  - A. If you believe it's vital to the case, yes.
- Q. And would beer cans -- were they empty beer cans or full beer cans?
  - A. I believe they were full.
  - Q. They were full?
  - A. Uh-huh.
    - Q. Did you find any empty beer cans?

- 1 ||
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Was the Coke that you found in the back -- that's pictured in the back seat of the car, was that empty?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Did you test that for fingerprints?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you find anything -- I'm sorry, I keep on saying testing for fingerprints. Did you compare those fingerprints to any other fingerprints in this case?
- A. I don't believe there were any comparable prints off that.
- Q. Okay. Did you try to compare any of the partials that you might have?
  - A. If they were comparable prints.
- Q. Okay. Did you compare any of those personally to Treshod Tarrant?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Who else tested or who else compared fingerprints in this case?
- A. Virginia Long, Holly Tharp, Bill Rice, and Vicki Stanley.
- Q. Okay. Anybody else -- were you the only person in charge of collecting the evidence at the scene? Were you the only, I guess, forensic tech?
  - A. At which scene?

23

24

- Q. At the -- well, let's start with seizing the items from the car?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you the only person in charge of lifting latent fingerprints from the vehicle?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Were you the only person that was in charge of deciding which items in the car to put into your evidence log and which items not to put into your evidence log?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What was done with the rest of the stuff that wasn't logged in as evidence?
  - A. It was placed in the property room.
- Q. Okay. So you don't log it in as evidence, but you still place it in the property room?
  - A. Right.
- Q. So how do you know what's in there and not in there if you just place it in the property room?
- A. Because the items the property room takes, they inventory.
  - O. Oh.
- MS. BALIDO: Judge, just let me review the reports and see if there's anything there.
- THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
  - Q. (By Ms. Balido) Let me ask you a question about

Q. Investigator Rogers, a couple of questions. First of all, did you examine the interior passenger compartment of

24

MR. DAVIS: Again, for demonstrative purposes only, we'll offer State's Exhibit 123.

(State's Exhibit No. 123 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection to 123 for stated purposes only.

THE COURT: Admitted.

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

(State's Exhibit No. 123 admitted)

Q. (By Mr. Davis) Finally, a garbage bag with

1	
1	contents, State's Exhibit 124, Investigator, does that
2	contain, again, a green camo-colored duffle bag again with
3	assorted men's clothing?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. Dirty?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. Okay.
8	MR. DAVIS: Having closed it now, I'm going to
9	offer this for demonstrative purposes only, State's Exhibit
10	Number 124, Your Honor.
11	(State's Exhibit No. 124 offered)
12	MS. BALIDO: Judge, if I could just inspect it
13	just for a second.
14	THE COURT: You may.
15	MR. BYCK: Good, no objection, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Admitted.
17	(State's Exhibit No. 124 admitted)
18	MR. DAVIS: No further questions, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Ms. Balido.
20	Recross-Examination
21	By Ms. Balido:
22	Q. The duffle bag that's in
23	MS. BALIDO: What's that.
24	MR. BYCK: 124.
25	Q. (By Ms. Balido) 124, is that the duffle bag that

You may step down. Free to leave the courthouse.

1	MR. DAVIS: The State will call David
2	Davenport.
3	MS. BALIDO: Judge, may I approach the
4	exhibits for a moment.
5	THE COURT: You may.
6	Mr. Davis, for the benefit of the next witness, do
7	you want the exhibits to remain or
8	MR. DAVIS: I don't believe it will be
9	necessary for this witness, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: The court reporter has a great
11	responsibility in taking care of the evidence.
12	MR. DAVIS: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: I know she is very mindful of
14	monitoring each and every one of them.
15	Ms. King, if you need a moment to assemble those
16	items for safekeeping, you may do so.
17	Ms. King, for your purpose, is that adequate for
18	right now?
19	Would you raise you right hand, please.
20	(Witness sworn.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

## DAVID DAVENPORT

was called as a witness by the State and, after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Direct Examination

4 5

By Mr. Davis:

6

Q. Sir, would you please tell us your full name?

7

A. David Michael Davenport.

8

Q. Sir, how are you employed?

9

A. I'm employed with the Department of Public Safety

10

O Crime Lab in Garland, Texas.

Public Safety?

11

Q. What's your title with the Texas Department of

12

A. I'm a criminalist.

13 14

Q. And what exactly is a criminalist with the D.P.S.?

15

A. A criminalist -- my job duties include receiving

16

evidence, analyzing evidence, maintain the disposition of the

17

evidence, analyzing, as well as reporting findings after

18

analyzing the evidence, and testifying in court when

19

2.0

Q. Could you tell the members of the jury a little bit

21

about your experience and training for your present

22

position?

necessary.

23

A. I have a Bachelor of science degree in forensic

24

science from Eastern Kentucky University. After I completed

25

my degree, I had in-house training with the Department of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Public Safety. This included completing a series of

proficiency tests, hands-on mock cases, written tests,

supervised casework.

- Q. How long have you been with D.P.S.?
- A. Since January of 2000.
- Q. Where is your lab located at?
- A. It's in Garland, Texas, off of I-30.
- Q. Mr. Davenport, I want to direct your attention back to November of last year. And at that time, sir, did you receive several items from the Dallas County Medical Examiners office?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Among them let me ask you, did you receive a blood sample that purported to be that of a Bertie Cunningham?
  - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Did you also receive a known head hair sample belonging to Bertie Cunningham?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you also receive certain hairs that had been recovered from Ms. Cunningham's sweat pants?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And finally, did you receive a sexual assault kit?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Just so the jury can understand, when we talk about a sexual assault kit, is that a set of items that's collected

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Α. That's correct.

- Now, let me talk in a little bit greater detail about that. When you had the sexual assault kit, what were you looking for as possible evidence of a sexual assault?
- Generally in the sexual assault kits we're looking Α. for semen, semen specific components.
- In this particular case did you see any evidence whatsoever of a sexual assault having occurred to Ms. Cunningham?
  - No, sir. Α.
- So as I understand, there's no semen or sperm Ο. present at that time, correct?
  - Α. That's correct.
- Now, in addition to those items, did you receive Ο. some items from the Garland Police Department first?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
  - Did you receive a bloody JC Penney bag? Q.
  - Yes, sir. Α.
- Did you also receive a white T-shirt with possible Q. blood evidence on it?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
- In addition to that, did you receive various hairs that according to the report had been recovered close to where the body was in a creek in Edgewood, Texas?

Your job when you receive that -- that possible

My job is to look for blood evidence. And if I find

Do you have some sort of presumptive test that you

Yes, sir. What's involved in that is merely taking

a cotton swab and wetting the cotton swab and rubbing the

cotton swab against the stain so you transfer some of the

swab -- and if the swab changes color, then that's positive

Okay. So at least that tells you that you have

With regards to the JC Penney bag, did you detect

And did you go one step further and determine that

stain on the swab. And if the chemicals on the cotton

blood, and then you do a later test to determine if it's

A. Yes, sir.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Α.

0.

Α.

possible blood?

it was human blood?

blood evidence was to do what?

for possibly human blood.

human blood; is that right?

Yes, sir.

Yes, sir.

That's correct.

any, to confirm that it's of a human origin.

do if you see possible blood evidence?

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25
- Ο. The white T-shirt --
- - MR. DAVIS: If I could approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ο. (By Mr. Davis) Mr. Davenport, do you recognize State's Exhibit 91C as being the white T-shirt that was submitted to you by the Garland Police Department?

- Α. Yes, sir.
- Tell the members of the jury what you did with State's Exhibit -- if you want me to, I can hold that up there for you. With State's Exhibit 91C, exactly what did you do when you received this particular item?
- Α. The blue writing you see here was not present on the shirt when it was submitted. I put this blue writing here. I did a visual examination looking for anything that looks like blood. It's that simple. And then after I see stains that I think might possibly be blood, I test them with the cotton swab test I spoke of earlier.
- Did you actually cut out certain portions to do the 0. testing?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
  - Did you detect possible blood? Q.
  - Α. Yes, sir.
  - Ο. Did you later determine that to be human blood?
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- Let me talk to you about the hairs that were Q. submitted to you. Well, let me go back one step further.

Did you also receive certain possible blood samples

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And again, did you do the testing with those samples?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did you finally determine that there was human blood present there also?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, let me go forward to the hairs that were submitted to you for some sort of analysis. In this particular case what sort of analysis did you do with the hair that had purportedly come from a rock near the body of Ms. Cunningham? What did you do with that?
- A. The hair submitted from the rock were visually examined. That's just looking at hairs with the naked eye. I looked at the hairs that were submitted from the victim, the known head hairs from the victim, and just visually compared them with the rock -- the hairs from the rock above the culvert.
- Q. How about the hair? Was there hair also on the complainant or Ms. Cunningham's sweat pants?
  - A. Yes, there was.
- Q. Did you do the same kind of microscopic comparison there, too?
  - A. It's not a microscopic. It's simply visual exam

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Finally, was there a hair that had been recovered from a JC Penney bag also?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Did you do the same kind of comparison with it?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What were the results of your visual comparison with the hair from the rock, the JC Penney bag, and the sweat pants?
- A. All of these hairs submitted were visually consistent with the victim's known head hair.
  - Q. Now, do you yourself do any DNA analysis?
  - A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. All right. In this particular case once you determine that human blood was present, did you then preserve those items so that someone else out there at the lab, mainly John Donahue, could do DNA testing on them?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. With regards to the hairs that you did the visual comparison on, is there certain types of DNA tests that can also be run on hair?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Does your lab perform those types of test?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you then send those hairs on to Mr. Donahue

Excused, subject to recall.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.

(Witness brought forward and sworn.)

MR. DAVIS: The State will call John Donahue.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### JOHN DONAHUE

was called as a witness by the State and, after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

## Direct Examination

By Mr. Davis:

- Sir, first of all, would you please tell us your Q. full name?
  - John Donahue. Α.
  - Mr. Donahue, how are you employed? Q.
- I'm employed by the Texas Department of Public Α. Safety's Crime Laboratory in Garland, Texas.
  - What is your present position? Ο.
  - I'm a serology DNA analyst for the department. Α.
- Can you please tell us, first of all, a little bit Q. about your educational and professional training for your present position?
- I have a Bachelors degree from the University of A. Tennessee with a major in zoology. I have three years of graduate work in microbiology at Indiana University where I will be completing my thesis later this month. I've been trained by the Texas Department of Public Safety in both basic forensic serology, as well as forensic DNA analysis. I've also received various other trainings in forensic analysis and investigation, along with forensic DNA analysis

- Q. First of all, you told us that you did some serology work. What is serology?
  - A. The analysis of body fluids, basically.
  - O. Would that include blood?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You also told us that you were a DNA analyst. Can you tell us what your duties and responsibilities are there?
- A. Basically I examine items of evidence for any -items for any type of evidence that might contain DNA, such
  as body fluids, blood, semen, saliva, also for hairs and
  other things that might contain DNA. I then perform DNA
  analyses on these questioned items or these items of
  evidence, compare them to known reference samples to
  determine match or an exclusion. I also report my findings
  as part of my duties, and I testify in court as to those
  findings.
- Q. In this particular case did you receive several items for analysis from David Davenport who also works with you?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I want to ask you, first of all, if you could -- if you could briefly give us an overview of what is DNA, first of all?
  - A. Sure. Basically DNA is a molecule -- if you want to

visualize it, it looks like a twisted ladder that acts as the genetic material for you. It's present in the nuclei or in the nucleus of every cell in your body except red blood cells. They don't have a nucleus, so basically you can find DNA in skin cells, white blood cells, sperm cells, egg cells, any types of cells like that will have DNA present in them. DNA, as I said, is the genetic materials that encodes all the information for your body, so basically it's individualized to you and no one else, except in the case of identical twins. Because DNA is unique and individualized, we can analyze DNA and compare it to known samples for comparison purposes.

- Q. Are there different types of DNA tests?
- A. Yes, there are.
- Q. Can you tell us the type of DNA testing that your particular crime lab does?
- A. We do what is called PCR testing, which is short for polymerase chain reaction. What that basically entails is that we will extract DNA from samples, whether they're evidence or reference samples, and then we'll use biochemical, a biochemical reaction called PCR to amplify that amount of DNA to a quantity that we can analyze and determine results from.
- Q. So if you had -- if you had a blood sample from me, for instance, could you obtain my DNA profile?

- Q. And if you had then an unknown or you had a blood source, blood -- blood source over here, you didn't know who it came from, could you extract DNA from that blood and then compare it against my DNA profile to determine if I could be the source for that blood over here?
- A. Sure. There are always certain parameters that you have to worry about such as degradation of the questioned sample and other types of things that would render a DNA test basically inconclusive because you didn't recover anything, but assuming that the stain was not treated in any, I guess, degradory (sic) ways, then, yeah, I could recover it.

  Degradation could occur from, you know, any number of manners, but, yeah, basically.
- Q. So, for instance, assuming that the blood hadn't been out in the elements too long or chemicals hadn't been applied to it maybe, as long as those problems aren't present, then you can extract DNA material, correct?
  - A. Right.
- Q. In this particular case from David Davenport, did you receive a blood sample that was belonging to a person by the name of Bertie Cunningham?
- A. Yes. It was dried on a -- I believe it was cotton gauze.
  - Q. Were you able to get a DNA profile for Bertie

- 1 Cunningham then? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Any problems there? 4 Α. No. 5 Did you receive from David Davenport a blood sample Ο. 6 that had come from a Honda trunk seal? 7 A. Yes, I did. Were you able to obtain a DNA profile from that 8 9 blood sample? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Did you receive a blood sample that had come from a 12 JC Penney bag? 13 A. Yes. Again, were you able to obtain a DNA profile? 14 15 I was. Α. Did you receive blood samples that had come from a 16 Q. 17 white T-shirt? Yes, I did. 18 Α. Were you able to obtain DNA profile for that blood 19 0. 20 sample also? Not a full profile, but I did receive -- or did 21 22 obtain usable DNA profiles from them. 23
  - Q. Okay. Now, let's start with the blood sample that came from the Honda trunk seal. Did you compare the DNA profile from that sample to the DNA profile of Ms.

# Cunningham?

- A. I did.
  - Q. And what was the result of that comparison?
- A. I recovered -- the DNA profile that I recovered from the trunk seal was consistent with the DNA profile from Bertie Cunningham at eight of the nine genetic markers that were examined.
  - Q. How about the ninth genetic marker?
  - A. I didn't get any reaction from that.
- Q. So would it be fair to say at the ninth marker -- actually these markers are things that are individual to each individual, correct?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Poor way of phrasing it, but you're matching up those points along a line with a known sample, aren't you?
- A. Right. What we're doing with this type of testing, the amplification kit that we use amplifies nine different genetic markers in a person's DNA, so we're looking at a result at each marker. And if you have a result that does not match at one marker or more, then it's an exclusion. So we're confined to basically making a match at every marker that gives a result.
- Q. Okay. So on these particular nine markers then, eight of them matched precisely, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

1.1

- Q. You did not get enough of a reaction to make a comparison on the ninth point; is that right?
  - A. I didn't get any result at all.
- Q. So with the eight that matched then, you were not able to exclude Ms. Cunningham as a possible source; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. How often in the random population would you expect to find Ms. Cunningham's DNA profile?
- A. At these eight markers, what we do -- well, in short, we calculate a random match probability. And what that means basically is it's the idea that you could go out into the population at random, pick out anybody, doesn't matter who, and what would be the probability that that person would also have these same DNA markers, that -- what would be the probability that this person could not also be excluded as a source and the random match probabilities for this stain were approximately 1 in 821 million for the Caucasian population, 1 in 2.9 billion for the black population, and 1 in about 1 billion for the Hispanic population.
- Q. My understanding is there's roughly, what, 260 to 270 million people who live in the United States?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. So we're talking about a result then that would mean

Ī

that if we took the population in the United States three times over, then that's the frequency that you'd expect -- I mean, one out of that particular sum of people where you expect this particular DNA profile in the white population, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Let's talk about the bloodstain sample from the JC Penney bag. Were you able to match that up to the DNA profile of Ms. Cunningham?
  - A. I did.
  - O. And what were the results there?
- A. I got a match, or it was consistent with Bertie Cunningham at all nine of the markers tested.
- Q. Now, you told us about what the frequency would be on eight markers there. How about -- how often would you expect to find that DNA profile on all nine genetic markers in the general population?
- A. About 1 in 212 billion for the Caucasian population. About 1 in 1.275 trillion for the black population. And about 1 in 129.5 billion for the Hispanic population.
- Q. So if we just stay within the white population, it's 212 billion?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And there are approximately 6 billion people in the

Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The stain on the white T-shirt, were you able to match the genetic marks there between that and that of Ms. Cunningham?
- Α. I examined two different stains on the white T-shirt, and both of them, two differing degrees, were consistent with Bertie Cunningham.
- Ο. Anything to exclude Ms. Cunningham from those stains?
  - Α. No.
- 0. What -- again, how many genetic markers did you -were you able to match up on those two stains?
- Α. The first stain that I examined which would have been the stain that David Davenport listed as Stain 1, I matched at eight markers, and it was the same -- the profile that I recovered from that was the same as the profile I recovered from the trunk seal.
- Ο. So that -- again, that would be expected in the white population -- that's 1 in, what, over 800 million; is that right?
  - Α. For the Caucasian population, right.
  - Q. How about the second stain from the white T-shirt?
- Α. I amplified that with two -- we have two different kits that we use, because the first kit that is normally --

- Q. Again, frequency in the general population for that match?
- A. For this stain profile, the random match probability for that is approximately 1 in 19.39 billion for the Caucasian population. One in about 4 billion for the black population. And 1 in about 10.6 billion for the Hispanic population.
- Q. Did you also receive a sample from David Davenport that purported to be from the bloodstain at 2025 Portsmouth?
  - A. I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you compare that blood sample against that profile of Ms. Cunningham?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. What were the results?
  - A. It was consistent.
    - Q. On how many genetic markers?
    - A. All nine of the first nine tested. It was the same

as the JC Penney bag from the trunk.

2

Q. Finally, I want to talk to you about the hair that was recovered from the rock here. Can you do DNA testing on

Yes. You basically -- when you're analyzing DNA

4

hair?

5

6

from hairs, you're recovering it from the root. The cells in

7

the root are the cells that are going to contain the type of

8

DNA that we use in this test. And so that's what we looked

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Now, if you're doing hair, there's what's called mitochondria of DNA analysis, isn't it?

A. Yes.

- Q. That's actually -- if we're looking at the actual shaft of the hair, there's actually DNA material that -- actually it's from the mother's side, isn't it?
  - A. That's right.
- Q. Did you do that kind of DNA testing in this case or did you do just what I'm going to call nuclear DNA?
- A. We don't perform mitochondrial DNA testing in our laboratory.
- Q. If you have a hair that's been removed, at the very bottom would there be a root to that hair?
- A. If it's been removed forcibly, yes. If it falls out naturally, there's usually not enough cellular material to utilize.

February 27th, the year 2001, and I don't think I have

your -- that's your supplement report; is that correct?

24

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

- That's the only report that I wrote in this case, Α. because it was a follow-up, a DNA report. It's going to be listed as a supplemental report to the first one which was written by David.
- Okay. In addition to the items that Mr. Davis Q. talked about, you also tested some blue denim shorts and blue green plaid boxers; is that correct?
  - Yes. Α.
  - And those were delivered to you by who? Ο.
  - James Rogers. Α.
  - Of the Garland Police Department? Or can you tell? 0.
  - Yes, Garland Police Department. Α.
- And those items were listed from coming from a 0. laundry room at 1718 Barclay; is that correct?
  - Α. Right.
  - And did you do analysis on those items as well?
- Initially I analyzed them to determine if Α. I did. there was any blood on these items. I detected human blood on stains on the denim shorts, and I didn't detect any stains with the visual characteristics of blood on the boxer shorts.
- And what did the profile reveal to you once you did Ο. the test?
- When I did the DNA analysis on the stains on these shorts, I recovered from one stain from the shorts a profile that was male in origin, so obviously it couldn't match

Bertie Cunningham. The other stain I recovered a profile that indicates a mixture at one genetic marker but again, it's a male -- the majority of this DNA profile is from a male and even at that one marker where there's a mixture, Bertie Cunningham was excluded.

- Q. Okay. Do DNA labs have to go through any sort of certification?
  - A. They don't have to, no, but ours is.
- Q. Okay. And are there others in the area that are not certified that you know of?
- A. The other DNA labs in the area that I can think of offhand are Gene Screen, and I believe they are accredited.

  Obviously SWIFS in Dallas, Fort Worth, Tarrant County Medical Examiner. I think there might be one other, I believe they're all accredited.
  - O. Okay.
- A. But not only the accreditation, but there are also standards that have to be followed by federal law to receive funding for this type of analysis.
- Q. Okay. And the Texas Department of Public Safety has all that in order?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And you don't do mitochondrial DNA there?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. Why is that?

1	A. It's fairly new. It's extremely expensive to
2	perform, and we really at our laboratory don't get a lot of
3	call to perform that type of analysis.
4	MS. BALIDO: Judge, I don't have anything
5	further.
6	MR. DAVIS: Nothing further, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: May he be excused, subject to
8	recall?
9	MS. BALIDO: No objection.
10	MR. DAVIS: No objection.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused,
12	subject to recall, sir.
13	THE COURT: The State may continue.
14	MS. MILLER: Call Shirley Bard to the stand.
15	MS. BALIDO: Judge, there's a matter that we
16	need to take up outside the presence of the jury.
17	MS. MILLER: Judge, I don't think there is
18	THE COURT: Sheriff, if you'd retire the jury.
19	THE BAILIFF: All rise.
20	(Jury excused from courtroom.)
21	THE COURT: The jury is being excused from the
22	courtroom.
23	Mr. Murphy, counsel, visitors in the gallery, you
24	may be seated.
25	Will you raise your right hand, please, ma'am.

1 (Witness sworn.) 2 THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat to my 3 left. 4 MS. BALIDO: Judge, really, when the jury was 5 going out, I was talking to Ms. Miller, and it's in regard to 6 the -- this witness may testify at a later time about an 7 extraneous offense. And I just want to make sure on the record that everybody knows that that's not going to be gone 8 9 into unless we have a hearing outside the presence of the 10 jury. 11 MS. MILLER: Judge, she is also a punishment 12 witness. Notice was given regarding terroristic threats made 13 by the defendant, but we have no intention in going into those during our case in chief. And I told Ms. Bard prior to 15 coming into the courtroom for her to make sure that she did 16 not go into any of those statements or actually threats that 17 were made by the defendant to her. 18 THE COURT: Is that understood, ma'am? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: All right. With that 21 understanding, may we forgo the hearing and bring the jury 22 back? 23 MS. BALIDO: That's fine, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Sheriff, may we have the jury,

25

please.

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Jury is returning to the courtroom at this time.

(Jury returned to courtroom.)

THE COURT: Jurors may be seated.

Mr. Murphy, counsel, visitors in the gallery, you may be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, this witness has been sworn in. She is under oath.

### SHIRLEY BARD

was called as a witness by the State and, after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

### <u>Direct Examination</u>

By Ms. Miller:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I'm going to ask you to pull the microphone a little bit closer to you or lean a little but closer. And can you introduce yourself to the jury and spell your last name for the court reporter?
  - A. Yes. I'm Shirley Ann Bard. Last name is B-a-r-d.
- Q. Okay. Ms. Bard, I want to direct your attention back to last year, year 2000, can you tell this jury where you were employed at the time?
  - A. R&R Designs, 1112 Virginia Street in Terrell, Texas.
- Q. Can you explain to the jury what R&R Designs is, what type of business?

- you later came to know as Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?
  - A. I didn't know him as that.

24

25

Okay. Did you later come to find out the person that you knew, his name was actually Jedidiah Isaac Murphy? 1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Can you tell the jury what name you knew him as?
- A. Jim Hines.
  - Q. Now, do you see that person in the courtroom today?
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Could you point him out and describe what he's wearing?
  - A. He's wearing a suit and black tie and a black jacket.
  - MS. MILLER: Your Honor, ask the record to reflect the witness has identified the defendant in open court.
  - Q. (By Ms. Miller) Ms. Bard, did the defendant, who you identified and you said that you knew as Jim Hines, did he work with you out at R&R Designs in early 2000?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Was -- what was his job?
  - A. He was a MIG welder actually when they hired him on, and I taught him how to TIG.
  - Q. Okay. Now, I'm sure that a lot of the jurors don't necessarily know what MIG welding and TIG welding is. Let's talk about MIG welding, M-I-G welding first. Can you explain what MIG welding is to the jury?
  - A. You have a wire gun or a roll of wire at the back of you that comes through a line and you use a trigger so that

your temperature of the MIG, whatever you want, the wire comes out and puts the pieces of metal together.

- Q. Now, what is the difference between MIG welding and TIG welding?
- A. Well, TIG welding, there's two kinds of TIG. You do it with a pedal and you do it with a button on a line that comes up and you got a little handle on it and you have to push a button and put your filler rod into it as you get it hot.
- Q. Now, I notice when you are making that explanation to the jury, you're using --
  - A. I'm using both hands.
  - Q. Both hands?
- A. Yes, you use both hands except when you're fusing. When you're fusing, you can use one hand, but you always have that rod ready.
- Q. Now, Ms. Bard, you said when the defendant was originally hired at R&R Designs, he was a MIG welder. How many hands do you have to use to do MIG welding?
  - A. One.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall whether the defendant was right or left-handed?
  - A. No, I don't.
- Q. Okay. But regardless of whether the person is right or left-handed, in order to do TIG welding the person is

A. Both hands.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1:3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, did you have occasion to train the defendant in TIG welding?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. The two-handed welding?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And when you trained the defendant in this TIG welding, tell the jury approximately how long it took you to train him, over how long a period of time, about how many hours a day? Just rough estimate?
- A. There's so many of them that I have trained. It's hard to place just one. Most of them welded, including Jim, welded junk stuff for at last a half a day, just pieces of metal that we brought in from outside that was junk that they welded together for at least a half day. I only had one other welder that started within two hours after I started training her.
- Q. And approximately how long did the defendant work at R&R Designs while you were there?
  - A. (No response.)
  - Q. A few months?
- A. Probably. I don't know -- so many people came and went so often.
  - Q. Were you the person who -- well, who was the foreman

- A. Jerry Thornton.
- Q. Okay. Even though Jerry Thornton was the foreman of the shop in name, who actually pretty much ran the shop, supervised what the other welders were doing?
- A. Well, he come in and tell me what he wanted done and I pretty much did it. I didn't have a title.
- Q. Right. You didn't have a title, but were you the one who basically had to tell the other welders what needed to be done, the priorities, that type of thing?
- A. The ones that would listen, and the others I told Jerry he'd have to go tell them.
- Q. Okay. And, Ms. Bard, so were -- was the defendant one of the people who you would supervise, even though you didn't necessarily have the title of supervisor?
  - A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did the time -- during the time that you worked with the defendant, supervised him, helped train him as the TIG welder, the two-handed welder, did you notice whether or not the defendant had any problems using his left hand?
  - A. I didn't notice any problem with any hand.
- Q. Okay. Did he complain to you at any time that he could not do the two-handed welding because of any injury to his left hand?

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Not to my knowledge. Α.
- Did the defendant, at any time he worked with you, Ο. tell you about having injured his left hand?
  - Not to my knowledge.
- Did the defendant, while he worked with you, ever black out?
  - No. Α.
- Did the defendant, while he worked with you, ever Q. tell you that he had hallucinations?
  - Α. No.
- Did the defendant, while he worked with you, ever Q. suffer a seizure that you knew of?
  - Α. No.
- While the defendant worked for you, did the Q. defendant -- or worked with you, did he ever claim that he had an alter ego or split personality?
  - Α. No.
- While the defendant worked with you during the Q. earlier part of 2000, did he ever tell you that he was suicidal?
  - Α. No.
- As far as any complaints about the defendant's left hand, did he make any complaints other than just being sore from learning how to do the TIG welding?
  - Well, we all got sore learning how to TIG weld. Α.

Everybody I had come in there cried with their hands.

- Q. So during the months that you worked with the defendant and helped train him in the TIG welding, you never saw or heard of a problem where he could not use his left hand or have any feeling in his left hand?
- A. No more than all the other welders that learn -come in and didn't know how to weld that learned how to
  weld. They all cried with their hands. They just had to
  keep on going until they got strong enough to do it.
- Q. And when you're doing the two-handed welding, you said that you have to have the rod ready?
- A. You have to have the rod and you fill it by using this hand and you run the filler rod through your fingers to go and you're pushing a button with this hand so you've got two things going and this is hard to hold. And you have to go in several different directions.
  - Q. Okay.

MS. MILLER: Thank you, Ms. Bard. I'll pass the witness at this time.

MR. BYCK: Your Honor, we will respectfully reserve the right to cross-examine this witness at a later time.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Bard. You may step down.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time the State

- 1
- 3

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. How long have you been employed by Griffin Products?
- A. Right at four years.
- Q. As a welder out there, what kind of -- what kind of duties do you have? What kind of products do y'all make at Griffin Products?
  - A. We build restaurant equipment, sinks, tables.
- Q. I want to direct your attention back to June of last year. This will be June 2000. Were you employed at Griffin Products at that time?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did an individual that you later came to know as Jedidiah Murphy start working out there with you?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you see him in the courtroom this afternoon?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. If you would, just please point him out and tell us what he's wearing.
  - A. The suit, the glasses.
- MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, may the record please reflect this witness is identifying the defendant in open court.
- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Was this the first time that you had ever met Mr. Murphy?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. When he got hired out there at Griffin Products,

- what type of work did he start doing?
  - A. He was a welder.

- Q. What kind of welding was Mr. Murphy doing for Griffin Products?
  - A. He was welding on stainless steal.
  - Q. Is that the same kind of welding that you do?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In that kind of a job, would the defendant be required to use his left hand as part of his employment out there?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What would be required -- if you're doing welding like y'all were, what kind of use of your left hand would you have to have?
- A. You would have to have real good use of it. You'd have to do lots of hammering and control of a switch and hold the metal while you are welding it and tacking it and such as that.
- Q. So you're going to have to have pretty good use of your hands?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. While he was out there, did y'all start talking a little bit about family matters, personal matters, that sort of thing?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

- And at some point did you have a conversation with the defendant about an injury that he had to his left hand?
  - I couldn't remember.
- All right. Did he ever mention to you that he was Q. having problems with his left hand while he was out there working with you?
  - Α. No.
- At some point did you have a discussion with him Q. about how much money he was making out there at Griffin Products?
  - I don't remember. Α.
- At some point shortly after he worked -- I believe about three weeks after he started working at Griffin Products, did the defendant have some sort of injury out there?
  - I don't remember it three weeks --Α.
  - Okay. Sometime though while he was out there? Ο.
- 18 Yes. Α.
  - Did anybody actually witness the injury? Q.
- 20 Α. No.
  - Did the defendant at least complain that he had hurt Q. his theft thumb in the injury?
    - Α. Yes.
      - As a result of that, did he leave Griffin Products? Q.
      - Yes. Α.

- Q. Is it your understanding that he went to -- under a doctor's care at that point?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you ever see the defendant back up there at Griffin Products after he injured his left thumb?
  - A. One time.
  - Q. Do you recall when that was?
- A. Sometime after he had the surgery.
  - Q. So it was your understanding he actually had surgery on his left thumb, right?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you have a conversation with the defendant when he came back up there?
    - A. A short one.
      - O. What was the nature of the conversation?
  - A. I just asked him how it was going and how did everything work, you know, on the surgery. And he took his thumb and hit it on a table and said he had no feeling in it.
    - O. In the left thumb?
- A. Yeah.
  - Q. Make any other complaints about his left hand?
- A. No, that was about it.
  - Q. Was that the last time that you saw him?
  - A. That's the last time I've seen him.
    - Q. Thank you, Mr. Bailey.

A. Yeah.

24

25

Q. And said he had no feeling in it?

A. Uh-huh.

Q.

- A. It's located in Kaufman, Texas.
- Q. Can you tell us a bit about your educational and your professional training that you've received?
- A. Yes, sir. I went to Baylor University for an undergrad degree, bachelor of arts. I then went to Michigan State University for medical school for four years. I then went to -- I did a one-year internship in Flint, Michigan. I then went to New York City, the Bronx, and did a four-year orthopaedic residency. And then I did a further year of orthopaedic sports medicine in Detroit, Michigan.
  - Q. Are you board certified?
- A. I am not fully board certified in orthopaedics yet, because you have to be in practice for 22 months, but I have passed the written part, the first part of the boards.
- Q. Doctor, I want to direct your attention back to June 29th of 2000, and ask you whether an individual that you later came to know as Jedidiah Murphy came to you as a patient out there in Kaufman?
  - A. Yes, sir, he did.
- Q. And do you recall what his complaint was or the reason why he was coming to visit with you?
- A. He came in under workman's comp claim. He stated that he had an accident at work involving his left thumb. He believed he might have dislocated it.
  - Q. Now, as a result of your treating him, did your

was -- that was a possibility, although it was not

According to his history, it seemed that there

24

25

Α.

dislocated at the time I examined him.

- Q. Okay. What was the condition of the thumb when you did examine it?
- A. His left thumb was quite swollen, tender around this area, the lower part of the thumb as it enters the palm.

  There was some redness around it. There was very little motion that he could do actively or that I could do to try to examine it because of the pain.
  - Q. Did you make your diagnosis at that time?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.
  - Q. What was your diagnosis?
- A. My diagnosis was that he had ruptured the ulnar collateral ligament. It's commonly called the skier's thumb. It's on this side of the thumb. And often when the thumb gets pulled forcefully back this way, it can rupture with or without a dislocation.
  - Q. Did you determine that surgery would be required?
- A. Yes, sir, at the time I felt that because of the level of his symptoms and also the type of work that he did which was very hand or manual intensive, that he would benefit from such an operation.
- Q. Okay. Did you actually perform surgery on the defendant at a later date?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And was that surgery performed at Presbyterian in

Kaufman?

A. Yes, sir, it was.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we'll offer State's Exhibit Number 70, which is certified -- which is an original copy of records from Presbyterian Hospital in Kaufman. Again, it's accompanied with an affidavit and has been on file more than 14 days prior to trial.

(State's Exhibit No. 70 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objection, State's 70.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 70 admitted)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Can you describe the surgery that you performed on the defendant?
- A. Yes, sir, I made a -- kind of an incision over on this side of the thumb, where I was describing, to expose the area where that ligament was located. Once I exposed it, I recognized it as being torn and I did a repair -- end to end repair. After that was done, I tested to make sure it was stable, including the joints. Since he was under anesthesia, I could now examine him more fully. I then closed the skin, placed him into a splint which included the thumb, and he went home that same day.
- Q. Did you feel that you had repaired all the damage to his thumb?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Were there any complications that you could determine at that time?
  - A. No, there were not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you feel like the surgery had been successful?
- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. Was there any sign of nerve damage to the thumb that you observed during that surgery?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. And as I understand, he was discharged that same day; is that right?
  - A. Yes, it was day surgery.
- Q. Now, going forward to July the 20th of that same year, did the defendant return for a post-operative visit with you?
  - A. Yes, he did.
  - Q. And did he have any complaints at that time?
- A. He was a little sore, and he also stated that he had no sensation on this side of his thumb, approximately this area if I remember correctly.
  - Q. Uh-huh. Did that surprise you?
- A. It didn't really surprise me. At the time I felt that because the surgery was in somewhat close proximity to that area, that post-operative swelling could have caused the nerve to go out temporarily.
  - Q. Did you suggest any treatment for the -- the

complained of numbness at that time?

A. No, at that time I recommended to Mr. Murphy that we observe it. I felt very strongly that it was probably just swelling since I had not visualized a nerve during the case, and he agreed to that.

- Q. Uh-huh. Did he return to your office again on August the 17th?
  - A. Yes, he did.
  - Q. The purpose of that visit was what?
- A. It was a follow-up visit to check on his wound and also to check that complained of numbness.
  - Q. Was he still complaining of numbness?
  - A. Yes, he was.
  - Q. Do you remember specifically what his complaint was?
- A. He was concerned because he said that he had no sensation whatsoever in that area, that he could literally stick a pin -- he didn't say he had actually done that, but he felt like he could do that and would have no -- no feeling. He was concerned about it because of his occupation as a welder.
- Q. Did he feel that that would interfere with his ability to perform as a welder?
  - A. Yes, he did feel that way.
- Q. Were you surprised by the complaint coming now in August of 2000?

- 1
- No, not particularly.
- 2

- Did you decide to do something for Mr. Murphy at that time?
- 4

5

- Yes, I did. Since it had been, I believe, six weeks since the surgery, I suggested that he go get EMG and nerve conduction studies to see if there was evidence on their
- 6 7
- part -- on the test part of any nerve damage.
- 8
- All right. If you would, nerve conduction test on a Q. thumb, exactly what are we talking about there?
- 10

9

- What they do is -- this test is performed by either A.
- 11
- a neurologist or a rehab doctor. And what they do, they commonly use it for detecting carpal tunnel. They put some 12
- small rings on the fingers, and they have some electrodes 13
- 14
- literally read the conduction speed or how fast the impulse 15

that stimulate the nerves at certain levels and they can

goes from where they stimulate the nerve to the -- to the

- 16
- ring electrode around the finger. 17
- 18
- So this test, as I understand, is designed to detect any sort of damage to a nerve in this particular part of the
- 19
- hand; is that right --
- 20 21
- Correct.
- 22
- -- the thumb? Who did you refer the defendant to for that particular test?
- 23
- Dr. James Garrison. Α.
- 24 25
- And what type of doctor is Dr. Garrison? ο.

- A. He's a physical medicine rehabilitation specialist.
- Q. Did you get word on September the 7th that Dr. Garrison had in fact conducted those nerve conduction tests on the defendant?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. Did he actually send a copy of his report to you?
  - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. And what were the findings for Dr. Garrison after he had conducted these nerve conduction tests on the defendant?
- A. His findings were that the -- first of all, all the motor nerves, the nerves that generate the motion were intact. He stated that the median nerve, which is a nerve that gives sensation to this entire pulp space of the thumb, which is the nerve involved in carpal tunnel. He also stated that when he stimulated the radial nerve at the wrist, which is well below where the surgery was done, that he didn't get a clear impulse back to the thumb. But at the time he believed it might have been an impulse that was delivered by the median nerve since it occupies a greater space in the thumb.
  - Q. So as I understand, we have two nerves in the thumb. We have a median nerve, correct?
    - A. Correct.
    - Q. What portion of the thumb would the median nerve be?
    - A. The median nerve provides innervation to nearly all

of the pad, as well as all of the front of the thumb, including this part of the hand.

- Q. Okay. Was there any indication of any injury to the median nerve in the left thumb?
  - A. No, there was not.
- Q. What area again would the radial nerve control in that thumb?
- A. The radial nerve would control the back of the thumb.
- Q. Okay. Now, was it the back of the thumb that the defendant was complaining of numbness, or was it the area controlled by the median nerve that he was complaining of numbness?
- A. He was complaining of numbness in an area that was closer to where the median nerve innervation is more so than the radial nerve.
- Q. Again, as I understand, the test did not reveal any damage to the median nerve, right?
  - A. No, it did not.
- Q. And the way that you looked at the test, did you interpret it that it was showing damage to the radial nerve or not?
- A. It did not seem conclusively to show damage to the radial nerve.
  - Q. As I understand, the radial nerve would innervate

20

21

22

23

24

25

the back portion of the nerve anyway -- of the thumb?

- Correct.
- Correct?
- That was not the area that the defendant was complaining of, was it?
- No, he was complaining more of the side and kind of the -- what we call the ulnar pulp space which would be about roughly around this area right here.
- Directing your attention to October the 3rd of 2000, was the defendant scheduled to come into your office for a scheduled office visit?
  - Yes, he was.
- Did the defendant show up in your office on that date for his scheduled visit?
  - No, he did not.
- When did you last actually see the defendant, Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?
- I last saw him on October -- I'm sorry, August 17th, the day I referred him for the -- for the test.
- Do your records reflect any phone calls from the defendant to your office after August 17th, the year 2000?
- Yes, there was a phone call that I took. Mr. Murphy was concerned that his employer had gotten a copy of the EMG report. The employer had stated that he needed to go back to

work at this point. He was -- he was quite upset about that. I told him that I would look into it and see what further options he might have. I'd have to discuss it with my office personnel that are specialists in comp issues.

- Q. Uh-huh. When did he make that phone call?
- A. I don't recall the exact day, but I believe it was probably within a week, to my best recollection of the -- the day that Dr. Garrison sent out his results.
- Q. So that's going to be sometime perhaps mid-September?
  - A. Could be, around that area.
  - Q. Certainly it was before October the 3rd, wasn't it?
  - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Now, when a -- when a patient comes in, a new patient, do you take a history from that patient?
  - A. Yes. Yes, I do.
  - Q. What's the purpose of that?
- A. Well, you take a general history to detect any health problems, allergies, things like that, prior surgeries. Also, in the case of injuries like that, you always ask the patient if they've had a prior injury or prior problem in that area that might affect the current complaint.
- Q. Okay. In this particular case, sir, did you ask the defendant whether or not he had suffered a prior injury to his left thumb?

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- I did. He did not -- to the best of my Α. recollection, he did not suffer a prior injury to his thumb.
- Okay. At least that's the history that he gave to you; is that right?
  - Α. Correct.
- And when a patient gives you a history, do you assume since he's there for medical care, that he's going to tell you the truth?
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- At that time did you have any -- any reason to believe that the defendant had in fact suffered or claimed an on-the-job injury to his left thumb back in 1997?
  - No, he did not give me that history.
- When you talked about a past medical history, did Q. you ask for possible medications that he might be taking at the time that he came in to see you?
- Yes, I -- the patients fill out a form where they are instructed to write any current medications. And then I do ask while I'm speaking to them.
- Okay. In this case did the defendant indicate that Q. he was taking any medications at that time?
- He did not -- he did not list any medications on the I do not know. I don't recall if I asked him if he was taking any pain medication -- if he was taking any pain medication. I don't recall any other medications.

25

Investigation Form?

A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you see the name of the injured employee to be Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see the date for that accident as 3-14-97 at 11 o'clock?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you see a notation or a line that says "Apparent Nature of Injuries"?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And in handwriting does it say out beside that "broke left thumb at knuckle"?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And is there an explanation for how the injury occurred with writing following: "Pulling string line tight and nail holding string line came out of form hitting his left thumb"?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Again, there's a date on the bottom of that of 3-17 of '97; is that right?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Did the defendant ever mention that injury claim to you, Dr. Vandiver?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Would that have been important to know?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why would it have been important for you to know that?
- A. It would have been important to determine if he had any prior injuries to see if that had any affect on his current injury.
- Q. Uh-huh. Do you have any knowledge whether that might affect the validity of his workers compensation claim?
  - A. I can't say that for sure, but it's a possibility.
- Q. Did you ask the defendant whether or not he was suffering from any seizures or any other illnesses?
- A. I remember he mentioned -- he mentioned depression, and he mentioned seizures.
- Q. Okay. The history that he gave you, did it show a surgery to his left hand occurring in 1996?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember asking the defendant to give you some more details about that surgery?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you remember what Mr. Murphy told you there about the surgery to his left hand?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Would you please tell the members of the jury what the defendant told you in your office?
  - A. He told me that he had suffered a gunshot wound to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Uh-huh. What was your impression when he gave you that type of history? Did you think it would have some impact on his ability to recover from the injury to his left thumb?
- A. At the time I didn't think it would have an affect on his left thumb. In isolation it didn't seem to affect the thumb at all at the time.
- Q. And in fact if you look at Dr. Garrison's records, did in fact the defendant give the same type of history to Dr. Garrison?
  - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. When he gave you that history out there again, did you have to assume that he was telling you the truth?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you have any reason to disbelieve his account of how his injury occurred or the severity of his injury?
  - A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Doctor, did the -- did you have access to any

medical records at that time concerning the injury to the

defendant's left hand?

3

A. No, I did not.

4 5 Q. Had you -- more specifically had you viewed the records from a Jeffrey T. DeHaan, M.D. who is a physician in Texarkana, Texas?

6 7

A. No, I did not.

8

9

Q. Had you reviewed any records from St. Michael's Hospital in Texarkana, Texas, regarding the defendant?

10

A. No, I did not.

11

Q. Had you reviewed any records from Doctors Hospital in New Boston, Texas, regarding the defendant and the injury to his left hand?

13

12

A. No, I did not.

14 15

Q. Had you reviewed any records from Wadley Regional Medical Center in Texarkana regarding this injury, sir?

16 17

A. No, sir.

18

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time the State will offer State's Exhibit 71 which are copies of records

20

19

from Doctors Hospital in New Boston. These records, again,

21

have been tendered to counsel and they've been on file more

22

23

than 14 days prior to trial. State's Exhibit 72, copies of records from Jeffrey T. DeHaan. Again, they've been on file

24

more than 14 days prior to trial. Records from Wadley

25

Regional Medical Center in Texarkana. These records have

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

**.** 

24

25

been on file more than 14 days prior to trial. Finally, State's Exhibit 74, records from St. Michael's Hospital, which again have been on file with the Court more than 14 days prior to trial.

(State's Exhibit No. 71 through 74 offered)
MR. BYCK: No objection to 71 through 74.
THE COURT: All admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 71 through 74 admitted)

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Doctor, have you -- have you ever had the opportunity to review the records that I've just had admitted into evidence?
  - A. Yes, I have.

MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Doctor, if we could -- starting off with State's Exhibit 71, these will be the records from -- from Doctors Hospital in New Boston, Texas. The first page, do you recognize what type of document that is, sir?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What are we looking at, an emergency room record?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. The name of the patient up there, does that show to be a Matthew Murphy?
  - A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. With date of birth of 9-18-75?

room?

Q. Does it show a street address of 501B West Walters,

3

New Boston, Texas?

Yes.

4

A. Yes, it does.

5

Q. And does it show basically a history of the complaint that that patient is making at the time?

6 7

A. Yes, it does, briefly.

8

Q. What is the date for that record for the emergency

9

10

A. 9-13-96.

11

Q. 9-13-96?

12

A. Correct.

the hospital?

down for me.

hand.

13

Q. All right. What complaint did the patient who referred to himself as Matthew Murphy make when he came into

the palm of the left hand, secondary to being shot in the

15

14

\_\_

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. If you can slow

It says that he complained of a puncture wound to

A. I'm sorry. Complaint of a puncture wound to palm of left hand, secondary to being shot in hand with pellet gun while handling it at around 1:15 in the morning, or 12:15 in the morning.

Q. Uh-huh. As far as the treatment, was he released

with instructions to come back later?

- 2 ||
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- .
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- A. Yes, he was.
- Q. What sort of treatment, if any, was actually given to him before he left that hospital?
- A. He was given pain medication. He was also given some antibiotics.
- Q. If you'd look at State's Exhibit Number 73, which will be records from Wadley Regional Medical Center. Have you had an opportunity to look through these records?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Do these records show that the individual, again identified as Matthew Murphy, appeared at Wadley Regional Medical Center for treatment of his left hand?
  - A. Yes, it did.
- Q. And if we look at that again, refer to whatever portion of those records that you need to, but do those records relate that he was admitted for treatment for his left hand?
  - A. Yes, he was.
  - Q. The attending physician was who?
  - A. Dr. DeHaan.
  - Q. All right. Are you familiar with Dr. DeHaan?
- A. I hadn't heard of him, but I understand he's a hand specialist.
  - Q. And again, was there a history that was provided

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. By Mr. Murphy, yes, as I stated previously.
- Q. Same type of history provided?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And at some point was a decision made by Dr. DeHaan to actually remove the pellet from his left hand?
  - A. Yes, that was correct.
- Q. All right. In the hospital records whenever surgery is performed does the hospital keep an operative report or an operative note?
  - A. Yes, it does with the patient's chart.
- Q. Who actually prepares that operative note? Would it be the attending physician or surgeon?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In those records has Dr. DeHaan actually prepared an operative note to detail what occurred during the surgery on Mr. Murphy's left hand?
  - A. Yes, he provided the details of the surgery.
- Q. If you don't mind, would you please read that operative note for the members of the jury?
- A. Okay. It states date of operation is 9-13-96.

  Attending surgeon is Dr. DeHaan. His pre-operative diagnosis was a gunshot wound to the left hand with swelling and paresthesias of the hand.
  - Q. What does paresthesias mean?

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

- Α. Paresthesias means the patient is complaining of decreased or altered sensation anywhere in the body. In this case in the fingers.
- 0. If there's swelling in a hand -- in the left hand, for instance, would you expect perhaps there to be some pressure on the nerves controlling that hand?
  - Α. Yes, that's possible.
- Ο. And would -- could that account for numbness in the hand?
  - Yes, it could. Α.
  - Ο. Would you continue, please?
- Α. Sure. He states that his procedure was incision and drainage with fasciotomy. Fasciotomy is a release of some of the tough tissue underneath the skin which in the case of swelling, there's no room for the swelling to go anywhere so it can damage the structures within the facie, so he split that open. And then he turned the hand over because the pellet was near the skin in the back. He made a small incision in the back and removed the pellet and closed both wounds.
- In the operative note does he make any mention about possible nerve damage that he observed?
- Α. He states the nerves were inspected and there was no nerve laceration.
  - Q. If you would, as a doctor, what does that mean to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That means that he visualized the nerves and did not see any damage to them that could be detected by the human eye.
- Q. Okay. There appear to be any complications at all with that surgery?
  - A. Not in this operative note, no.
- Q. Would you consider that to be very complicated surgery?
  - A. Not particularly, no.
- Q. Just -- would it be fair to say that he went in there and he removed the pellet and he closed it up?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. Do you know how long that surgery took?
- A. I do not know exactly, but I -- I would imagine something like that would not take more than an hour, but that's only a guess.
- Q. Is there any note that any reconstructive surgery was necessary on that left hand?
  - A. No, there was not.
- Q. Now, that operative note there, does that square with the history that Mr. Murphy gave to you when he came up to your office in Kaufman?
- A. Only to the extent that there was a gunshot wound to his hand.

the emergency room doctor see what was going on, and possibly

contact him if it seemed like it was something that needed

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. In the records from St. Michael's is there an indication that the defendant is making some complaint about numbness to -- to his fingers in the left hand?
- A. Not at the time that he returned with the split open. I remember seeing a small part of the note said sensory was okay based on the ER doctor's examination.

MR. DAVIS: If I can approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Doctor, I'm now looking at the records from St. Michael's, State's Exhibit 74, is there a nursing assessment there with some notes about what the patient was stating at that time?
  - A. Yes, there is.
- Q. Okay. And is he complaining about numbness in any of his fingers in his left hand at that time?
- A. It states that he complained of numbness of the fourth and fifth fingers.
  - Q. Fourth and fifth fingers?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. No other fingers?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. My left hand -- what would you regard to be my fourth and fifth finger?
  - A. That would be the fourth and the fifth.

- Q. These two fingers only, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. No complaint to these three fingers here or to the thumb at that point, correct?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. And that's a history -- would you assume that's a history that comes straight from the patient himself?
  - A. Yes, as told to the nurse.
- Q. Now, at that time, again, the patient was referring to himself by what name?
  - A. Matthew Murphy.
- Q. Is he still giving the same home address there in New Boston, Texas?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Doctor, as a rule when patients come to see you, do they use their true name?
- 17 A. Yes, I assume so.
  - Q. Have you ever had an occasion where somebody came to you for medical care and used an alias?
    - A. Not to my knowledge.
  - Q. Adopted a false name, perhaps the name of a brother to seek medical care from you?
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
  - Q. While the defendant was under your care, Doctor, did he ever complain of hearing voices?

1 | A.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Did he ever complain of an alter ego?
- 3 A. No, he did not.
  - Q. Ever complain of hallucinations to you?
  - A. No, sir.
  - Q. Ever claim to be suicidal?

No, he did not.

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Certainly I guess as a physician, you're trained to make those sorts of assessments of risks for your patients, aren't you?
  - A. Yes, sir. In general observation, yes.
- Q. In your general observations of Mr. Murphy, did you ever feel him to be suicidal?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Had you thought that he was in that state, would you have recommended or sought additional care for him?
- A. If I felt that he was upset to the point where that would be a possibility, I certainly would have tried to say something to him myself. If he communicated back any threats to do harm to himself, I certainly would have tried to obtain help, yes.
- Q. Was there ever a time where he was unresponsive or incoherent with you?
  - A. No, sir.
    - Q. Was he always able to provide information to you?

- Q. What are your duties and responsibilities as a workers compensation claims adjuster?
- A. Handle claims. I administer benefits as they are due, medical and lost time benefits.
- Q. Now, workers compensation, would it be fair to say that if you receive an on-the-job injury, then you may be entitled to be paid workers compensation benefits?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does the system work so that if an employee sustains an injury, the employer is actually required to send in notice of that injury, isn't he?
  - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And is the employee then due medical care for his injuries?
  - A. Yes. If the claim is found compensable, yes.
- Q. And if it's found to be compensable, is he also entitled to certain monetary benefits to be paid on a regular basis, too?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. When it's determined that that person is no longer medically disabled and he's able to go back to work, do his payments -- his weekly benefits continue or are they discontinued?
  - A. They are discontinued.
  - Q. Directing your attention now back to June of the

year 2000, were you still employed with Unitrin at that time?

- 2
- A. Yes, I was.
- 3
- Q. Were you also still in the workers compensation unit there?
- 4 5
- A. Yes, I was.
- 6
- Q. Did a file come to you regarding an employee by the name of Jedidiah Isaac Murphy?
- 7
- A. Yes.
- 9
- Q. Were you the adjuster assigned to that file?
- 10
- A. Yes.
- 11
- Q. If you will, when a file -- when a file such as that comes in, what do you do actually? If you got notice that
- 12 13
- this person is -- is claiming an on-the-job injury, what do
- 14
- you do first?
- 15
- contact. We contact the -- you know, try to get in touch

A. Well, you know as an adjuster we make a three-point

- 16 17
- with the claimant, verify the injury with the employer, and
- 18
- Q. In this case did you talk with Dr. William Vandiver?
- 19 20
- A. I did not talk to him personally.
- 21
- Q. Did someone else at your direction do that?
- 22
- A. Well, I did talk to Dr. Vandiver after the claim was already in progress. I didn't talk to him at the very
- 23
- beginning.

contact the treating doctor.

24

25

Q. And was it -- was it determined at some point that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes.

- A.
  - Q. Do you remember how much he was receiving and on what -- was it on a weekly basis that he receives his payments?
  - A. Yes, it was a weekly basis, and I do not remember the weekly amount.
  - Q. Was it your understanding that the defendant continued to see Dr. Vandiver for a period of time?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Was it your understanding that he actually had some surgery performed on his left thumb?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. At a certain point, and as I understand, did your insurance company pay for all the medical expenses?
  - A. We pay for the reasonable and necessary medical expenses.
  - Q. All right. At some point were you talking with the defendant about the status of his thumb, about whether he's well enough to go back to work, whether he needs more care, were you having discussions with him?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And in general what was the nature of those discussions?
    - A. The fact that he was alleging that he had no feeling

A. No, sir.

- Q. Now, when you get a -- when you get a claim such as this, would it be important to know whether or not that person, the claimant has suffered an injury to the same part of his body or not?
- A. Yeah, that's usually one of the things we try to find out. And if we find that out after the fact, there's some recourses that we take.
- Q. Why would that be important to know up front? If I came in and I complained, for instance, that I've injured my left thumb and I can't work as a result of that left thumb being hurt, why would it be important for you as an adjuster to know if I've hurt that thumb before or made a claim before?
- A. Because if you've had prior injury to that same part of the body, possibly the injury that you allege may not have happened on the job. It could have been a preexisting condition.
- Q. All right. Did -- in your -- in your discussions with the defendant, did he ever mention that he had a prior injury to his left thumb?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you know that he had made a claim back in 1997 to his left thumb, on-the-job injury?

- 1
- A. No, sir. We do index checks. It did not show up.
- 2
- Q. Did you know that -- at some point did you become aware that he had had an injury to his left hand back in
- 4

1996?

3

- A. Yes, I was aware of it.
- 6

5

- Q. How did you become aware of that?
- 7
- A. I was informed by the employer that he had
- 8
- suffered -- he had told them he had suffered a prior gunshot
- 9
- wound to the hand. The lady that actually took the report

had a statement for me and had also shared with me some

- 10
- information about that. But he told me something different.
- 11
- 12 Q. Okay. So is it my understanding that you had

the defendant about the injury to his left hand?

- 13
- another adjuster at your direction have a conversation with
- 14
- A. Yes.

discharged into his hand.

asked him?

learn that?

- 15 16
- Q. What had the defendant told you about that when you
- 17
- A. He told me that he was getting a gun out of the
- 18 19
- closet and that it snagged on something in the closet and
- 20

21

- Q. Again, how far into this claims process did you
- 22
- 23 A. I knew after -- you know, probably after a month

that he had suffered a prior injury to his hand, not

- 24
- necessarily the thumb, but it was to his hand. And so that's

Q. Again, would that be important to know as a claims adjuster if he's had a prior injury to the left hand?

4 5

A. Yes, sir.

6

7

Q. And do I understand you to say that you didn't learn about the injury from him first, but from his employer,

8

9

right?

A. That is correct.

10

Q. And you then began searching for medical records concerning that injury?

11 12

A. Yes, I did.

13

Q. Did ask you the defendant to help you try to find those medical records?

14 15

A. Yes, we did.

16

Q. And do you remember having a discussion with him about that?

17

A. At one point -- it was when he was -- when I was discussing with him about his hand.

19

20

18

Q. Uh-huh. Did he -- did he indicate that he had records that he would provide to you?

21

A. He said he didn't have them, but they were at someone else's house.

23

22

Q. Did he indicate that he would get those records to you?

25

- 1
- A. I don't recall.
- 2

- Q. All right. Did the defendant provide any medical records to you concerning the injury to his left hand?
- 4
- A. Not at all.
- 5
- Q. Now, when you do a search for medical records, his
- 6
- name is Jedidiah Isaac Murphy. What name would you use?
  Would you use Jedidiah Isaac Murphy, or would you look under
- 7
- Matthew Murphy?
- 9

8

- A. I would have looked under Jedidiah Isaac Murphy.
- 10
- Q. Did he ever tell that you the records would be found
- 11
- A. No, sir.
- 12 13
- Q. So given that, were you ever able to search and find
- 14
- the records from that particular injury?

  A. Yeah, we finally did get one piece of medical
- 16

15

O. What was that?

information.

18

17

- A. It was from a hospital, and it was under the name of Matthew Murphy. And it was a pellet wound to two fingers.
- 19
- O. When did you get that?

under another name of Matthew Murphy?

- 20 21
- A. I can't remember the exact date, but I want to think
- 22

23

Q. Of last year?

it was maybe in October.

- 24
- A. Yes, sir.
- 25
- Q. At a certain time then did you believe that the

defendant was able to return to work?

A. Yes.

- Q. And as a result, what decisions did you make about the payment of his benefits?
- A. I explained to him on the phone that his -- when he called me that his -- you know, I discussed with him the bona fide offer of light duty employment, what that meant to him, and he just asked does this mean I have to go back to work. And I said, yes, it is, because if you do not return to work, your benefits will cease.
- Q. Do you remember the day that the payments stopped to Mr. Murphy?
- A. His check was due -- I believe his checks went out on Thursday, and I found out -- the Thursday or the day that he was to return to work, I called and that's when I found out.

MR. DAVIS: May I approach, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Showing you now State's Exhibit 107D. Do you recognize this document?
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Okay. What type of document is this?
- A. It's the bottom half of the check explaining what the check is for.
  - Q. Okay. Is this something that would be sent to Mr.

- A. Yes, it comes attached to the check.
- Q. All right. It has name Jedidiah Isaac Murphy,
  Griffin Products. Is this actually one of the -- a part of
  the check, the bottom half of the check that was sent to Mr.
  Murphy in connection with his claim?
  - A. Yes, it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

- Q. Adjuster 9W2?
- A. That's me.
- Q. Okay. All right. Okay. The loss date of 6-22-2000, that's the date of Mr. Murphy's injury; is that right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. The notes down there, 9-26 to 10-2 --
    - A. That was --
- 0. -- 2000?
  - A. That would be for one week of benefits.
  - Q. So these benefits here that he received were for the weekend ending October 2nd of 2000; is that right?
    - A. That is correct.
  - Q. If the murder of Ms. Cunningham occurred on October the 4th of 2000, would it be your understanding that that would have been the last check that Mr. Murphy received with regards to his claim?
    - A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that question?

25

Q.

claim file?

Was that made a part of your workers compensation

A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did you have -- did Ms. Gilmore actually send a copy of that interview for your review?
  - A. Oh, yes.
- Q. And again, that typed transcript of that statement became a part of your business records with Unitrin; is that what I understand?
  - A. Yes, it did.
- Q. Now, Unitrin does maintain business records on a regular basis, don't they?
  - A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Entries made out there would be by someone who has personal knowledge of the events; is that right?
  - A. Yes, uh-huh.
- Q. They are made on a regular basis, day-to-day, week-to-week?
  - A. Any time we have a phone call or a piece of mail.
- Q. As I understand then, these would have been part of your business records, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The records, I take it, too, are generated at or near the time of the occurrence; is that right?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - MR. DAVIS: If I can approach, Your Honor.
    - THE COURT: You may.

1	Q. (By Mr. Davis) I hand you now several pieces of
2	paper. Actually this will be 18 pages of documents. Do you
3	recognize what I've had marked as State's Exhibit 125?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Is that the statement of Jedidiah Isaac Murphy that
6	was given to Joanna Gilmore at your direction on September
7	13, 2000?
8	A. Yes, it is.
9	Q. Again, when you received this, you made this a part
10	of your actual file in this case; is that right?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	MR. DAVIS: At this time we will offer State's
13	Exhibit Number 125.
14	(State's Exhibit No. 125 offered)
15	MS. BALIDO: Judge we'd like an opportunity to
16	see that exhibit. We haven't seen it yet.
17	MR. BYCK: Your Honor, may I approach the
18	witness to get an exhibit?
19	THE COURT: You may.
20	MR. BYCK: I thank the Court.
21	(Counsel examines document.)
22	MR. BYCK: No objection, State's 125.
23	THE COURT: Admitted.
24	(State's Exhibit No. 125 admitted)
25	MR. DAVIS: May I publish, Your Honor, just

very briefly?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. DAVIS: Just portions of it.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm now going to read to you portions of the document, State's Exhibit 125, certain questions and answers here directed to Mr. Murphy. On page 10: What happened to you -- "what happened after you had the surgery done?

"Uh, well, there was a lot of, I had a cast put on my hand and I had a, just partial of my thumb was exposed, just like the tip of it and the next morning, I went home basically that day and just, you know, went to sleep, just slept all day, I guess, like the effects of anesthesia or whatever.

"Uh-huh.

"When I woke up the next day I couldn't feel the end of my thumb so I called the doctor immediately 'cause I didn't know what was up and I asked him, you know, if maybe that was something, maybe the anesthesia still or uh some of the, you know, medicine or what have you. I explained to him the day I went in to his office the very first time I had previous injury and I had four dead fingers and the only finger I could feel was my thumb and I, you know, I told him that it was real crucial that whatever he did to me, he explained to me before that when this tendon -- when this

usually snaps."

He's asked: "And that's because of your other four fingers?"

And his response is: "Yes, ma'am, they're dead from a gunshot wound. I told the guy that hired me, Mr. Steve, he knew about it. I told him. I usually tell everybody that I work for. But it's never really ever slowed me down or, you know, after I was shot it slowed me down for awhile to get used to it but once I'd gotten used to it it's never hindered me really in any way. As long as I could feel one finger I knew when I had something, whether or not it was hot or, you know, it kind of just kept me just normal. But I knew something was wrong the day after surgery and I couldn't feel my finger. I called Dr. Vandiver immediately and then I called him, I don't know, I guess about every other day for a week, two weeks."

On page 13 the adjuster is now asking him about the prior injury to the left hand.

"Can you give me the particulars of that?

"I had a gunshot wound right through the center of it and it severed the media nerve in my left hand. Just basically blew it in half. I had a hole completely through my hand."

Again, later referring to the same injury: "Did you receive an impairment rating from the previous gunshot

wound?

Um more or less, no. I didn't stick around long enough to, more or less, it's more or less the same kind of situation that this. They wanted me to stay off work and I probably could've but it was an accidental gunshot wound, you know. I grabbed the gun and was going to move it from one end of the closet to the other end of the closet and it went off so I just figured that was my fault so I didn't, you know, I didn't know anything about an impairment rating or anything like that, I just went, with feeling in one hand I didn't, it slowed me down, sure, but as long as I could use my thumb and (inaudible) when I grab something as long as I knew how much pressure I had on it 'cause of the pressure to my thumb so I like adopted kind of."

Again, questions about the surgery. "How many surgeries did you have on the left hand?

"My, I had one for the gunshot wound and one for the thumb. But the one for my hand was like a couple of different stages of surgery. One guy opened it and the other guy sewed it up kind of deal. It was pretty lengthy surgery."

I believe my last question to you, Ms. Adames, was you didn't know whether the defendant had any other source of income; is that correct?

A. That is correct.

Q. When you terminated his claim?

A. Correct, because if he had had other source of income, he would not be entitled to the full benefit wages.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, at this time we'll offer State's Exhibit Number 66. These are the records from Citizens National Bank. Again, these have been on file with the Court more than 14 days prior to trial.

(State's Exhibit No. 66 offered)

MR. BYCK: No objections to State's 66.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(State's Exhibit No. 66 admitted)

MR. DAVIS: Permission to publish.

THE COURT: Granted.

MR. DAVIS: Ladies and gentlemen, State's
Exhibit 66 are records provided by the Citizens National Bank
of Wills Point, Texas. They refer to the defendant, Jedidiah
Isaac Murphy, and to the account that he had with that bank.
Refer to specifically a statement summary for the time period
between September 17th, 2000, and October 15, 2000. Shows
that the balance forward from those dates was \$17.35. Total
debits during that time period were \$88. Total credits were
zero. Closing balance as to 10-15-2000 was negative \$70.65.
On October 4th of 2000, a 20-dollar charge was made against
that account for non-sufficient funds. On October 6th, 2000,
a 10-dollar charge for overdraft fee. Again, on October 11,

6th of June.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we will stand in 1 recess for your purposes until tomorrow morning at 9:30 a.m. 2 We have some unattended business that we will begin 3 addressing about an hour or so before you get here. Have a 4 good evening. Recall the instructions I have heretofore 5 given to you. Obviously, they remain in force and effect. 6 Have a good evening. We'll see you tomorrow morning, 9:30 7 8 a.m. THE BAILIFF: All rise. 9 (Jury retired from the courtroom.) 10 THE COURT: Do the witnesses that counsel 11 cares to be heard tomorrow morning before the jury returns, 12 have they been notified? Do they know to be here at 8:30 13 a.m.? Is there any problems that the Court can assist 14 counsel with regard to securing their presence? 15 MR. BYCK: My doctor said he would be here at 16 17 1 o'clock. MS. BALIDO: I --18 MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, may I be excused, with 19 Ms. Miller remaining, with the Court's permission? She is 2.0 fully capable. 21 THE COURT: Depending upon Ms. Miller's 22 23 acquiescence. (Recess of proceedings.) 24

## Reporter's Certificate

STATE OF TEXAS:

COUNTY OF DALLAS:

I, Darline W. LaBar, Official Court Reporter of the 194th Judicial District Court, in and for Dallas County, Texas do hereby certify that the foregoing volume constitutes a true, complete and correct transcript of all portions of evidence and other proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the parties to be included in the statement of facts, in the above styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by me.

I further certify that this transcription of the record of the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.

Witness my hand this the 28th day of October, A.D., 2001.

DARLINE W. LABAR

Official Court Reporter
194th Judicial District Court
Dallas County, Texas

(214) 653-5803

Certification No. 1064 Expires December 31, 2002